Richard L. Stone (S.B. No. 110022)
David Ben-Meir (S.B. No. 192028)
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 785-4600
Facsimile: (310) 785-4601
rlstone@hhlaw.com
dhben-meir@hhlaw.com 1 2 3 4 5 F. Ronald E. Naves, Jr. (S.B. No. 155753) Jeffrey A. Fehervari (S.B. No. 181124) GEMSTAR TV-GUIDE INTERNATIONAL INC. 6922 Hollywood Boulevard, 4th Floor 6 7 Los Angeles, California 90028 Telephone: (323) 817-4930 Facsimile: (323) 817-4759 ron.naves@tyguide.com jeff.fehervari@tyguide.com 8 9 10 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ODS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P., d/b/a TVG 12 NETWORK and ODS PROPERTIES, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION 14 15 ODS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P., d/b/a TVG Case No.: CV 07-03265 DDP (RCx) NETWORK, a Delaware limited 16 partnership, and ODS PROPERTIES, SECOND AMENDED INC., a Dêlaware corporation, COMPLAINT FOR PATENT 17 INFRINGEMENT Plaintiff. 18 AND VS. 19 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** MAGNA ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and HRTV, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; XPRESSBET, INC., a 20 21 Delaware corporation, . 22 Defendants. 23 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 24 25 26 27 28

Plaintiffs ODS Technologies, L.P. and ODS Properties, Inc. allege for their Second Amended Complaint the following:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff ODS Technologies, L.P. ("ODS") is a Delaware limited partnership that does business as the TVG Network, with its principal place of business at 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 160, Los Angeles, California 90045.
- 2. Plaintiff ODS Properties, Inc. (also "ODS") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 160, Los Angeles, California 90045.
- 3. Defendant Magna Entertainment Corporation ("MEC") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Ontario, Canada.
- 4. Defendant HRTV, LLC ("HRTV") is a Delaware limited liability corporation with a place of business in Arcadia, California. On information and belief, MEC owns 50% of HRTV.
- 5. Defendant XpressBet, Inc. ("XpressBet") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Washington, Pennsylvania. On information and belief, XpressBet is a wholly-owned subsidiary of MEC.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1331.
- 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(b).
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants by virtue of their systematic, continuous, and routine contact with California. For example, MEC owns and operates Santa Anita Park, in Arcadia, California, and Golden Gate Fields, in Albany, California. HRTV has its principal place of business in

Valencia, California. XpressBet is licensed by the State of California's California Horseracing Board to simulcast horse races and accept wagers from California residents. In 2006, California residents transacted \$50 million in wagers through XpressBet.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 9. United States Patent No. 6,089,981 ("the '981 patent"), entitled "Interactive Wagering Systems and Processes," was issued July 18, 2000 to Brenner et al., and is owned by ODS Technologies, L.P. A copy of the '981 patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 10. United States Patent No. 6,554,709 ("the '709 patent"), entitled "Interactive Wagering Systems and Processes," was issued April 29, 2003 to Brenner et al., and is owned by ODS Technologies, L.P. A copy of the '709 patent is attached as Exhibit B.
- 11. United States Patent No. 5,830,068 ("the '068 patent"), entitled "Interactive Wagering Systems and Processes," was issued November 3, 1998 to Brenner et al., and is owned by ODS Technologies, L.P. A copy of the '068 patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- 12. United States Patent No. 6,004,211 ("the '211 patent"), entitled "Interactive Wagering Systems and Processes," was issued December 21, 1999 to Brenner et al., and is owned by ODS Technologies, L.P. A copy of the '211 patent is attached as Exhibit D.
- 13. Untied States Patent No. 7,229,354 ("the '354 patent"), entitled "Interactive Wagering Systems and Methods for Restricting Wagering Access," was issued June 12, 2007 to McNutt et al., and is owned by ODS Properties, Inc. A copy of the '354 patent is attached as Exhibit E. The '981, '709, '068, '211 and '354 patents are referred to collectively herein as the "Asserted Patents."

DEFENDANTS' INFRINGING XPRESSBET AND HRTV SYSTEMS

14. On information and belief, on or about January of 2002, Defendants began marketing and selling racetrack audiovisual and wagering services under the brand names "XpressBet" and "HRTV" to customers in this District and other parts of the United States. Defendants offer these services through at least one interactive wagering system that practices one or more claims of each of the Asserted Patents.

First Claim for Relief

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,089,981

- 15. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 14 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. Defendants have directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or actively induced infringement of the '981 patent by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States an interactive wagering system incorporating technology that practices one or more claims of the '981 patent.
- 17. Defendants' infringement of the '981 patent is without the consent of, authority of, or license from ODS.
- 18. On information and belief, Defendants have been given actual notice of their infringement of the '981 Patent.
- 19. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '981 patent has been and continues to be deliberate and willful, and such infringement will continue unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court.
- 20. As a consequence of Defendants' infringement complained of herein, ODS has been damaged and will continue to sustain damages by such acts in an amount to be determined at trial and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and injury.

1

Second Claim for Relief

2

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,554,709

4

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above, as

5

though fully set forth herein.

22. Defendants have directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or

21.

6

actively induced infringement of the '709 patent by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States an interactive wagering system

7 8

offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States an interactive wagering sy incorporating technology that practices one or more claims of the '709 patent.

9

23. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '709

1011

patent is without the consent of, authority of, or license from ODS.

24. On information and belief, Defendants have been given actual notice

12

of their infringement of the '709 Patent.

13

14

25. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '709 patent has been and continues to be deliberate and willful, and such infringement will continue unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this

15

Court.

injury.

1617

26. As a consequence of Defendants' infringement complained of herein, ODS has been damaged and will continue to sustain damages by such acts in an

19

18

amount to be determined at trial and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and

20

Third Claim for Relief

2122

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,830,068

2324

27. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 26 above, as though fully set forth herein.

2526

28. Defendants have directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or actively induced infringement of the '068 patent by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States an interactive wagering system

- 2 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27

28

- Defendants' infringement of the '068 patent is without the consent of, 29. authority of, or license from ODS.
- 30. On information and belief, Defendants have been given actual notice of their infringement of the '068 Patent.
- On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '068 31. patent has been and continues to be deliberate and willful, and such infringement will continue unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court.
- 32. As a consequence of Defendants' infringement complained of herein, ODS has been damaged and will continue to sustain damages by such acts in an amount to be determined at trial and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and injury.

Fourth Claim for Relief

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,004,211

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 32 above, as 33. though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants have directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or actively induced infringement of the '211 patent by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States an interactive wagering system incorporating technology that practices one or more claims of the '211 patent.
- 35. Defendants' infringement of the '211 patent is without the consent of, authority of, or license from ODS.
- 36. On information and belief, Defendants have been given actual notice of their infringement of the '211 Patent.
- 37. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '211 patent has been and continues to be deliberate and willful, and such infringement

will continue unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court.

38. As a consequence of Defendants' infringement complained of herein, ODS has been damaged and will continue to sustain damages by such acts in an amount to be determined at trial and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and injury.

Fifth Claim for Relief

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,229,354

- 39. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 38 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. Defendants Magna Entertainment Corporation and XpressBet, Inc. have directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or actively induced infringement of the '354 patent by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States an interactive wagering system incorporating technology that practices one or more claims of the '354 patent.
- 41. Defendants Magna Entertainment Corporation's and XpressBet, Inc.'s infringement of the '354 patent is without the consent of, authority of, or license from ODS.
- 42. As a consequence of Magna Entertainment Corporation's and XpressBet, Inc.'s infringement complained of herein, ODS has been damaged and will continue to sustain damages by such acts in an amount to be determined at trial and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and injury.

PRAYER FOR JUDGMENT AND RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury and requests judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271, a determination that Defendants have directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or actively induced infringement of

- U.S. Patent Nos. 6,089,981; 6,554,709; 5,830,068; 6,004,211; and 7,229,354 by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling in the United States, or by inducing or contributing to the making, use, importation, offering for sale, and/or sale of, infringing services;
- B. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, an order that Defendants be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing the Asserted Patents through the manufacture, use, import, offer for sale, and/or sale of the infringing services, or through inducing or contributing to the making, use, importation, offering for sale, and/or sale of the infringing services;
- C. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, an award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for infringement of the Asserted Patents, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment interest, costs and disbursements as fixed by the Court;
- D. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, an award increasing damages up to three times the amount found or assessed for infringement of the Asserted Patents by Defendants due to the willful and deliberate nature of the infringement;
- E. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, a determination that this is an exceptional case and entry of judgment for Plaintiffs' costs with an assessment of interest and reasonable attorney fees;
 - F. Award of pre-judgment interest; and
- G. Such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

1 2	Date: April 29, 2008 HG	OGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. chard L. Stone avid Ben-Meir
3	GH	EMSTAR TV-GUIDE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
4	Ro Jet	EMSTAR TV-GUIDE INTERNATIONAL, INC. onald E. Naves, Jr. ffrey A. Fehervari
5	Ву	
6		David Ben-Meir
7	At OI	torneys for Plaintiffs OS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P., d/b/a TVG ETWORK and ODS PROPERTIES, INC.
8	NÏ	ETWORK and ODS PROPERTIES, INC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		•
20 27		
28		
<i>ن</i>		8

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 38-1, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues triable as of right by a jury. Date: April 29, 2008 HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. Richard L. Stone David Ben-Meir GEMSTAR TV-GUIDE INTERNATIONAL, INC. Ronald E. Naves, Jr. Jeffrey A. Fehervari By: David Ben-Meir Attorneys for Plaintiffs ODS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P., d/b/a TVG NETWORK and ODS PROPERTIES, INC.