| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Bruce G. Chapman (SBN 164,258) bchapman@cblh.com Keith D. Fraser (SBN 216,279) kfraser@cblh.com CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE AND HI 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 787-2500 Facsimile: (213) 687-0498 Attorneys for Defendant SPENCER GI | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 10 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA | LIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | JSW ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a OPENERS PLUS, a California | Case No. CV 07-6878 DDP (FMOx) |
| 14 | corporation | |
| 15 16 | Plaintiff, | ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE |
| 17 | v. | DEFENSES, COUNTERCLAIMS AND CROSS-COMPLAINT |
| 18 | BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING, INC., a Texas corporation, and | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| 19 20 | SPENCER GIFTS, LLC, a limited liability company formed in the State of Delaware, | |
| 21 | Defendants. | |
| 22 | SPENCER GIFTS, LLC. | |
| 23 | Counterclaimant, | |
| 2425 | v. | |
| 26 | JSW ENTERPRISES, INC., dba | |
| 27 | OPENERS PLUS, a California corporation, | |
| 28 | Counterdefendants. | |

SPENCER GIFTS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND LA 12795-14 14647_1

SPENCER GIFTS, LLC Cross-Complainant, v. BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING, INC., a Texas corporation, Cross-Defendants. 1.

Defendant Spencer Gifts, LLC, ("Spencer Gifts") hereby responds to the allegations set forth in the First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement ("Amended Complaint"), by plaintiff JSW Enterprises, Inc. ("JSW") and asserts its affirmative defenses, counterclaims and cross-complaint as set forth below.

THE PARTIES

- 1. Spencer Gifts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
- 2. Spencer Gifts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
- 3. Spencer Gifts admits that it is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business at 6826 Black Horse Pike, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234. Spencer Gifts admits that it is doing business in the State of California. Spencer Gifts denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 3.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no response is required.

SPENCER GIFTS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND

COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND LA 12795-14 14647_1

 5. Admitted.

- 6. Spencer Gifts admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over it. The remainder of the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint are denied.
 - 7. Admitted.

PATENTS

- 8. Spencer Gifts admits that United States Design Patent No. D503,516 ("the '516 Patent") is entitled "Cap with Integral Bottle Opener," that it was issued on April 5, 1991, and that a copy of the '516 patent is attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit 1. Spencer Gifts denies that the '516 Patent was "duly and lawfully issued." Spencer Gifts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
- 9. Spencer Gifts admits that United States Design Patent No. D540,130 ("the '130 Patent") is entitled "Ball-Shaped Bottle Opener Assembly for Use With a Baseball Cap," that it was issued on April 10, 2007, and that a copy of the '130 patent is attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit 2. Spencer Gifts denies that the '130 Patent was "duly and lawfully issued." Spencer Gifts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
- 10. Spencer Gifts admits that United States Patent No. 7,284,284 ("the '284 Patent") is entitled "Headgear Article Incorporating a Bottle Opener," that it was issued on October 23, 2007, and that a copy of the '284 patent is attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit 3. Spencer Gifts denies that the '284 Patent was "duly and lawfully issued." Spencer Gifts lacks knowledge or information

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

SPENCER GIFTS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT: COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND LA 12795-14 14647_1

| 1 | 24. Denied. | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | 25. Denied. | | |
| 3 | CLAIM 3: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,284,284 | | |
| 4 | 26. Spencer Gifts repeats and incorporates herein the responses to | | |
| 5 | Paragraphs 1 through 25 above. | | |
| 6 7 | 27. Paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint contains conclusions of law | | |
| 8 | to which no response is required. | | |
| 9 | 28. Denied. | | |
| 10 | 29. Denied. | | |
| 11 | 30. Denied. | | |
| 12 | 31. Denied. | | |
| 13 | <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u> | | |
| 14 | Spencer Gifts hereby pleads the following affirmative defenses in response | | |
| 15 | to the Amended Complaint. | | |
| 16 | <u>First Affirmative Defense</u> | | |
| 17 | 1. Spencer Gifts has not infringed, and is not infringing, U.S. Patent No. | | |
| 18 | D503,516, directly or by contribution or by inducement. | | |
| 19 | Second Affirmative Defense | | |
| 20 | 2. Spencer Gifts has not infringed, and is not infringing, U.S. Patent No. | | |
| 21 | D540,130, directly or by contribution or by inducement. | | |
| 22 | Third Affirmative Defense | | |
| 23 | 3. Spencer Gifts has not infringed, and is not infringing, U.S. Patent | | |
| 24 | 7,284,284, directly or by contribution or by inducement. | | |
| 25 | Fourth Affirmative Defense | | |
| 26 27 | 4. The claims of U.S. Patent No. D503,516 are invalid for failure to | | |
| 28 | comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101 et seq. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| | SPENCER GIETS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT. | | |

Fifth Affirmative Defense

5. The claims of U.S. Patent No. D540,130 are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101 et seq.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

6. The claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,284,284 are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101 *et seq*.

COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST JSW ENTERPRISES INC.

Defendant / Counterclaimant Spencer Gifts, LLC ("Spencer Gifts"), hereby sets forth the following Counterclaims against Plaintiff / Counter-defendant JSW Enterprises, Inc. ("JSW").

Nature of the Action

1. This is Spencer Gifts' counterclaim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 for declaratory relief regarding the invalidity and non-infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. D503,516 ("the '516 Patent"); D540,130 ("the '130 patent"); and 7,284,284 ("the '284 Patent"); and an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

The Parties

- 2. Spencer Gifts is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6826 Black Horse Pike, Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey 08234.
- 3. Upon information and belief, JSW, doing business as Openers Plus, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business at 245 Fischer Avenue D-3, Costa Mesa, California 92626.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. An actual controversy exists with regard to the validity and infringement of the '516, '130, and '284 patents by virtue of the Amended Complaint.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over these Counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over JSW by virtue of the filing of their Complaint and Amended Complaint in this judicial district and because they have a principal place of business in this judicial district.
 - 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district over these Counterclaims.

COUNT I

INVALIDITY, UNENFORCEABILITY, AND/OR NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '516 PATENT

- 8. Spencer Gifts realleges and incorporates as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 7 of its Counterclaims.
- 9. The '516 patent is not infringed and has not been infringed by Spencer Gifts.
- 10. The claims of the '516 patent are invalid for failure to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

COUNT II

INVALIDITY, UNENFORCEABILITY, AND/OR NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '130 PATENT

- 11. Spencer Gifts realleges and incorporates as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 10 of its Counterclaims.
- 12. The '130 patent is not infringed and has not been infringed by Spencer Gifts.

13. The claims of the '130 patent are invalid for failure to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

COUNT III

INVALIDITY, UNENFORCEABILITY, AND/OR NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '284 PATENT

- 14. Spencer Gifts realleges and incorporates as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 13 of its Counterclaims.
- 15. The '284 patent is not infringed and has not been infringed by Spencer Giffs
- 16. The claims of the '284 patent are invalid for failure to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

WHEREFORE, Spencer Gifts respectfully requests:

- 1) That Plaintiff take nothing on its Amended Complaint;
- 2) A declaration that the '516 patent be declared not infringed and/or invalid;
- 3) A declaration that the '130 patent be declared not infringed and/or invalid;
- 4) A declaration that the '284 patent be declared not infringed and/or invalid;
- 5) A finding that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an award of its attorneys' fees;
 - 6) An award of costs of this suit; and
 - 7) Such further relief as is just and proper.

CROSS-COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFENDANT BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING INC. FOR INDEMNIFICATION

Defendant/Cross-complainant Spencer Gifts, LLC ("Spencer Gifts") hereby sets forth the following Cross-Complaint against Defendant and Cross-defendant Bioworld Merchandising, Inc. ("Bioworld").

Nature of the Action

1. This is an action for indemnity.

The Parties

- 2. Spencer Gifts is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6826 Black Horse Pike, Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey 08234.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Bioworld is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, having its principal place of business at 2730 Southwell Road, Dallas, Texas 75229.

Jurisdiction

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over these Counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 5. On information and belief, Bioworld does business in this district and in the State of California. Personal jurisdiction exists by virtue of Bioworld's contacts with this district and state, and by virtue of the fact that the acts and injury herein complained of arise in this district and state.
 - 6. Venue is proper in this judicial district.

First Cause of Action: Indemnity

7. JSW Enterprises, Inc, ("JSW") has filed a First Amended Complaint against Spencer Gifts, asserting that Spencer Gifts has infringed two design patents and one utility patent owned by JSW ("the patents-in-suit.").

- 8. JSW has asserted that Spencer Gifts is infringing the patents-in-suit by making using, selling, importing and/or offering to sell in the United States cap products covered by the patents-in-suit. JSW seeks damages from Spencer Gifts to compensate for the alleged infringement, an enhancement of damages for alleged willful infringement, an accounting, costs, fees, interest and injunctive relief.
- 9. Spencer Gifts purchased cap products from Bioworld that are alleged by JSW to infringe.
- 10. Pursuant to an agreement of the parties and/or § 2-312 of the Uniform Commercial Code, Bioworld has warranted that the cap products purchased by Spencer Gifts are free from any claim of infringement.
 - 11. Bioworld is in breach of that warranty.
 - 12. Spencer Gifts has been damaged by the breach of warranty.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Spencer Gifts respectfully requests:

- 1) An award of damages equal to any monetary relief JSW is awarded against Spencer Gifts in the underlying action;
- 2) An award of all expenses and costs, including attorneys' fees, incurred by Spencer Gifts in defending itself in the underlying action;

| 1 | 3) An award of costs; and | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 4) such further relief as is ju | ast and proper. |
| 3 | ,, 500011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 | |
| 4 | | CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP |
| 5 | | CONTOLL'I DO'T LODGE & HOTZ, EDI |
| 6 | | , 0 |
| 7 | Dated: December 12, 2007 | By: KUTTU |
| 8 | ŕ | Keith D. Fraser |
| 9 | | Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant |
| 10 | | and Cross-Complainant |
| 11 | | SPENCER GIFTS, LLC |
| 12 | | • |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | • |
| | | . 11 |

JURY DEMAND Spencer Gifts demands a trial by jury for any and all issues that are so triable in the Amended Complaint, the Affirmative Defenses, the Counterclaims and Cross-Complaint. CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP Dated: December 12, 2007 Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and Cross-Complainant SPENCER GIFTS, LLC SPENCER GIFTS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS CO. TV. 1777

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Keith D. Fraser, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. My business address is Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP, 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2300, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On December 12, 2007, I served the foregoing document described as:

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSES, COUNTERCLAIMS AND CROSS-COMPLAINT AND JURY

TRIAL DEMAND on the counsel shown below by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope addressed as follows:

| | enclosed in sealed envelope addressed as follows: | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
| | Brenton R. Babcock | Counsel for Plaintiff JSW | |
| | J. David Evered | ENTERPRISES, INC. | |
| | Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP | | |
| İ | 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor | Email: <u>bbabcock@kmob.com</u> | |
| | Irvine, CA 92614-3641 | devered@kmob.com | |
| | Tel: (949) 760-0404 | | |
| | Fax: (949) 760-9502 | | |
| | Eric K. Karich | Counsel for Plaintiff JSW | |
| | Law Office of Eric K. Karich | ENTERPRISES, INC. | |
| | Woodland Buinsess Park | | |
| | 2363 Hwy 287 N, Suite 203 | Email: eric@karich.net | |
| · | Mansfield, TX 76063 | | |
| | Tel: (800) 949-0255 | | |
| | Fax: (800) 949-0243 | | |
| | Roberta Jacobs-Meadway | Co-Counsel for Defendant SPENCER | |
| . | Richard E. Peirce | GIFTS, LLC | |
| | Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, | | |
| 1 | LLP | Email: | |
| | 1735 Market Street, 51st Floor | jacobsmeadwayr@ballardspahr.com | |
| | Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599 | peircer@ballardsphar.com | |
| | Tel: (215) 665-8500 | | |
| | Fax: (215) 864-8999 | | |
| | Karin G. Paganelli | Counsel for Defendant | |
| . | Todd E. Reese | BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING, | |
| | Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP | INC. | |
| ' | 11377 West Olympic Blvd. | | |
| | Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683 | Email: kgp@msk.com | |
| | Tel: (310) 312-2000 | ter@msk.com | |

| 1 | Fax: (310) 312-3100 | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Guy Vincent Manning Counsel for Defendant | | | |
| 3 | GUY VINCENT MANNING, P.E. BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING, INC. | | | |
| 4 | Fort Worth, TX 76102 | | | |
| 5 | Email: guyvmann@flash.net | | | |
| 6 | [X] BY MAIL I am readily familiar with the firm's practice regarding collection | | | |
| 7 | and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully | | | |
| 8 | prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am | | | |
| 9 | aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of | | | |
| 10 | deposit for mailing in affidavit. | | | |
| 11 12 | [] <u>BY FACSIMILE</u> I caused such document to be served via facsimile transmission on the addressees above. | | | |
| 13 | [X] BY E-Mail I caused such document(s) to be served via e-mail to the foregoing firms. | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 16 | [X] <u>FEDERAL</u> I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. | | | |
| 17 | I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States | | | |
| 18 | that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 12, 2007 at Los | | | |
| 19 | Angeles, California. | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | Keith D. Fraser//s// | | | |
| 22 | Name Signature | | | |
| 23 | · | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | • | | | |