1	K.T. Cherian (SBN 133967)				
2	Duane H. Mathiowetz (SBN 111831) Henry C. Su (SBN 211202)				
3	HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600				
4	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4900				
5	Facsimile: (415) 848-4999				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff O2 Micro International Limit	red			
7					
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRI				
	OAKLAND				
10	UARLAND	DIVISION			
11	O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, a Cayman Islands corporation,) Case No. C 06-02929 CW			
12	Plaintiff,	 [PROPOSED] THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT 			
13		INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR			
14	VS.	COMPETITION; DEMAND FOR JURY			
15	MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC., a California corporation; ASUSTEK COMPUTER,				
16	INC., a Taiwanese corporation; MICHAEL HSING, an individual; COMPAL				
17	ELECTRONICS, INC., a Taiwanese corporation; COMPAL INFORMATION (KUNSHAN) CO.,)			
18	LTD., a Chinese corporation; COMPAL ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY (KUNSHAN))			
19	CO., LTD., a Chinese corporation; ASUSTEK COMPUTER (SUZHOU) CO., LTD., a Chinese)			
20	corporation; ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING CORPORATION)			
21	LIMITED a/k/a ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING)			
22	COPORATION OF SHANGHAI, a Chinese corporation;)			
23	Defendants.)			
24)			
25	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS, CROSS- CLAIMS AND THIRD PARTY CLAIMS.)))			
26	· · /)			
27					
28					
HOWREY LLP					

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page2 of 10

1 Plaintiff, O2 Micro International Limited ("O2 Micro"), for its Third Amended Complaint 2 against Defendants, Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. ("MPS"), Compal Electronics, Inc. ("Compal"), 3 Compal Information (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. ("Compal Kunshan"), Compal Electronics Technology (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. ("Compal Technology Kunshan"), Asustek Computer Inc. ("Asus"), Asustek 4 5 Computer (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Asus Computer Suzhou"), Asustech (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Asus 6 Suzhou"), Advanced Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation Limited a/k/a Advanced 7 Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation of Shanghai ("ASMC") and Michael Hsing ("Hsing") alleges: 8

9

PARTIES

Plaintiff, O2 Micro, is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the
 Cayman Islands, having a principal place of business at Grand Pavilion Commercial Centre, West Bay
 Road, P.O. Box 32331 SMB, George Town, Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands.

13 2. Defendant, MPS, is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of 14 Delaware, having a usual place of business at 983 University Avenue, Building A, Los Gatos, 15 California. Defendant Compal is a Taiwanese corporation, having a principal place of business in 16 Taipei, Taiwan. Defendant Compal Kunshan is a Chinese corporation, having a principal place of 17 business in Kunshan, China. Defendant Compal Technology Kunshan is a Chinese corporation, 18 having a principal place of business in Kunshan, China. Defendant Asus is a Taiwanese corporation, having a principal place of business in Taipei, Taiwan. Defendant Asus Computer Suzhou is a Chinese 19 20corporation, having a principal place of business in Suzhou, China. Defendant Asus Suzhou is a 21 Chinese corporation, having a principal place of business in Suzhou, China. Defendant ASMC is a 22 Chinese corporation, having a principal place of business in Shanghai, China. Defendant Hsing is an 23 individual residing in the State of California (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants").

24

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States. Accordingly, this
Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). This Court has
original and supplemental jurisdiction over O2 Micro's unfair competition claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1338(b) and 1367(a).

HOWREY LLP

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page3 of 10

4. 1 This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants in that each has established 2 minimum contacts with the forum. Defendants, and each of them, manufacture, or caused to be 3 manufactured and/or assemble electronic products that are and have been used, offered for sale, sold, and purchased in California, including in this Judicial District. Therefore, the exercise of jurisdiction 4 5 over said Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. VENUE 6 5. 7 Defendants do business in this District, including providing or causing to be provided electronic products that are used, offered for sale, sold, and have been purchased in California, 8 including in this District. Venue is therefore proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 9 10(c), and (d) and 1400(b). 11 **INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT** 12 6. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), this action falls under one of the excepted 13 categories and therefore would have been subject to assignment on a district-wide basis had it been 14 filed in this District originally. It has been assigned to the Oakland Division, however, based on its relation to Case No. C 04-2000 CW (EDL), also pending in that Division. 15 16 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 7. 17 United States Patent No. 6,804,129 ("the '129 patent"), entitled High-Efficiency Adaptive DC/AC Converter, was duly and lawfully issued October 12, 2004. O2 Micro is the current 18 owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '129 patent. A true and correct copy of the '129 patent is 19 attached hereto as Exhibit A. 2021 8. United States Patent No. 6,396,722 ("the '722 patent"), entitled High-Efficiency 22 Adaptive DC/AC Converter, was duly and lawfully issued May 28, 2002. O2 Micro is the current 23 owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '722 patent. A true and correct copy of the '722 patent is 24 attached hereto as Exhibit B. 25 9. United States Patent No. 6,259,615 ("the '615 patent"), entitled High-Efficiency Adaptive DC/AC Converter, was duly and lawfully issued July 10, 2001. O2 Micro is the current 26 27 owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '615 patent. A true and correct copy of the '615 patent is 28 attached hereto as Exhibit C. HOWREY LLP

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page4 of 10

1	10. O2 Micro is and has been engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, and		
2	selling hardware, including integrated circuits that efficiently convert direct current ("DC") voltage to		
3	alternating current ("AC") voltage, which is and can be used to power an electronic device such as, for		
4	example, a cold cathode fluorescent lamp in a liquid crystal display.		
5	11. Defendants and each of them are also engaged in the business of designing,		
6	manufacturing, and selling computer hardware, including integrated circuits that convert DC voltage to		
7	AC voltage, such as DC-to-AC inverter controller circuits.		
8	FIRST COUNT		
9	(Infringement of the '129 patent by all Defendants)		
10	12. O2 Micro incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-11 of this		
11	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.		
12	13. Defendants and each of them, by designing, manufacturing, and/or selling computer		
13	hardware, including DC-to-AC inverter controller circuits, liquid crystal displays, and notebook		
14	computers which embody, or when used, practice one or more claims of the '129 patent, without		
15	license or permission from O2 Micro, has directly infringed, induced infringement and/or contributed		
16	to the infringement of the '129 patent. Accordingly, Defendants and each of them are liable for		
17	infringement of the '129 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.		
18	14. Defendants will continue to directly infringe, induce infringement and/or contribute to		
19	the infringement of the '129 patent, continuing to damage O2 Micro's business and causing irreparable		
20	harm unless enjoined by this Court.		
21	15. Defendants' acts of infringement have caused damage to O2 Micro and O2 Micro is		
22	entitled to recover from Defendants and each of them the damages sustained by O2 Micro as a result of		
23	Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.		
24	SECOND COUNT		
25	(Infringement of the '722 patent by Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan,		
26	Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou)		
27	16. O2 Micro incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-11 of this		
28	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.		
LLP			

HOWREY

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page5 of 10

17. 1 Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer 2 Suzhou and Asus Suzhou and each of them, by designing, manufacturing, and/or selling computer 3 hardware, including DC-to-AC inverter controller circuits, liquid crystal displays, and notebook computers which embody, or when used, practice one or more claims of the '722 patent, without 4 5 license or permission from O2 Micro, has directly infringed, induced infringement and/or contributed to the infringement of the '722 patent. Accordingly, Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology 6 7 Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou and each of them are liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. 8

9 18. Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer
10 Suzhou and Asus Suzhou will continue to directly infringe, induce infringement and/or contribute to
11 the infringement of the '722 patent, continuing to damage O2 Micro's business and causing irreparable
12 harm unless enjoined by this Court.

13 19. Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer
14 Suzhou and Asus Suzhou's acts of infringement have caused damage to O2 Micro and O2 Micro is
15 entitled to recover from Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus
16 Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou and each of them the damages sustained by O2 Micro as a result
17 of their wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

20. Upon information and belief, infringement by Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal
Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou of the '722 patent is willful and
deliberate, entitling O2 Micro to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and
costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

22

THIRD COUNT

23 (Infringement of the '615 patent by Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan,
 24 Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou)

25 21. O2 Micro incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-11 of this
26 Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

27 22. Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer
28 Suzhou and Asus Suzhou and each of them, by designing, manufacturing, and/or selling computer

HOWREY LLP

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page6 of 10

hardware, including DC-to-AC inverter controller circuits, liquid crystal displays, and notebook
 computers which embody, or when used, practice one or more claims of the '615 patent, without
 license or permission from O2 Micro, has directly infringed, induced infringement and/or contributed
 to the infringement of the '615 patent. Accordingly, Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology
 Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou and each of them are liable for infringement
 of the '615 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

7 23. Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer
8 Suzhou and Asus Suzhou will continue to directly infringe, induce infringement and/or contribute to
9 the infringement of the '615 patent, continuing to damage O2 Micro's business and causing irreparable
10 harm unless enjoined by this Court.

24. Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer
Suzhou and Asus Suzhou's acts of infringement have caused damage to O2 Micro and O2 Micro is
entitled to recover from Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus
Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou and each of them the damages sustained by O2 Micro as a result
of their wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

16 25. Upon information and belief, infringement by Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal
17 Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou of the '615 patent is willful and
18 deliberate, entitling O2 Micro to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and
19 costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

20

21

FOURTH COUNT

(Unfair Competition by MPS and Hsing)

22 26. O2 Micro incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-11 of this
23 Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

24 27. Upon information and belief, in addition to and in furtherance of their acts of patent
25 infringement, MPS and Hsing unfairly compete against O2 Micro by engaging in unlawful, unfair, and
26 fraudulent practices in soliciting and maintaining customers for electronics devices and computer
27 hardware, including integrated circuits that convert DC voltage to AC voltage used in products such as
28 flat panel displays and notebook computers.

HOWREY LLP

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page7 of 10

28. Upon information and belief, in addition to and in furtherance of their acts of patent
 infringement, MPS and Hsing have made agreements with certain employees of MPS's customers to
 grant MPS stock options to those individuals, so as to entice them to enter into and/or maintain a
 customer relationship with MPS.

5 29. Upon information and belief, in addition to and in furtherance of their acts of patent
6 infringement, MPS and Hsing have sold or caused to be sold MP1011A and MP1015 products in
7 Taiwan in violation of court injunctions in Taiwan, knowing that said products would be used in
8 electronic devices and computer hardware made, used, offered for sale or sold in the United States.

9 30. Upon information and belief, in addition to and in furtherance of their acts of patent
10 infringement, MPS and Hsing have solicited, and conspired and colluded with, one or more companies
11 to serve as second source suppliers of MPS integrated circuits for converting DC voltage to AC
12 voltage, knowing and intending that said products would be used in electronic devices and computer
13 hardware made, used, offered for sale or sold in the United States.

14 31. Upon information and belief, MPS and Hsing's wrongful conduct has caused O2 Micro
15 injury by competition and restraining trade in the manufacture and sale of integrated circuits for
16 efficiently converting DC voltage to AC voltage, diverting business from O2 Micro through the
17 marketing, distribution and sale of infringing products, and interfering with O2 Micro's prospective
18 business relationships.

32. MPS and Hsing's actions constitute unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent practices in
violation of the Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq*. Such unlawful practices offend the policy and
spirit of antitrust and unfair competition laws.

33. Accordingly, O2 Micro is entitled to restitution and disgorgement of profits unlawfully
obtained by MPS and Hsing. Further, the harm caused by MPS and Hsing to O2 Micro cannot be fully
remedied by restitution alone and thus constitutes irreparable harm. MPS and Hsing's unlawful, unfair
and fraudulent conduct will continue unless enjoined by the Court.

26

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

27 34. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil Local Rule 328 6(a), plaintiff demands a trial by jury of this action.

HOWREY LLP

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
2	WHE	WHEREFORE, O2 Micro prays for judgment and seeks relief against Defendants as follows:	
3	(a)	For judgment that the '129, '72	2 and '615 patents has been and/or continue to be
4	infringed by Defendants;		
5	(b)	For an accounting of all damag	es sustained by O2 Micro as the result of Defendants'
6	acts of infringement;		
7	(c)	For preliminary and permanent	injunctions enjoining the aforesaid acts of infringement
8	by Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and all		
9	other persons acting in concert or participation with Defendants, including related individuals and		
10	entities, customers, representatives, dealers, distributors, and importers;		
11	(d) For actual damages together with prejudgment interest;		
12	(e) For enhanced damages against MPS, Hsing, Compal, Compal Kunshan, Compal		
13	Technology Kunshan, Asus, Asus Computer Suzhou and Asus Suzhou pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;		
14	(f)	For an award of attorneys' fees	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted
15	by law;		
16	(g)	For an award of restitution and	an order compelling disgorgement of profits based on
17	MPS's and Hsing's wrongful conduct against O2 Micro in violation of unfair competition and antitrust		
18	laws;		
19	(h)	For preliminary and permanent	injunctions enjoining the aforesaid acts of unfair
20	competition	by MPS, Hsing, and MPS's office	ers, agents, servants, employees, subsidiaries, successors,
21	assigns, and	assigns, and all other persons acting in concert or participation with MPS;	
22	(i)	For all costs of suit; and	
23	(j)	For such other and further relie	f as the Court may deem just and proper.
24	Dated: July	26, 2006	HOWREY LLP
25			
26			By: <u>/s/ Henry C. Su</u> Henry C. Su
27			Attorneys for Plaintiff O2 Micro International Limited
28			02 mero memanonar Emiteu
HOWREY LLP		roposed] Third Amondod Complaint	7
	$ \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle \mathbf{I} / \mathbf{I} / \mathbf{O} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{r} $	CONCERNING A MANDOOD COMPLETE	

Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39 Filed07/26/06 Page8 of 10

	Case4:06-cv-02929-CW Document39	9 Filed07/26/06 Page9 of 10
1	CERTIFICATE	E OF SERVICE
2	As required by Civil Local Rule 5-6(a)(2), the	ne undersigned hereby certifies that on
3	July 26, 2006, a true and correct copy of:	
4	[PROPOSED] THIRD AMENDED COMPLA	AINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND
5	UNFAIR COMPETITION; D	
	was served on the fallowing sourcel of accord for D	afan danta alaatuuni aallu thusu ah this Count's
6	was served on the following counsel of record for D	
7	Electronic Case Filing System, in accordance with C	Civil Local Rule 5-5(b):
8	Thomas J. Friel, Jr. tfriel@cooley.com	James P. Brogan jbrogan@cooley.com
9	Matthew J. Brigham	Chad T. Nitta
10	mbrigham@cooley.com COOLEY GODWARD LLP	<u>nittact@cooley.com</u> COOLEY GODWARD LLP
11	3000 El Camino Real Five Palo Alto Square	380 Interlocken Crescent, Suite 900 Broomfield, CO 80021-8023
12	Palo Alto, CA 94306	Telephone: (720) 566-4000 Facsimile: (720) 566-4099
	Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 857-0663	
13	Brian E. Mitchell	Robert Steinberg bob.steinberg@lw.com
14	bmitchell@cooley.com	Mark A. Flagel
15	COOLEY GODWARD LLP 101 California Street, 5 th Floor	mark.flagel@lw.com
	San Francisco, CA 94111-5800	LATHAM & WATKINS 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
16	Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2007
17	Dean G. Dunlavey	Telephone: (213) 485-1234
18	dean.dunlavey@lw.com	Facsimile: (213) 891-8763
19	LATHAM & WATKINS 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor	Kaiwen Tseng ktseng@orrick.com
20	Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925	Sanjeet K. Dutta sdutta@orrick.com
21	Telephone: (714) 540-1235 Facsimile: (714) 755-8290	Matthew J. Hult
		<u>matthult@orrick.com</u> James Lin
22	Thomas Allan Connop tconnop@lockeliddell.com	jlin@orrick.com ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
23	Charles Edward Phipps <u>cphipps@lockeliddell.com</u>	1000 Marsh Road
24	LOCKE LIDDELL & SAPP, LLP	Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 614-7400
25	2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2200 Dallas, TX 75201	Facsimile: (650) 614-7401
26	Telephone: (214) 740-8000	
	Facsimile: (214) 740-8800	
27		
28		

HOWREY LLP

1	Randall G. Block
2	<u>randall.block@sdma.com</u> SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
3	One Market Plaza
4	Steuart Tower, 8 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635
5	Facsimile: (415) 781-2635
6	
7	/s/ Honry C. Su
8	/s/ Henry C. Su Henry C. Su
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
HOWREY LLP	2