541121

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

TRUDEAU CORPORATION 1889 INC.,

Plaintiff,

V

DUO YEU METAL CO., LTD,

Defendant.

Case: 2:06-cv-11752

Assigned To: Cleland, Robert H. Referral Judge: Whalen, R. Steven. Assign. Date: 4/11/2006 @4:30 P.M. Description: cmp TRUDEAU CORP 1889 INC V. DUO YEU METAL CO.

LTD (TAM)

Paul L. Nystrom (P57067) Thomas J. Murray (P56331) Attorneys for Trudeau Corporation 1889 Inc. Dykema Gossett PLLC 39577 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 (248) 203-0700

Vincent P. Kovalick, Esq. Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Co-Counsel for Trudeau Corporation 1889 Inc. 901 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001-4413 (202) 408-4000

CLERK'S OFFICE

<u>COMPLAINT</u>

Trudeau Corporation 1889, Inc. ("Trudeau" or "Plaintiff"), by its undersigned attorneys,

for its Complaint alleges as follows:

1.

NATURE OF THE CASE

This is a civil action for declaratory judgment of non-infringement of U.S. Pateril No. 6,830,205 ("the '205 patent"), titled "Electric Pepper Mill."

PARTIES

Plaintiff Trudeau is a Canadian corporation with a corporate headquarters in 2. Longueuil/Boucherville, in the province of Quebec. Plaintiff Trudeau also has an office, showroom, and warehouse in the United States and has an extensive network of sales representatives throughout the United States and other countries in the world. Trudeau's products are sold in the United States by several distributors/retailers, including Bed Bath & Beyond and Crate and Barrel.

- 3. On information and belief, Defendant Duo Yeu Metal Co., Ltd. ("Duo Yeu") is a Taiwanese corporation with its principal place of business at 8-18, Liu Kuai Liao, An Ding Hsiang, Tainan Hsien, Taiwan.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Duo Yeu offers its products for sale in the United States and this Judicial District via the internet, or otherwise has sufficient contacts with and in the United States and this District for this Court to have personal jurisdiction over it.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgments Act and the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, et seq. This Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 1367, 2201, and 2202.
- 6. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(d), because Plaintiff is being harmed in this District and because the Defendant is a foreign entity.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NO INFRINGEMENT OF THE '205 PATENT

- 8. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 7 and incorporate them by reference.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,830,205 ("the '205 patent"), titled "Electric Pepper Mill."
- 10. Plaintiff Trudeau has developed and marketed for sale, through its U.S. retailers, several products, including an electric spice mill.

- 11. Defendant has taken actions that leave Plaintiff Trudeau in reasonable apprehension of suit for infringement of the '205 patent.
- 12. Defendant has notified Plaintiff of Defendant's '205 patent, asserted that Plaintiff' infringes the '205 patent, and demanded that Plaintiff and its U.S. Distributors/retailers cease and desist from producing and distributing in the U.S. certain electric spice mills.
- 13. Defendant has stated in communications with Plaintiff Trudeau and its U.S. retailers Bed Bath & Beyond and Crate and Barrel, that Plaintiff and its U.S. retailers are not authorized to use Defendant's intellectual property, which includes the '205 patent.
- 14. Plaintiff Trudeau is continuing to make, use, sell, and offer for sale in the U.S. the electric spice mill alleged to infringe the '205 patent.
- 15. Plaintiff Trudeau does not infringe the '205 patent, and Defendant does not have any rights in the '205 patent that can be asserted against Plaintiff or its products.
- 16. There exists a justiciable case and controversy between the parties regarding the '205 patent and defendant's assertion of that patent against Plaintiff.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff Trudcau respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Declare that Plaintiff Trudeau has not infringed the '205 patent either directly or indirectly and that Defendant has no rights in the '205 patent that it can assert against Trudeau;
- B. Declare this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its costs, disbursements, and attorney fees in connection with this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and any other authority deemed appropriate by the Court; and
- C. Award Plaintiff any other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all matters properly tried by jury.

Paul L. Nystrom (P57067)

Thomas J. Murray (P56331)

Dykema Gossett PLLC

Attorneys for Trudeau Corporation 1889 Inc.

39577 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

Phone: (248) 203-0700

E-mail: pnystrom@dykema.com

tmurray@dykema.com

and

Vincent P. Kovalick, Esq.

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &

Dunner, LLP

Co-Counsel for Trudeau Corporation

1889 Inc.

901 New York Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20001-4413

(202) 408-4000

E-mail: vince.kovalick@finnegan.com

Date: April 11, 2006

BH01\618556.1 ID\PLN 2:06-cv-11752-SFC-RSW Doc # 1 Filed 04/11/06 Pg 5 of 6

SJS 44 (Rcv. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose Oakland

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Trudeau Corporation 1889 Inc.				DEFENDANTS Duo Yeu Metal Co., Ltd.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Paul L. Nystrom and Thomas J. Murray Dykema Gossett PLLC 39577 Woodward Ave., Suite 300, Bloemfield Hills, MI 48304 (248) 203-0700				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Taiwan (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE CLAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURIS			III. CI	Case: 2:06-c	w.11750	_
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Defendant	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government !	•,	Citizo Citizo Citizo	Assigned To Referral Judg Assign: Date Description: 1889 INC V.	: Cleland, Robert H ge: Whalen, R. Steve e. 4/11/2006 @4:30 cmp TRUDEAU COI DUO YEU METAL (P.M. RP
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T real-section as contain	· ·	For	(1 A.W I)		
CONTRACT	(Select One Box Only)	rrs	FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & EnforcementofJudgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans □ (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Porcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejecument □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 310 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 955 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAI. INJUR 362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persone Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIOI 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Curpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Od 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	0 6 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R R & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LAHOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Lahor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawn 28 USC 157 ROPERTY RIGHTS 826 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395#) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS=Third Parry 26 USC 7609 APR 2008	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 432 Environmental Matters 842 Environmental Matters 843 Environmental Matters 844 Environmental Matters 845
of I Original □ 2 Re	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	stated or 5 Transf another ened (specif		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you at 28 USC 2201 Brief description of cause: Declaratory judgment action for non-infringer				To startutes unless diversity).		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				EMANDS aratory Judgment APR		if demanded in complaint: **M Yes
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		CLERA	DOCKET KUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD		
April 10, 2006 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI)GE

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, give	the following information:	✓ No
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	☐ Yes ✓ No
If yes, give	e the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
Notes :		