LATHAM & WATKINS 1 Bruce D. Kuyper (Bar No. 144969) Michael J. Lawrence (Bar No. 180678) ORIGINAL 2 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90071-2007 3 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Priority FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 4 Send Clsd Attorneys for Plaintiff AUG - 2 2001ANSWĚR PRODUCTS, INC. \_ Enter 6 \_ JS-5/JS-6 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY JS-2/JS-3 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 WESTERN DIVISION 11 ANSWER PRODUCTS, INC., a 712 CASE NO. CV 01-02635 ER (RZX) California corporation,  $\mathfrak{P}_3$ AMENDED COMPLAINT: Plaintiff. DEMAND FOR JURY TRÍAL 14 15 ROCKSHOX, INC., a Delaware 16 corporation, 17 Defendant. 18 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 19 20 21 Plaintiff Answer Products, Inc. ("Answer"), for its complaint against 22 Defendant RockShox, Inc. ("RockShox"), alleges as follows: 23 24 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 25 This action arises under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281 (patent 1. 26 infringement). This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and 27 § 1338(a) (patents). ENTER ON ICMS 28 AUG 2 2001 latham & Watkins AMENDED COMPLAINT TORNEYS AT LAW DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL LOS ANGELES (CV 01-02635 ER (RZx)) m

Case 2:01-cv-02635-ER-RZ Document 22 Filed 08/02/01 Page 1 of 9 Page ID #:100

27

28

change the length of travel as desired for a particular terrain.

In 1998, RockShox introduced bicycle suspension forks that had a

9.

1

7

8

## 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

26

28

feature that RockShox called the "All Travel System." This feature is essentially a copy of Answer's "Convertible Travel" design. Answer protected its significant investment in research and development of its "Convertible Travel" feature by applying for and obtaining a patent on this significant improvement. RockShox appears to have copied Answer's invention in order to stay in competition with Answer. RockShox is exploiting Answer's invention for its own purposes without permission.

# ANSWER'S TWIN PISTON CHAMBER DESIGN

- In about June 1996, Answer launched a research and development 10. effort to design a novel oil-filled shock absorbing front fork. This fork would contain two pistons - one to dampen the effect of compression of the fork, and the other to dampen the rebound stroke from the initial compression movement. Answer called this new fork its twin piston chamber ("TPC") design.
- Answer's new TPC forks were an instant success. Interest from bike 11. manufacturers and riders soared.
- In approximately September 1997, Answer began additional 12. significant research and development efforts to design further improvements to its successful TPC design. The improvement was to add a second, floating piston to its original TPC design. Answer calls this further improved design its "TPC+" design.
- 13. Answer's forks containing its new TPC+ technology further solidified Answer's position as a technological innovator and leader. Interest from bike manufacturers and riders again soared.
- RockShox lost market share as a result of Answer's successful new 14. fork design. RockShox apparently decided to copy Answer's TPC and TPC+ designs in order to regain market share and remain competitive with Answer. In about March 2000, RockShox began offering its "PURE" damping system fork with a compression piston and a rebound piston. On information and belief, RockShox developed its "PURE"

- Answer incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-15 herein.
- Answer is the owner of United States Letters Patent No. 5,470,090 ("the '090 patent"). The '090 patent is entitled "Precision Suspension Fork for Bicycles." The '090 patent was issued on November 28, 1995. Answer owns the '090 patent.
- RockShox has a license from Answer relating to claims 16 and 17 of the '090 patent. However, RockShox is infringing other claims of the '090 patent, including, but not limited to claim 24, with such designs as its "All Travel System" feature.
- RockShox has been, and still is, infringing, contributing to the 19. infringement of, and inducing the infringement of, the '090 patent by making, selling, using, offering for sale, or importing into the United States bicycle forks embodying or practicing the patented invention. RockShox will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 20. RockShox's infringement of the '090 patent has been, and continues to be, willful, entitling Answer to enhanced damages.
- Answer has been damaged by RockShox's infringement, which will 21. continue unless enjoined by this Court.

26

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

1	COUNT II
2	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,848,675)
3	22. Answer incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-21 herein.
4	23. Answer is the owner of United States Letters Patent No. 5,848,675
5	("the '675 patent"). The '675 patent is entitled "Damping Apparatus for Bicycle Forks."
6	The '675 patent was issued on December 15, 1998 to Answer.
7	24. RockShox has been, and still is, infringing, contributing to the
8	infringement of, and inducing the infringement of, the '675 patent by making, selling,
9	using, offering for sale, or importing into the United States bicycle forks embodying or
10	practicing the patented invention, including but not limited to forks with its "PURE"
11	damping system. RockShox will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
12	25. RockShox's infringement of the '675 patent has been and continues
13	to be willful, entitling Answer to enhanced damages.
14	26. Answer has been damaged by RockShox's infringement, which will
15	continue unless enjoined by this Court.
16	
17	<u>COUNT III</u>
18	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,241,060)
19	27. Answer incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-26 herein.
20	28. Answer is the owner of United States Letters Patent No. 6,241,060
21	("the '060 patent"). The '060 patent is entitled "Oil Damped Fork." The '060 patent was
22	issued on June 5, 2001 to Answer.
23	29. RockShox has been, and still is, infringing, contributing to the
24	infringement of, and inducing the infringement of, the '060 patent by making, selling,
25	using, offering for sale, or importing into the United States bicycle forks embodying or
26	practicing the patented invention, including but not limited to forks with its "PURE"
27	damping system. RockShox will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
28	30. RockShox's infringement of the '060 patent has been and continues

28

n award of such other and further relief as the Court finds just and
2001
Respectfully submitted,
LATHAM & WATKINS Bruce D. Kuyper Michael J. Lawrence
Michael J. Lawrence Anissa D. Seymour
By Wichael & Lewree
By Michael J. Lawrence
Michael J. Lawrence Attorneys for Plaintiff ANSWER PRODUCTS, INC.

Latham & Watkins

Attorneys at Law
Los Angeles

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff Answer Products, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues
3	so triable.
4	Dated: July 18, 2001
5	Respectfully submitted,
6 7	LATHAM & WATKINS Bruce D. Kuyper Michael J. Lawrence
8	Avionaci y. Euwichee
9	By Michael J. Lawrence
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANSWER PRODUCTS, INC.
11	THOWER TROBUCTS, INC.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
26	
27	
28	

Latham & Watkins
Attorneys at Law
Los Angeles

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is Latham & Watkins, 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, CA 90071-2007.

On July 18, 2001, I served the following document described as:

#### AMENDED COMPLAINT; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

by serving a true copy of the above-described document in the following manner:

### APPER PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

I am familiar with the office practice of Latham & Watkins for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, documents are deposited with the Latham & Watkins personnel responsible for depositing documents with the United States Postal Service; such documents are delivered to the United States Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business, with postage thereon fully prepaid. I deposited in Latham & Watkins' interoffice mail a sealed envelope or package containing the above-described document and addressed as set forth below in accordance with the office practice of Latham & Watkins for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service:

Linnea Brown Holme Roberts & Owen LLP 1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4100 Denver, CO 80203-4541 (303) 866-0608

Jane H. Barrett Katherine M. Marelich Preston Gates & Ellis LLP 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5524 (213) 624-2395

#### BY FACSIMLE 1. The little is the second of the BY FACSIMLE 1. The second of the second

I am familiar with the office practice of Latham & Watkins for collecting, processing, and transmitting facsimiles. Under that practice, when a facsimile is deposited with the Latham & Watkins personnel responsible for facsimiles, such facsimile is transmitted that same day in the ordinary course of business. I deposited the above-described document for facsimile transmission in accordance with the office practice of Latham & Watkins for collecting and processing facsimiles. The facsimile of the above-described document was transmitted to the following parties from Los Angeles, California on July 18, 2001, at the times noted on the attached confirmation sheet:

Linnea Brown Holme Roberts & Owen LLP 1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4100 Denver, CO 80203-4541

Phone No.: (303) 866-0608 Fax No.: (303) 866-0200

Jane H. Barrett Katherine M. Marelich Preston Gates & Ellis LLP 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5524

Phone No.: (213) 624-2395 Fax No.: (213) 624-5924

The facsimile number of the sending machine is (213) 891-8763. Said transmission was complete and without error. All parties on whom this facsimile transmission has been served have agreed in writing to such form of service pursuant to agreement.

I affirm that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of, or permitted to practice before, this Court at whose direction the service was made and affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 18, 2001, at Los Angeles, California.

George Lewis MacDonell