

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Jean-Marc Zimmerman (JZ 7743)  
Zimmerman, Levi & Korsinsky, LLP  
226 St. Paul Street  
Westfield, NJ 07090  
Tel: (908) 654-8000  
Fax: (908) 654-7207

Attorneys for Plaintiff Eon-Net, L.P.

EON-NET, L.P.  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
SOMMERS PLASTIC PRODUCTS CO. INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Eon-Net, L.P., a limited partnership (hereinafter referred to as "Eon-Net"), demands a jury trial and complains against the defendant as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Eon-Net is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the Cayman Islands, with its principal place of business at P.O. Box 116, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands.

2. Upon information and belief, Sommers Plastic Products Co. Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or "Sommers") is a business organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, having a place of business at 31 Styertowne Road, Clifton, New Jersey 07012.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States of America, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

1 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is doing business and committing  
2 infringements in this judicial district and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

3 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

4 **CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

5 6. Plaintiff, Eon-Net, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations  
6 contained in paragraphs 1 through 5 above.

7 7. On January 27, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,683,697 (hereinafter referred to as “the ‘697  
8 patent”) was duly and legally issued to Eon-Net for an invention entitled “Information Processing  
9 Methodology.” A copy of the ‘697 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1.  
10

11 8. Eon-Net is the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the ‘697 patent by way  
12 of Assignment from Millennium.

13 **COUNT ONE**

14 9. Plaintiff, Eon-Net, repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations  
15 contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 above.

16 10. Sommers has for a long time past and still is infringing, actively inducing the  
17 infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district, the ‘697 patent by, among  
18 other things, collecting information over the Internet pursuant to a claim of the ‘697 patent, and  
19 deploying for Defendant’s own use an application distributed over the Internet in which information  
20 is collected and extracted from a customer of Defendant and processed on Defendant’s server as  
21 defined by the claims of the ‘697 patent without permission from Eon-Net and will continue to do  
22 so unless enjoined by this Court.  
23

24 11. Plaintiff, Eon-Net, has been damaged by such infringing activities by the Defendant  
25 of the ‘855 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by  
26 this Court.  
27  
28

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, THE Plaintiff, Eon-Net prays for judgment against the Defendant Sommers on all the counts and for the following relief:

- A. Declaration that the Plaintiff is the owner of the ‘697 patent, and that the Plaintiff has the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;
- B. Declaration that the ‘697 patent is valid and enforceable;
- C. Declaration that the Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and contributorily infringed ‘697 patent;
- D. A preliminary and permanent injunction against the Defendant, each of its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, all parent and subsidiary corporations, their assigns and successors in interest, and those persons acting in active concert or participation with them, enjoining them from continuing acts of infringement, active inducement of infringement, and contributory infringement of Eon-Net’s ‘697 patent;
- E. An accounting for damages under 35 U.S.C. §284 for infringement of Eon-Net’s ‘697 patent by the Defendant and the award of damages so ascertained to the Plaintiff, Eon-Net, together with interest as provided by law;
- F. Award of reasonable attorney’s fees to the Plaintiff, Eon-Net, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285;
- G. Award of Eon-Net’s costs and expenses; and
- H. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper, just and equitable.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

The Plaintiff, Eon-Net, demands a trial by jury of all issues properly triable by jury in this action.

By: /s/Jean-Marc Zimmerman  
Jean-Marc Zimmerman (JZ 7743)  
Zimmerman, Levi & Korsinsky, LLP  
226 St. Paul Street  
Westfield, NJ 07090  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Eon-Net, L.P.

Dated: February 17, 2006  
Westfield, NJ