

1 ANDREW P. GORDON, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 3421
3 McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
4 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
6 Telephone: (702) 873-4100
7 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966

8 ROBERT T. HASLAM, ESQ.
9 ANDREW C. BYRNES, ESQ.
10 HELLER EHRMAN LLP
11 275 Middlefield Road
12 Menlo Park, CA 94025-3506
13 Telephone: (650) 324-7000
14 Facsimile: (650) 324-0638

15 MICHAEL K. PLIMACK, ESQ.
16 DALE A. RICE, ESQ.
17 HELLER EHRMAN LLP
18 333 Bush Street
19 San Francisco, CA 94104-2878
20 Telephone: (415) 772-6000
21 Facsimile: (415) 772-6268
22 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
24 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

25 RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY
26 LICENSING, L.P.,

27 Plaintiff,

28 v.

SIERRA PACIFIC RESOURCES,
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
AND NEVADA POWER COMPANY,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-cv-00737-RLH-PAL

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P. ("Katz Technology Licensing") states as follows for its complaint against Sierra Pacific Resources, Sierra Pacific Power Company and Nevada Power Company (together, "Defendants"):

JURISDICTION

1. This is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. sections 101 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. sections 1331 and 1338(a).

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Katz Technology Licensing is a California limited partnership with its principal place of business at 9220 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 315, Los Angeles, California 90069.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Sierra Pacific Resources is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business at 6100 Neil Road, Reno, Nevada 89511.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Sierra Pacific Power Company is (a) a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business at 6100 Neil Road, Reno, Nevada 89511 and (b) a subsidiary of Sierra Pacific Resources.

5. On information and belief, Defendant Nevada Power Company is (a) a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business at 6266 West Sahara Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 and (b) a subsidiary of Sierra Pacific Resources.

VENUE

6. Defendants are subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because, on information and belief, (1) they are Nevada corporations; (2) they do substantial business in this district; (3) they operate infringing automated call processing systems that are available to their customers, including customers in this district; and/or (4) they regularly solicit business from, do business with, and derive revenue from goods and services provided to, customers in this district.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. sections 1391(c) and 1400(b) because Defendants are organized, reside and/or engage in significant business activities in this district as set forth in Paragraph 6 above.

BACKGROUND

8. Ronald A. Katz ("Mr. Katz"), founder of Katz Technology Licensing, is the sole inventor of each of the patents-in-suit. Mr. Katz has been widely recognized as one of the most prolific and successful inventors of our time, and his inventions over the last forty-plus years have been utilized by literally millions of people.

9. In 1961, Mr. Katz co-founded Telecredit Inc. ("Telecredit"), the first company to provide online, real-time credit authorization, allowing merchants to verify checks over the telephone. Further innovations from Telecredit include the first online, real-time, point-of-sale credit verification terminal, which enabled merchants to verify checks without requiring the assistance of a live operator, and the first device that used and updated magnetically-encoded cards in automated teller machines. Multiple patents issued from these innovations, including patents co-invented by Mr. Katz.

10. Telecredit was eventually acquired by Equifax, and has now been spun off as Certegy, a public company traded on the New York Stock Exchange. Certegy continues to provide services in the credit and check verification field established by Mr. Katz and Telecredit.

11. Mr. Katz's inventions have not been limited to telephonic check verification. Indeed, Mr. Katz is responsible for advancements in many fields of technology. Among his most prominent and well-known innovations are those in the field of interactive call processing. Mr. Katz's inventions in that field are directed to the integration of telephonic systems with computer databases and live operator call centers to provide interactive call processing services.

12. The first of Mr. Katz's interactive call processing patents issued on December 20, 1988. More than fifty U.S. patents have issued to Mr. Katz for his inventions in the interactive call-processing field, including each of the patents-in-suit.

13. In 1988, Mr. Katz partnered with American Express to establish FDR Interactive Technologies, later renamed Call Interactive, to provide interactive call processing services based on Mr. Katz's inventions. The American Express business unit involved in this joint venture later became known as First Data.

1 14. Early clients of Call Interactive included *The New York Times*, ABC's
2 *Monday Night Football*, KABC Radio, CBS News, and Beatrice Foods (Hunt-Wesson
3 division).

4 15. Many of these clients utilized Call Interactive technology for high-profile
5 events. For example, CBS News hired Call Interactive to operate an interactive, real-time
6 telephone poll to gauge viewer reaction to President George H.W. Bush's 1992 State of
7 the Union address.

8 16. Mr. Katz sold his interest in Call Interactive to American Express in 1989
9 but continued to provide advisory services to Call Interactive until 1992. American
10 Express later spun off the First Data business unit into a separate corporation, and with
11 that new entity went Mr. Katz's interactive call processing patents and the Call Interactive
12 call processing business. The former Call Interactive, now known as First Data Voice
13 Services, continues to provide call processing solutions today.

14 17. In 1994, Mr. Katz formed Katz Technology Licensing, which acquired the
15 rights to the entire interactive call processing patent portfolio, including the rights to each
16 of the patents-in-suit, from First Data, the owner of all of the Katz interactive call
17 processing patents at that time.

18 18. The marketplace has clearly recognized the value of Mr. Katz's inventions.
19 Indeed, over one hundred fifty companies have licensed the patents-in-suit. Licensees
20 include IBM, Hewlett-Packard, Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, Wells Fargo, HSBC,
21 Verizon, Sprint, Microsoft, Delta Airlines, Merck, Sears, Citibank, and the Home
22 Shopping Network. These licensees and others acknowledge the applicability of the
23 patents-in-suit to multiple fields of use, including but not limited to financial services call
24 processing, automated securities transactions, automated credit card authorization
25 services, automated wireless telecommunication services and support, automated health
26 care services, and product and service support.

27 19. Each of the defendants employs the inventions of certain of the patents-in-
28 suit. Katz Technology Licensing, through its licensing arm A2D, L.P., has repeatedly

1 attempted to engage each defendant in licensing negotiations, but to date, none of the
2 defendants has agreed to take a license to any of the patents-in-suit.

3
4 **THE ASSERTED PATENTS**

5 20. On December 20, 1988, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
6 and legally issued United States Patent No. 4,792,968 (the “‘968 Patent”) to Ronald A.
7 Katz for an invention entitled “Statistical Analysis System for Use With Public
8 Communication Facility.” The ‘968 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

9 21. On May 29, 1990, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and
10 legally issued United States Patent No. 4,930,150 (the “‘150 Patent”) to Ronald A. Katz
11 for an invention entitled “Telephonic Interface Control System.” The ‘150 Patent expired
12 on December 20, 2005.

13 22. On July 7, 1992, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and
14 legally issued United States Patent No. 5,128,984 (the “‘984 Patent”) to Ronald A. Katz
15 for an invention entitled “Telephone Interface Call Processing System With Call
16 Selectivity.”

17 23. On September 27, 1994, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
18 duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,351,285 (the “‘285 Patent”) to
19 Ronald A. Katz for an invention entitled “Multiple Format Telephonic Interface Control
20 System.” The ‘285 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

21 24. On October 1, 1996, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
22 and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,561,707 (the “‘707 Patent”) to Ronald A.
23 Katz for an invention entitled “Telephonic-Interface Statistical Analysis System.” The
24 ‘707 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

25 25. On November 4, 1997, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
26 and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,684,863 (the “‘863 Patent”) to Ronald A.
27 Katz for an invention entitled “Telephonic-Interface Statistical Analysis System.” The
28 ‘863 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

29 26. On September 29, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,815,551 (the “‘551 Patent”) to

1 Ronald A. Katz for an invention entitled "Telephonic-Interface Statistical Analysis
2 System." The '551 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

3 27. On October 27, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
4 and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,828,734 (the "'734 Patent") to Ronald A.
5 Katz for an invention entitled "Telephone Interface Call Processing System With Call
6 Selectivity."

7 28. On June 29, 1999, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and
8 legally issued United States Patent No. 5,917,893 (the "'893 Patent") to Ronald A. Katz
9 for an invention entitled "Multiple Format Telephonic Interface Control System." The
10 '893 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

11 29. On October 26, 1999, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
12 and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,974,120 (the "'120 Patent") to Ronald A.
13 Katz for an invention entitled "Telephone Interface Call Processing System With Call
14 Selectivity."

15 30. On November 14, 2000, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
16 and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,148,065 (the "'065 Patent") to Ronald A.
17 Katz for an invention entitled "Telephonic-Interface Statistical Analysis System." The
18 '065 Patent expired on July 10, 2005.

19 31. On September 18, 2001, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
20 duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,292,547 (the "'547 Patent") to
21 Ronald A. Katz for an invention entitled "Telephonic-Interface Statistical Analysis
22 System." The '547 Patent expired on July 10, 2005.

23 32. On January 1, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and
24 legally issued United States Patent No. 6,335,965 (the "'965 Patent") to Ronald A. Katz
25 for an invention entitled "Voice-Data Telephonic Interface Control System." The '965
26 Patent expired on December 20, 2005.

27 33. On July 23, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and
28 legally issued United States Patent No. 6,424,703 (the "'703 Patent") to Ronald A. Katz

1 for an invention entitled "Telephonic-Interface Lottery System." The '703 Patent expired
2 on July 10, 2005.

3 34. On August 13, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
4 and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,434,223 (the "'223 Patent") to Ronald A.
5 Katz for an invention entitled "Telephone Interface Call Processing System With Call
6 Selectivity." The '223 Patent expired on July 10, 2005.

7 35. On January 13, 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly
8 and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,678,360 (the "'360 Patent") to Ronald A.
9 Katz for an invention entitled "Telephonic-Interface Statistical Analysis System." The
10 '360 Patent expired on July 10, 2005.

11 **CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

12 36. Katz Technology Licensing realleges and incorporates by reference
13 Paragraphs 1-35 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

14 37. Defendants provide electric utility and other services to customers in
15 Nevada and other states.

16 38. On information and belief, Defendants use infringing call processing
17 systems to offer automated customer service to their customers. Using an automated
18 system, in some instances in connection with operators, Defendants allow their customers
19 to access account information, start new service, transfer service, stop service, make a
20 payment on an account, request a duplicate bill, request a letter of credit, request average
21 billing information, report an outage, and perform various other functions.

22 39. Katz Technology Licensing is the sole holder of the entire right, title, and
23 interest in the '065, '120, '150, '223, '285, '360, '547, '551, '703, '707, '734, '863, '893,
24 '965, '968 and '984 Patents.

25 40. On information and belief, in their automated customer service operations
26 described in Paragraph 38 (collectively, the "Accused Services"), Defendants have been
27 and are now infringing, actively inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the
28 infringement of one or more claims of the patents identified in Paragraph 39 of this
Complaint by making, using, offering to sell, or selling the Accused Services.

41. On information and belief, Defendants continue to infringe, actively induce the infringement of, and contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '120, '734 and '984 Patents by making, using, offering to sell, or selling the Accused Services.

42. Defendants' infringement of the patents identified in Paragraph 39 of this Complaint has been and is willful.

43. Defendants' infringement has caused and will continue to cause Katz Technology Licensing irreparable harm unless enjoined by this Court. Katz Technology Licensing has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P., respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against the defendants and grant the following relief:

44. Adjudge that Defendants have been and are infringing one or more claims of the patents identified in Paragraph 39 of this Complaint by offering the Accused Services;

45. Adjudge that Defendants' infringement has been and is willful;

46. Enter an order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoining Defendants, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from any further acts of infringement, contributory infringement, or inducement of infringement of the '120, '734 and '984 Patents;

47. Order an accounting for damages resulting from Defendants' infringement of the patents identified in Paragraph 39 of this Complaint;

48. Enter an order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, awarding to Katz Technology Licensing damages adequate to compensate Katz Technology Licensing for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

49. Enter an order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, and based on Defendants' willful infringement, trebling all damages awarded to Katz Technology Licensing and against Defendants;

1 50. Enter an order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, finding that this is an
2 exceptional case and awarding to Katz Technology Licensing its reasonable attorneys'
3 fees incurred in this action; and

4 51. Award such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under
5 the circumstances.

6
7 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

8 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. R. 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all
9 issues so triable.

10 DATED this 2nd day of June, 2007.

11 McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP

12 
13 ANDREW P. GORDON, ESQ. (#3421)
14 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

16 HELLER EHRMAN LLP
17 Robert T. Haslam, Esq.
18 Andrew C. Byrnes, Esq.
19 275 Middlefield Road
20 Menlo Park, CA 94025-3506

21 HELLER EHRMAN LLP
22 Michael K. Plimack, Esq.
23 Dale A. Rice, Esq.
24 333 Bush Street
25 San Francisco, CA 94104-2878

26 Attorneys for Plaintiff

27
28
135936.1