SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Olympus Corporation, Olympus Medical Systems Corp. and Olympus American, Ir	DEFENDANTS nc.		and Given Imaging Inc.
(b) County of Residen	ce of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAN	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ND CONDEMNATION CASES, U.D. INVOLVED.	,
E. McCord Clayton,	Iman, P.C. Suite 700 1515 Market Street	Attorneys (if Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
П. и.с. с	V1 5-1-10	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF Citizen of This State	DEF I I Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 CJ 2 Incorporated and a of Business In a	
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (No. 2) IVIII O. D. O. D.	Citizen or Subject of a C Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0606
CONTRACT	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpaymen Æ Enforcement of Judgme: ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpaymen of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Slockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise — REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease Æ Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libet & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage	EY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights ② 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS.—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Cornmodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
⊠1 Original □ 2	State Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 35USC\$\(\frac{5}{271}\), 281, 283-285	Reinstated or 3 anoth Reopened (speci		
	Dher description of cause:	NT INFRINGMENT		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: XYes □ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
5/19/06	SIGNATURE OF AT	TOROYEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	ANOIN'T ADD WING ITS	HIDOT	MAC HID	
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	

Case 2:06-cv-02132-JKG Document 1 Filed 05/19/06 Page 2 of 13

APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM assignment to appropriate calendar.	to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 43-2 Hatagaya, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 150-0072, Japan	
Address of Defendant: 13 Hayetzira St., Upper Yoqneam, Isreal 20692	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:(Use Reverse Side Fo	
•	· ·
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporati	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P.	7.1(a)) Yes \(\sigma \) No \(\sigma \)
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No No
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within	one year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No X
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a	<u> </u>
action in this court?	Yes□ No Pe
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any	earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Ycs□ No
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	<u> </u>
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3.	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. XI Patent	5.
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8.	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. G Social Security Review Cases	(Plcase specify)
11. ☐ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CE	RTIFICATION
I, E. McCord Clayton, Esquire (Check appropriate , counsel of record do hereby	
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my know	vledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: May 19, 2006 2 My Ugf	76572 Attorney I.D.#
Attorney-at-La•€	Attorney LD.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending except as noted above.	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
5/19/10/0 Sila 10/14	7/2572
DATE: 5/1/100 Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (4/03)

Case 2:06-cv-02132ph/pep Dacument is The idea of 13

APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. 43-2 Hatagaya, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 150-0072, Japan Address of Plaintiff: 13 Hayetzira St., Upper Yoqneam, Isreal 20692 Address of Defendant: Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. D FELA 2. Airplanc Personal Injury 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust Marine Personal Injury 5. X Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. D Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) I. E. McCord Clayton, Esquire counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sough DATE: May 19, 2006 Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court

CIV. 609 (4/03)

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAA Number	E-IVIAII AUUFESS		
215-568 1155	215-568-93±9 FAX Number	cclavton@bazless.com E-Mail Address		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
May 19, 2006	E. McCord Clayton	01ympus	_	
(f) Standard Management	t – Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks. ((_X)	
commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into to as complex and that need spe e side of this form for a detail	ecial or intense management by ed explanation of special	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal inj	ury or property damage from	()	
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	quired to be designated for a	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (()	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.				
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MAN	VAGEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and so side of this form.) In the designation, that defendent the plaintiff and all other	Civil Justice Expense and Delicase Management Track Deserve a copy on all defendants. The event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appeara	NO. ay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel ignation Form in all civil cases at the time (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reve s not agree with the plaintiff regarding since, submit to the clerk of court and serve rack designation form specifying the track ned.	e of rse aid on	
v.	:			
-	ous Corporation, Olympus Medical : CIVIL ACTION ems Corp. and Olympus America, Inc.:			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

BAZELON LESS & FELDMAN, P.C.

BY: Richard L. Bazelon, Esquire E. McCord Clayton, Esquire 1515 Market Street - Suite 700 Philadelphia, PA 19102-1907 (215) 568-1155

KENYON & KENYON LLP

George E. Badenoch

Richard M. Rosati

Thomas R. Makin

Mark S. Raskin

One Broadway

New York, New York 10004

Telephone: (212) 425-7200 Facsimile: (212) 425-5288

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Olympus Corporation, Olympus Medical

Systems Corp. and Olympus America Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OLYMPUS CORPORATION, OLYMPUS
MEDICAL SYSTEMS CORP. and OLYMPUS

AMERICA INC.

Plaintiff,

ν.

GIVEN IMAGING LTD. and GIVEN IMAGING

INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs, Olympus Corporation ("Olympus Corp."), Olympus Medical Systems Corp.

("Olympus Medical") and Olympus America Inc. ("Olympus America") (collectively,

"Olympus"), for their Complaint of patent infringement and declaratory judgment of

noninfringement and invalidity against defendants Given Imaging Ltd. ("GIL") and Given Imaging Inc. ("GII") (collectively "Given Imaging"), allege, upon knowledge as to their own acts and upon information and belief as to the acts of others, as follows:

THE PARTIES

- Olympus Corp. is a Japanese corporation with a principal place of business at 2 43-2 Hatagaya, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 150-0072, Japan.
- 2. Olympus Medical is a Japanese corporation with a principal place of business at 2-43-2 Hatagaya, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 150-0072, Japan. Olympus Medical is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Olympus Corp.
- 3. Olympus America is a New York corporation having a place of business at 3500 Corporate Parkway, Center Valley, Pennsylvania 18034-0610. Olympus America is a whollyowned subsidiary of Olympus USA Incorporated, which, in turn, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Olympus Corp.
- 4. On information and belief, GIL is an Israeli corporation with a principal place of business at 13 Hayetzira St. Upper Yoqneam, Israel 20692.
- 5. On information and belief, GII is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 3950 Shackleford Rd., Suite 5, Duluth, GA 30096-1858 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of GIL.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, in particular 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283-285 and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), 1367, and 2201-02, as Olympus's request for a declaratory

judgment of invalidity and noninfringement arises under the patent laws of the United States and the Declaratory Judgment Act.

- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Given Imaging because, among other things, upon information and belief, Given Imaging has directly infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and/or actively induced infringement of Olympus Medical's patent within this judicial district, as set forth herein.
 - 8. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d), and/or 1400(b).

COUNT 1 - CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,010,412

- 9. Olympus Medical repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 8 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. On April 23, 1991, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,010,412 ("the '412 patent") for an invention entitled "High Frequency, Low Power Light Source for Video Camera" to Gregory M. Garriss. A copy of the '412 patent is attached as Exhibit "A".
- 11. The entire right and title to, and interest in, the '412 patent was assigned from Gregory M. Garriss to The Boeing Company.
- 12. Upon information and belief, The Boeing Company provided to GII notice of the '412 patent.
- 13. In July 2005, Olympus Medical acquired the entire right, title and interest of The Boeing Company in, to and under the '412 patent (but with The Boeing Company reserving rights in the aerospace and defense industries and certain other limited rights), including the right to all causes of action for past, present, and future infringement thereof and all rights to pursue

damages (including past damages); the assignment was recorded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 5, 2005.

- 14. Upon information and belief, GIL has (or has caused to be) manufactured, imported into the stream of commerce of the United States and/or exported to the United States, capsule endoscopes with a light source that infringes, or the use of which infringes, one or more claims of the '412 patent. And, GIL continues to do so. This manufacture and sale, along with any attendant advertising and provision of instructions, are without permission of Olympus Medical, and constitute acts of direct infringement, inducement of infringement, and/or contributory infringement of the '412 patent.
- 15. Upon information and belief, GII has (or has caused to be) sold into the stream of commerce of the United States, capsule endoscopes with a light source that infringes, or the use of which infringes, one or more claims of the '412 patent. And, GII continues to do so. These sales, and any attendant offers to sell, advertisements and provision of instructions, are without permission of Olympus Medical, and constitute acts of direct infringement, inducement of infringement, and/or contributory infringement of the '412 patent.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Given Imaging will continue to infringe the '412 patent unless enjoined by this Court. As a result of the infringing conduct of GIL and GII, Olympus Medical has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, Olympus Medical is entitled to temporary, preliminary, and/or permanent injunctive relief against such infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

- 17. As a result of the infringement of the '412 patent by Given Imaging, Olympus Medical has been damaged, and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for such damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount that will be determined at trial.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Given Imaging's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees to Olympus Medical in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, 285.

COUNT 2 - CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NONINFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY

- 19. Olympus repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 18 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. On information and belief, United States Patent No. 5,604,531 (the "'531 patent"), entitled In Vivo Video Camera System, issued on February 18, 1997, to Gavriel J. Iddan et al. The '531 patent is attached as Exhibit "B".
- 21. On December 30, 2003, Olympus caused to be filed a request for reexamination of the '531 patent in the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). This reexamination is currently in progress, and the PTO Examiner has recently entered an action confirming that certain claims of the '531 patent are patentable.

•

- 22. Olympus has developed a capsule endoscope product that it intends to import into and sell in the United States within six months or less.
- 23. Olympus has finalized the design of its capsule endoscope product and is nearing completion of clinical trials in order to secure clearance from the Food and Drug Administration, which Olympus expects to obtain.

- 24. Upon information and belief, employees of and/or agents representing Given Imaging have been making statements to current and potential customers of Olympus to the effect that Given Imaging has patents which cover any capsule endoscope technology and products being developed by Olympus, and which will prevent Olympus from selling any capsule endoscope product. Upon information and belief, these statements are intended to intimidate and discourage, and have the effect of intimidating and discouraging, current and potential customers of Olympus from considering and planning purchases of Olympus' new capsule endoscope products and have already caused severe and irreparable damage to Olympus and the marketing prospects for its new capsule endoscope product.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Given Imaging has written letters to numerous doctors and/or hospitals to the effect that Given Imaging has patents which cover any capsule endoscope technology and products being developed by Olympus and which will be infringed by any Olympus capsule endoscope product. Upon information and belief, these letters are intended to intimidate and discourage, and have the effect of intimidating and discouraging, current and potential customers of Olympus from considering and planning purchases of Olympus' new capsule endoscope products and have already caused severe and irreparable damage to Olympus and the marketing prospects for its new capsule endoscope product.
- 26. Given Imaging has made public statements, including statements to the USPTO relating to the '531 patent, that Given Imaging's products are covered by its patents, that Olympus intends to market a "me-too" capsule endoscope product, and that the "me-too" product could not have been developed without Given Imaging's inventions. These statements, coupled with the statements and letters to potential customers alleged in paragraphs 24-25 and the quasi-adversarial nature of the reexamination of the '531 patent, have created a reasonable

apprehension in Olympus that Given Imaging will institute litigation against Olympus for alleged infringement of at least the '531 patent and possibly other Given Imaging patents.

- 27. Based on the foregoing, an actual and justiciable controversy exists between Given Imaging and Olympus with respect to Given Imaging's '531 patent.
- 28. Olympus alleges that the claims of the '531 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of Title 35 U.S.C., including at least §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112.
- 29. Olympus alleges that it has not, and is not, infringing any claim of the '531 patent and will not infringe any claim of that patent, by making, using, offering to sell or selling in the United States or importing into the U.S. its capsule endoscope product.
- 30. Olympus alleges that it has not induced or contributed to, and is not inducing or contributing to, the infringement of any claim of the '531 patent and Olympus will not induce or contribute to the infringement of any claim of that patent, by making, using, offering to sell or selling in the United States or importing into the U.S. its capsule endoscope product.
- 31. Given Imaging is barred by prosecution history estoppel from asserting that Olympus's capsule endoscope product infringes one or more claims of the '531 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Olympus respectfully requests an entry of judgment from this Court with respect to Count 1:

- Declaring that Given Imaging has directly infringed, contributorily infringed,
 and/or induced the infringement of one or more claims of the '412 patent;
- B. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Given Imaging and its respective officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active

- concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from committing further acts of infringement;
- C. Awarding Olympus Medical damages, including treble damages for willful infringement, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- D. Declaring this to be an exceptional case and awarding Olympus Medical attorneys fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285;

with respect to Count 2:

- E. Declaring the '531 patent to be invalid;
- F. Declaring that Olympus has not infringed, is not infringing, and that its capsule endoscope product will not infringe the '531 patent;

with respect to both Counts:

- G. Awarding Olympus its costs in connection with this action; and
- H. Awarding Olympus such other and further relief as this Court may deem to be just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Olympus hereby demands a jury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial.

Dated: May 19, 2006 Respectfully submitted,

BAZELON LESS & FELDMAN, P.C.

By:

Richard L. Bazelon, Esquire E. McCord Clayton, Esquire 1515 Market Street - Suite 700 Philadelphia, PA 19102-1907

(215) 568-1155

Of Counsel:

KENYON & KENYON LLP George E. Badenoch Richard M. Rosati Thomas R. Makin Mark S. Raskin One Broadway New York, New York 10004 Telephone: (212) 425-7200

Telephone: (212) 425-7200 Facsimile: (212) 425-5288

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Olympus Corporation, Olympus Medical Systems Corp., and Olympus America Inc