

LOVELL L. BELTON

Plaintiff,

05 323
TRAGER, J.

Jury
trial
De-
man-
ded

- against -

INVENTTECH / (1-(800)-791-8282
(1-(800)-540-9020) 8282
(CUSTOMER SERVICE) BLOOM, M.J.

Defendant(s.)

RECEIVED

JAN 18 2005

PRO SE OFFICE

COMPLAINT

- I, Lovell L. Belton, plaintiff. In this action, using a City-Is-sued to undomiciled individuals, of: General Delivery, New York, N.Y. 10001-9999.
- II. Do hereby state that the defendant, herein shall only be known by name + phone - contact. With a customer-service as well noted. In caption fore-written.
- III. While within the last two weeks of November /2004 ("By jog of mem-ory. From the Eastern-District

An Invention-Society was phoned

("InventTech.") Also Known by
1-(800)-791-8282. When plaintiff
was instructed that any/all forms
(Invention Submission Forms.) -

(I-S-F's.) Were not to be sent
without a valid phone #. A Mr.
Thomas of another number to an
(I-S.) Stated forms to be sent
within three-five (3-5) busi-
-ness days. ("Never received.")

At InventTech. Speaking to a
Mr. Ron. (stating - non.) A Ms. Eri-
-ca ('~~some~~ cutting of call') But
again, A. Mr. Thomas believed to
be the same of past difference.
Nowly/then lastly answering for
InventTech. With no grant of
form(s.) to be sent. Speaking to a
supervisor there. Stating same +
issuing a customer #, of 1-(800)-
540-9020. By a. Ms. Denise / una-
-med to memory of plaintiff. No
form of patent / marketing, nor. Sub-
-mission given/allowed as per
the warranted by this company/
society. this will challenge a.

- iv. A suspicion of a criminal - intent of theft by collaboration / Bias + fraudulent of both legal + constructive measure / as well a perjured act of a breachment of agreement.
- v. This court will have a venue of a jurisdiction, by location of an. Inquiry of plaintiff to defendants.
- vi. The remedy will suffice that over four - billion dollars could participate the earning for sales of the marketed product of just one. Of the Kind. Therefore: A NON-DEDUCTABLE / BILLION DOLLARS. shall remedy this action + along with these money damages by suspicion of infringement of patent / invention upon know avail.

Permanent injunction against the defendant from performing such acts. In the future. (Done by any assessment of phone etc.) Collaboration can and as simply be done by postal acquirement, with any other relief which the court may deem appropriate.

Mr. Lowell L. Belton.