1	GUTRIDE SAFIER LLP ADAM J. GUTRIDE (State Bar No. 181446)	
2	adam@gutridesafier.com SETH A. SAFIER (State Bar No. 197427)	**************************************
3	seth@gutridesafier.com TODD KENNEDY (State Bar No. 250267)	1 Gu
4	todd@gutridesafier.com	
5	835 Douglass Street San Francisco, California 94114	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}$
6	Telephone: (415) 789-6390 Facsimile: (415) 449-6469	
7	Facsimile: (413) 449-0409	
8	BOOKE & AJLOUNY LLP VICTORIA L.H. BOOKE (State Bar No. 14)	2518)
9	vbooke@gmail.com	
	PETER AJLOUNY (State Bar No. 192322) peter@bookelaw.com	
10	606 North First Street	
11	San Jose, California 95112 Telephone: (408) 286-7000	
12	Facsimile: (408) 286-7111	·
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANC	isco division
18	(CV 11 3409
19	INNOVATIVE AUTOMATION LLC,	Case No.
20	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
	v.	INFRINGEMENT
21	PRIMERA TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
22	Defendant.	Date: July 12, 2011
23	Detendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC states its complaint against Defendant Primera Technology, Inc., and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Innovative Automation") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 606 North First Street, San Jose, California 95112.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Primera Technology, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Primera") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business at Two Carlson Parkway North, Plymouth, Minnesota 55447-4446.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 4. This action is for patent infringement pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq*. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Primera Technology, Inc. because, on information and belief, Primera does and has done substantial business in this judicial District, including (i) committing acts of patent infringement and/or contributing to or inducing acts of patent infringement by others in this judicial District and elsewhere in California; and (ii) regularly doing business or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to persons in this District and in this State.
- 6. Venue is proper in this judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because, on information and belief, Primera Technology, Inc. has committed acts of

judicial District.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,174,362)

direct and/or indirect infringement in this judicial District and has transacted business in this

- 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 8. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,174,362, entitled "Method and System for Supplying Products from Pre-Stored Digital Data in Response to Demands Transmitted via Computer Network," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 6, 2007 (the "362 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '362 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 9. The '362 patent generally describes and claims a computer-implemented method of digital data duplication. In the method of claim 1 of the '362 patent, a request is taken at one or more user interfaces and is transmitted through a network to a computer. The computer contains a module to create a task log based on incoming requests; a module for storing the necessary data; and a module to create a subset of the data, download that subset to an output device, and command the device to transfer the subset onto blank media. The request is assigned to an output device, and the duplication process is executed. Claims 2-8 of the '362 patent describe various other methods and a system of digital data duplication.
- 10. On information and belief, Primera Technology, Inc. has contributorily infringed and continues to contributorily infringe one or more claims of the '362 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, offering to sell, and selling devices that (1) constitute a material part of the invention of the '362 patent, (2) Primera knows to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '362 patent, and (3) are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use with respect to the '362 patent. These devices include at least the Primera Bravo 4102 XRP with PTPublisher NE,

and are used by companies, such as those that offer digital media duplication services, in a way that directly infringes one or more claims of the '362 patent. In addition, Primera has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '362 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using the claimed method(s) of duplicating digital data while using these devices.

- 11. As a result of Primera's infringing activities, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Primera's infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs.
- 12. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that Primera's infringement is willful and deliberate, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and to attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in its favor against Defendant as follows:

- a) For a declaration that Defendant has infringed, directly and/or indirectly, the '362 patent;
- b) For an award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement of the '362 patent, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest and costs, in an amount according to proof;
- c) For an entry of a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, and its respective officers, agents, employees, and those acting in privity, from further infringement, including contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement, of the '362 patent, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post-judgment infringement;
- d) For an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by law; and

1 For an award to Plaintiff of such other costs and further relief as the Court e) 2 may deem just and proper. 3 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 4 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully 5 requests a trial by jury. 6 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 **GUTRIDE SAFIER LLP** Dated: July 12, 2011 9 10 11 Adam J. Gutride, Esq Seth A. Safier, Esq. 12 Todd Kennedy, Esq. 835 Douglass Street 13 San Francisco, California 94114 Telephone: (415) 789-6390 14 Facsimile: (415) 449-6469 15 Victoria L.H. Booke Peter Ajlouny BOOKE & AJLOUNY LLP 16 606 North First Street 17 San Jose, California 95112 Telephone: (408) 286-7000 18 Facsimile: (408) 286-7111 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28