Plaintiffs Life Technologies Corporation ("LIFE"); Applied Biosystems, LLC ("AB"); the		
Institute for Protein Research; Alexander Chetverin; Helena Chetverina; and William Hone		
(collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), allege as follows:		
NATURE OF THE ACTION		
1. This is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States based upon		
infringement by Defendants Illumina, Inc. and Solexa, Inc. (collectively, the "Defendants") of		
three patents owned by the Institute for Protein Research, Alexander Chetverin, Helena		
Chetverina, and William Hone (collectively, the "Patentees"). Exclusive rights in and to each of		
these three patents have been granted to Plaintiff AB. Plaintiffs seek damages for Defendants'		
infringement and a permanent injunction restraining Defendants from further infringement.		
THE PARTIES		
2. Plaintiff LIFE is a Delaware corporation that has a principal place of business at		
5791 Van Allen Way, Carlsbad, California 92008.		
3. Plaintiff AB is a wholly owned subsidiary of Plaintiff LIFE and is a Delaware		
limited liability corporation that has a principal place of business at 850 Lincoln Centre Drive,		
Foster City, CA 94404.		
4. Plaintiff the Institute for Protein Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences is		
an academic research institute organized under the authority of the Russian Academy of Sciences		
and is located at Pushchino, 14 22 92, Moscow Region, Russia.		
5. Plaintiff Alexander Chetverin is an individual having an address at 24 Mikroraion		
AB, Apt. 238, Pushchino, Moscow Region 14 22 90, Russia.		
6. Plaintiff Helena Chetverina is an individual having an address at 24 Mikroraion		
AB, Apt. 238, Pushchino, Moscow Region 14 22 90, Russia.		
7. Plaintiff William Hone is an individual having an address at 6 Barbara Lane,		
Irvington, NY 10533.		

8.

that has a principal place of business at 9865 Towne Centre Drive, San Diego, CA 92121-1975.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Illumina, Inc. is a Delaware corporation

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Solexa, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Illumina, Inc., and is a Delaware corporation that has a principal place of business at 25861 Industrial Blvd., Hayward, CA 94545.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
 - 11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants as Defendants are incorporated in the State of Delaware and have purposely availed themselves of the privilege of conducting activities within this State and District.
 - 13. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

BACKGROUND

- 14. The Patentees are the owners of U.S. Patent Number 5,616,478 (the "'478 Patent"), entitled "Method for Amplification of Nucleic Acids in Solid Media." The '478 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") to Alexander Chetverin and Helena Chetverina on April 1, 1997 and is assigned to the Patentees. A true and correct copy of the '478 Patent is attached to the originally filed Complaint as Exhibit A.
- 15. The Patentees are the owners of U.S. Patent Number 5,958,698 (the "'698 Patent''), entitled "Method for Amplification and Expression of Nucleic Acids in Solid Media and its Application for Nucleic Acid Cloning and Diagnostics." The '698 Patent was duly and legally issued by the PTO to Alexander Chetverin and Helena Chetverina on September 28, 1999 and is assigned to the Patentees. A true and correct copy of the '698 Patent is attached to the originally filed Complaint as Exhibit B.
- 16. The Patentees are the owners of U.S. Patent Number 6,001,568 (the "'568 Patent"), entitled "Solid Medium for Amplification and Expression of Nucleic Acids as Colonies." The '568 Patent was duly and legally issued by the PTO to Alexander Chetverin and Helena Chetverina on December 14, 1999 and is assigned to the Patentees. A true and correct copy of the '568 Patent is attached to the originally filed Complaint as Exhibit C.

1 17. AB is the exclusive licensee of the '478 Patent, the '698 Patent and the '568 2 Patent. 3 18. Defendants' DNA sequencing products and services, including but not limited to 4 Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II, infringe the '478 Patent, the '698 Patent 5 and the '568 Patent. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 6 7 (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '478 PATENT) 8 19. The allegations of paragraphs 1-18 are incorporated herein by reference. 9 20. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently directly 10 infringing one or more claims of the '478 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), in connection 11 with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation 12 Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products. 13 21. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently inducing 14 others to infringe one or more claims of the '478 patent, in connection with certain of their 15 products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation Defendants' Genome 16 Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products, and are liable for infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 17 271(b). 22. 18 On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently 19 contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '478 patent, in connection with 20 certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation 21 Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products, and are liable for contributory 22 infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). 23 23. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to infringe the '478 patent, directly 24 and/or indirectly under section 271. 25 24. Defendants' infringement of the '478 patent has caused, and unless enjoined will 26 continue to cause, irreparable harm to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and 27 are entitled to a permanent injunction against further infringement.

- 25. Defendants have been put on notice of the '478 patent before the filing of this First Amended Complaint.
- 26. Defendants had actual and constructive knowledge of the '478 patent before the filing of the original Complaint in this action.
- 27. Defendants' infringement of the '478 patent has been and is willful and, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Plaintiffs are entitled to treble damages.
- 28. Plaintiffs LIFE and AB have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damage to their business by reason of Defendants' acts of infringement as alleged herein, and Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as a result of Defendants' acts pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' acts make this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, which entitles Plaintiffs to an award of attorneys' fees.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '698 PATENT)

- 30. The allegations of paragraphs 1-18 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 31. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently directly infringing one or more claims of the '698 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), in connection with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products.
- 32. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently inducing others to infringe one or more claims of the '698 patent, in connection with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products, and are liable for infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 33. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '698 patent, in connection with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation

1	Defendants Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer if products, and are hable for contributory			
2	infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).			
3	34. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to infringe the '698 patent, directly			
4	and/or indirectly under section 271.			
5	35. Defendants' infringement of the '698 patent has caused, and unless enjoined will			
6	continue to cause, irreparable harm to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and			
7	are entitled to a permanent injunction against further infringement.			
8	36. Defendants have been put on notice of the '698 patent before the filing of this First			
9	Amended Complaint.			
10	37. Defendants had actual and constructive knowledge of the '698 patent before the			
11	filing of the original Complaint in this action.			
12	38. Defendants' infringement of the '698 patent has been and is willful and, pursuant			
13	to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Plaintiffs are entitled to treble damages.			
14	39. Plaintiffs LIFE and AB have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial			
15	damage to their business by reason of Defendants' acts of infringement as alleged herein, and			
16	Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as a result of			
17	Defendants' acts pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.			
18	40. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' acts			
19	make this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, which entitles Plaintiffs to			
20	an award of attorneys' fees.			
21	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
22	(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '568 PATENT)			
23	41. The allegations of paragraphs 1-18 are incorporated herein by reference.			
24	42. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently directly			
25	infringing one or more claims of the '568 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), in connection			
26	with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation			
27	Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products.			

- 43. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently inducing others to infringe one or more claims of the '568 patent, in connection with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products, and are liable for infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 44. On information and belief, the Defendants have been and are currently contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '568 patent, in connection with certain of their products, services, methods and/or systems, including without limitation Defendants' Genome Analyzer and Genome Analyzer II products, and are liable for contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).
- 45. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to infringe the '568 patent, directly and/or indirectly under section 271.
- 46. Defendants' infringement of the '568 patent has caused, and unless enjoined will continue to cause, irreparable harm to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and are entitled to a permanent injunction against further infringement.
- 47. Defendants have been put on notice of the '568 patent before the filing of this First Amended Complaint.
- 48. Defendants had actual and constructive knowledge of the '568 patent before the filing of the original Complaint in this action.
- 49. Defendants' infringement of the '568 patent has been and is willful and, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Plaintiffs are entitled to treble damages.
- 50. Plaintiffs LIFE and AB have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damage to their business by reason of Defendants' acts of infringement as alleged herein, and Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as a result of Defendants' acts pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 51. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' acts make this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, which entitles Plaintiffs to an award of attorneys' fees.

	DD 4 1/ED EOD DEL IEE		
PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:			
A.	That Defendants be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '478, '698, and '568		
Patents;			
B.	That Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those		
persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be permanently restrained and			
enjoined from infringing in any manner the '478, '698, and '568 Patents;			
C.	That Defendants be ordered to deliver to Plaintiffs, for destruction at Plaintiffs'		
option, all products that infringe the '478, '698, and '568 Patents;			
D.	An accounting for damages by virtue of Defendants' infringement of the '478,		
'698, and '5	68 Patents;		
E.	An award of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 to compensate Plaintiffs for		
Defendants' willful infringement of the '478, '698, and '568 Patents;			
F.	An assessment of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against		
Defendants, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §			
284;			
G.	That Defendants be directed to pay Plaintiffs attorneys' fees incurred in connection		
with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and			
H.	That the Court grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and		
proper.			
///			
///			
///			
///			
///			
///			
///			
	A. Patents; B. persons in adenjoined from C. option, all proper. C. Option, all proper. C. Defendants' F. Defendants, 284; G. with this law H. proper. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /		

	Case 3:11-cv-00703-CAB-DHB Document 235 Filed 07/15/11 Page 9 of 13
1	JURY DEMAND
2	Plaintiffs hereby request a trial by jury, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), on all issues so
3	triable.
4	Dated: July 15, 2011
5	/s/ Kurtis D. MacFerrin Kurtis D. MacFerrin (CSB No. 178006)
6	Bradford P. Schmidt (CSB No. 174440) LIFE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
7	5791 Van Allen Way
8	Carlsbad, CA 92008 kurtis.macferrin@lifetech.com
9	bradford.schmidt@lifetech.com
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants/Counterclaimants
11	LIFE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION and
12	APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS, LLC
13	Matthew D. Murphey, Esq. (SBN 194111) TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
14	11682 El Camino Real, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92130-2092
15	matt.murphey@troutmansanders.com (858) 509-6000
16	Nicholas Groombridge (Admitted pro hac vice)
17	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
18	1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
19	ngroombridge@paulweiss.com (212) 373-3000
20	Elizabeth Weiswasser (Admitted pro hac vice)
21	Peter Sandel (Admitted pro hac vice) Jenny C. Wu (Admitted pro hac vice)
22	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 5th Avenue
23	New York, NY 10153 elizabeth.weiswasser@weil.com
2425	peter.sandel@weil.com jenny.wu@weil.com (212) 310-8000
26	Audrey L. Maness (Admitted pro hac vice)
27	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 700 Louisiana, Suite 1600
28	Houston, TX 77002 audrey.maness@weil.com
40	audrey.maness@wen.com

	Case 3:11-cv-00703-CAB-DHB Do	ocument 235	Filed 07/15/11	Page 10 of 13
1		(713) 546-500	0	
2		Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Cour	nterdefendants/Co	unterclaimants
3		LIFE LECHNO	OLUCIES CURP	UKATIUN.
4		INSTITUTE F ALEXANDER	OR PROTEIN RI R CHETVERIN, H	, ESEARCH, IELENA CHETVERINA,
5		and WILLIAM HO		
6				
7				
8				
10				
10				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	10		11CV0703

1 Life Technologies Corp et al. v. Illumina, Inc. et al. United States District Court Case No. 11-CV-0703-JAH (POR) 2 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 3

I, Kurtis D. MacFerrin, hereby certify that on **July 15, 2011**, I caused to be electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Southern District of California by using the CM/ECF system:

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users, as listed below, will be served by the CM/ECF system:

9 Steven J. Balick, Esq Andrew Dieter Cordo, Esq. 10 Caroline Hong, Esq. Tiffany Geyer Lydon, Esq. 11 Laurent M. Maguire, Esq. **ASHBY & GEDDES** 12 500 Delaware Ave, 8th Floor 13 P.O. Box 1150 Wilmington, DE 19899-1150 14 Tel: 302 654-1811 / fax: (302) 654-2067 Email: sbalick@ashby-geddes.com

> lmaguire@ashby-geddes.com Jack Blumenfeld, Esq. Maryellen Noreika, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL

acordo@ashby-geddes.com chong@ashby-geddes.com

tlydon@ashby-geddes.com

1201 North Market St P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 Tel: (302) 658-9200

22 Email: jbbefiling@mnat.com menefiling@mnat.com 23

> E. Joseph Connaughton, III, Esq. PAUL PLEVIN SULLIVAN AND CONNAUGHTON 401 B St, 10th Floor

San Diego, CA 92101-4232 Tel: (619) 237-5200 /Fax: (619) 615-0700 Email: jconnaughton@paulplevin.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants

Attorney for Plaintiff, Counterdefendants, and Counterclaimants

Attorney for Defendants

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

1		
2	Jeffrey N. Costakos, Esq. (<i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>) Rebecca J. Pirozzolo-Mellowes, Esq (<i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Attorney for Defendants, Counterclaimants and
3	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 777 East Wisconsin Ave	Counterclaimants
4	Milwaukee, WI 53202 Tel: (414) 297-5782 / Fax: (414) 297-4900	
5	Email: jcostakos@foley.com	
6	Jpirozzolo-mellowes@foley.com	
7	Kevin M. Flowers, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Mark H. Izraelewicz, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimants, and
8	Cullen C. Neilsen, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP	Counterclaimants
9	233 South Wacker Dr, Suite 6300	
10	Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 474-6300 / Fax: (312) 474-0448	
11	Email: kflowers@marshallip.com mizraelewicz@marshallip.com	
12	mneilsen@marshallip.com cpendleton@marshallip.com	
13	Nicholas Groombridge, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
14	Elizabeth S. Weiswasser, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	Counterdefendants and
15	Peter Sandel, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Jenny C. Wu, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	Counterclaimants
16	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES 767 Fifth Ave	
17	New York, NY 10153 Tel: (212) 310-8740	
18	Email: nicholas.groombridge@weil.com	
19	elizabeth.weiswasser@weil.com peter.sandel@weil.com	
20	jenny.wu@weil.com	
21	Matthew D. Murphey, Esq. (SBN 194111)	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
22	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP 11682 El Camino Real, Suite 400	Counterdefendants and Counterclaimants
23	San Diego, CA 92130-2092	
24	Tel: (858) 509-6000 / Fax: (858) 509-6040 Email: matt.murphey@troutmansanders.com	
25	Audrey L. Maness (Admitted pro hac vice)	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
26	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 700 Louisiana, Suite 1600	Counterdefendants and Counterclaimants
27	Houston, TX 77002	Counterclaimains
28	(713) 546-5000 Email: audrey.maness@weil.com	
-	Zinari. addrej indrees C Welliebin	

Case 3:11-cv-00703-CAB-DHB Document 235 Filed 07/15/11 Page 13 of 13