


D^{phv}
ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION



RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED,
RESEARCH IN MOTION CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

VISTO CORPORATION,

Defendant.

) Case No. _____

) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

) **3 - 0 6 C V 0 . 7 8 3 . - D**

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
PATENT NON-INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY**

Plaintiffs Research in Motion Limited ("RIM Ltd.") and Research in Motion Corporation ("RIM Corp."), (collectively, "RIM"), for their Complaint against Defendant Visto Corporation ("Visto"), hereby demand a jury trial and allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, and under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, *et seq.*, for a declaratory judgment that three patents owned by Visto are not infringed by RIM and are invalid.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff RIM Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the province of Ontario, Canada, having its principal place of business at 295 Phillip Street, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3W8.

3. Plaintiff RIM Corp. is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 122 W. John Carpenter Parkway, Suite 430, Irving, Texas 75039. RIM Corp. is the U.S. distributor of RIM Ltd. products and services.

4. Defendant Visto is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 275 Shoreline Drive, Suite 300, Redwood Shores, California 94065.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 2201 because this action seeks a declaratory judgment regarding rights arising under the patent laws of the United States.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Visto because Visto has established minimum contacts with the forum and the exercise of jurisdiction over Visto would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice because Visto does business in Texas and solicits customers in Texas.

7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b-c) and 1400(b) because Visto is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and does business in this District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT COUNTS

8. Visto is the assignee of record of United States Patent No. 6,085,192 entitled “System and Method for Securely Synchronizing Multiple Copies of a Workspace Element in a Network” (the “’192 patent”), attached hereto as Exhibit A, in addition to the Reexamination Certificate for the ‘192 patent attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Visto is the assignee of record of United States Patent No. 6,151,606 entitled “System and Method for Using a Workspace Data Manager to Access, Manipulate and Synchronize Network Data” (the “’606 patent”), attached hereto as Exhibit C.

10. Visto is the assignee of record of United States Patent No. 6,708,221 entitled “System and Method for Globally and Securely Accessing Unified Information in a Computer Network” (the “’221 patent”), attached hereto as Exhibit D.

11. Visto is the assignee of record of United States Patent No. 6,023,708 entitled “System and Method for Using A Global Translator to Synchronize Workspace Elements Across a Network” (the “’708 patent”). RIM is not seeking at this time a declaratory judgment regarding the ’708 patent because this patent is currently undergoing reexamination before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

12. Daniel Mendez, co-founder and senior vice president of intellectual property at Visto, has publicly stated: “[w]e think that every company in this space has reason to believe their technology infringes on Visto’s patents and they need to take a license from us before the market can continue progressing.” See Ex. E, Munir Kotadia, *Visto: RIM’s Legal Troubles Have Only Just Begun*, ZDNet Australia, Feb. 3, 2006.

13. A valid and justiciable controversy regarding the ’192, ’606 and ’221 patents has arisen between RIM and Visto that is properly presented for judicial relief under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

14. RIM has not infringed and is not infringing any valid and enforceable claim of the ’192, ’606, or ’221 patents. In addition, the claims of the ’192, ’606 and ’221 patents are invalid.

COUNT I

(Request for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement and Patent Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,085,192)

15. RIM realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 14.

16. RIM has not infringed, has not contributed to infringement by others of, and has not induced infringement by others of any valid and enforceable claim of the ’192 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

17. Based on statements made by the applicant during prosecution of the ’192 patent,

Visto is estopped from asserting that RIM infringes the '192 patent.

18. The '192 patent is invalid for failing to satisfy one or more of the conditions of patentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

COUNT II

(Request for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement and Patent Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,151,606)

19. RIM realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 14.

20. RIM has not infringed, has not contributed to infringement by others of, and has not induced infringement by others of any valid and enforceable claim of the '606 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

21. Based on statements made by the applicant during prosecution of the '606 patent, Visto is estopped from asserting that RIM infringes the '606 patent.

22. The '606 patent is invalid for failing to satisfy one or more of the conditions of patentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

COUNT III

(Request for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement and Patent Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,708,221)

23. RIM realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 14.

24. RIM has not infringed, has not contributed to infringement by others of, and has not induced infringement by others of any valid and enforceable claim of the '221 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

25. Based on statements made by the applicant during prosecution of the '221 patent, Visto is estopped from asserting that RIM infringes the '221 patent.

26. The '221 patent is invalid for failing to satisfy one or more of the conditions of patentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

JURY DEMAND


27. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, RIM hereby respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues and claims so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, RIM prays for judgment as follows:

- A. Declare that RIM has not infringed, has not contributed to infringement by others of, and has not induced infringement by others of any claims of the '192, '606, and '221 patents, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
- B. Declare that the claims of the '192, '606, and '221 patents are invalid;
- C. Enter an order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Visto, its officers, directors, servants, managers, employees, agents, successors and assignees, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly or indirectly charging RIM with infringement of any claim of the '192, '606, and '221 patents;
- D. Declare this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award RIM its reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses and costs incurred in this action; and
- E. Award RIM such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 1, 2006


George W. Bramblett, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 02867000 ✓
Phillip B. Philbin
Texas State Bar No. 15909020 ✓
HAYNES AND BOONE LLP
901 Main Street, Suite 3100
Dallas, Texas 75202-3789
Telephone: (214) 651-5000
Facsimile: (214) 651-5940

Attorneys for Research in Motion Limited and
Research in Motion Corporation

Of Counsel:

Linda S. DeBruin
William E. Devitt
Michael A. Parks
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
200 East Randolph Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: (312) 861-2000
Facsimile: (312) 861-2200



Exhibit A

United States Patent**Mendez et al.****Patent Number: 6,005,174****Date of Patent: Jul. 4, 2000**

[54] **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SECURELY SYNCHRONIZING MULTIPLE COPIES OF A WORKSPACE ELEMENT IN A NETWORK**

[75] Inventors: **Daniel J. Mendez**, Mountain View; **Mark D. Riggins**, San Jose; **Prasad Wagle**, Santa Clara; **Christine C. Ying**, Foster City, all of Calif.

[73] Assignee: **RoamPage, Inc.**, Mountain View, Calif.

[21] Appl. No.: **08/835,997**

[22] Filed: **Apr. 11, 1997**

[51] **Int. Cl.⁷** **G06F 17/30**

[52] **U.S. Cl.** **707/10; 707/203; 707/104; 707/1; 707/9; 707/10**

[58] **Field of Search** **707/203, 104, 707/1, 9, 10**

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,831,582	5/1989	Miller et al.	707/104
4,875,159	10/1989	Cary et al.	364/200
5,263,157	11/1993	Janis	707/9
5,386,564	1/1995	Shearer et al.	395/650
5,581,749	12/1996	Hossain et al.	707/1
5,600,834	2/1997	Howard	395/617
5,613,012	3/1997	Hoffman et al.	382/115
5,627,658	5/1997	Connors et al.	358/407
5,666,553	9/1997	Crozier	395/803
5,682,524	10/1997	Freund et al.	395/605
5,684,990	11/1997	Boothby	707/203
5,701,423	12/1997	Crozier	395/335
5,706,502	1/1998	Foley et al.	707/10
5,710,918	1/1998	Lagarde et al.	395/610
5,715,403	2/1998	Stefik	705/44
5,721,908	2/1998	Lagarde et al.	395/610
5,721,914	2/1998	DeVries	395/615
5,745,360	4/1998	Leone et al.	364/140
5,757,916	5/1998	MacDoran et al.	380/25
5,778,346	7/1998	Frid-Nielsen et al.	395/208
5,790,425	8/1998	Wagle	364/551.01
5,790,790	8/1998	Smith et al.	395/200.36
5,799,318	8/1998	Cardinal et al.	707/104

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Network Firewalls, Bellovin et al., IEEE Communications Magazine, pp. 50-57, Sep. 1994.

IpAccess—An Internet Service Access System for Firewall Installations, Steffen Stempel, 1995 IEEE, pp. 31-41, Feb. 1995.

Web traffic characterization: an assessment of the impact of caching documents from NCSA's web server, Braun et al., Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, V. 28, pp. 37-51, Jul. 1995.

Security for Infinite Networks, Nelson et al., Aug. 1995 IEEE, pp. 11-19.

DESIGNING an Academic Firewall: Policy, Practice, and Experience with SURF, Greenwald et al., Proceedings of SNDSS '96, Feb. 1996 IEEE, pp. 79-92.

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Wayne Amsbury


Assistant Examiner—Shahid Alam

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Graham & James LLP

[57] **ABSTRACT**

A system includes a general synchronization module at the client site for operating within a first firewall and for examining first version information to determine whether a first workspace element has been modified. The system further includes a synchronization agent at a global server for operating outside the first firewall and for forwarding to the general synchronization module second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified. A synchronization-start module is maintained at the client site for operating within the first firewall and for securely initiating the general synchronization module and the synchronization agent when predetermined criteria have been satisfied. The system further includes means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy by comparing the first version information and the second version information, and means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

25 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



6,085,192

Page 2

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

C-HTTP—The Development of a Secure, Closed HTTP-based Network on the Internet, Kiuchi et al., Proceedings of SNDSS '96, Feb. 1996 IEEE, pp. 64–75.

Establishing Firewall Policy, S. Cobb, Director of Special Projects National Computer Security Associations, Florida Office, ISBN#0-7803-3268-7, Jun. 1996, pp. 198–205.

Web site entitled “Bookmark Translator 2.0: This Utility transform Microsoft Internet Explore’s bookmarks in the format valid for Netscape Navigator and viceversa,” Enzo Marinacci, Rome— Jul. 1997, URL=<http://www.bns.it/em-ware/BookmarkTranslator-uk.htm>, pp. 1–4.

Article by Bellovin et al., entitled: “Network Firewalls”Published by IEEE Communications Magazine Sep. 1994, pp.50–57.

Article by Steffen Stempel, entitled: “IPAccess—An Internet Service Access System for Firewall Installations”Published by IEEE Communications Magazine a Feb. 16, 1995, pp.31–41.

Article by Braun et al., entitled: “Web Traffic Characterization: an assessment of the impact of caching documents from NCSA’s web server”Published by Elsevier Science B.V. 1995 pp. 37–51.

Article by Nelson et al., entitled: “Security for Infinite Networks”Published by IEEE Communications Magazine on Aug. 22, 1995, pp.11–19.

Article by Greenwald et al., “Designing an Academic Firewall: Policy, Practice, and Experience with SURF”Published by IEEE Communications Magazine on Feb. 22, 1996, pp. 79–92.

Article by Kiuchi et al., entitled: “C-HTTP—The Development of a Secure, Closed HTTP-based Network on the Internet”Published by IEEE Proceedings of SNDSS on Feb. 22, 1996, pp. 64–75.

Article by S. Cobb, entitled: “Establishing Firewall Policy-”Published by National Computer Security Assn. on Jun. 25–27, 1996, pp. 198–205.

U.S. Patent

Jul. 4, 2000

Sheet 1 of 6

6,085,192




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

U.S. Patent

Jul. 4, 2000

Sheet 4 of 6

6,085,192




FIG. 4

U.S. Patent

Jul. 4, 2000

Sheet 5 of 6

6,085,192




FIG. 5

U.S. Patent

Jul. 4, 2000

Sheet 6 of 6

6,085,192




FIG. 6

6,085,192

1

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SECURELY SYNCHRONIZING MULTIPLE COPIES OF A WORKSPACE ELEMENT IN A NETWORK

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is related to co-pending patent application entitled "System and Method for Globally Accessing Computer Services," Ser. No. 08/766,307, now pending, filed on Dec. 13, 1996, by inventors Mark D. Riggins, R. Stanley Bailes, Hong Q. Bui, David J. Cowan, Daniel J. Mendez, Mason Ng, Sean Michael Quinlan, Prasad Wagle, Christine C. Ying, Christopher R. Zuleeg and Joanna A. Aptekar-Strober; and to co-pending patent application entitled "System and Method for Enabling Secure Access to Services in a Computer Network," Ser. No. 08/841,950, now pending, filed on Apr. 8, 1997, by inventor Mark Riggins, both of which are hereby incorporated by reference. These related applications have been commonly assigned to RoamPage, Inc.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates generally to computer networks, and more particularly to a system and method for securely synchronizing multiple copies of a workspace element such as a file in a secure network.

2. Description of the Background Art

Data consistency is a significant concern for computer users. For example, when maintaining multiple independently-modifiable copies of a document, a user risks using an outdated version. Further, by the time the user notices the inconsistency, interparty miscommunication or data loss may have resulted. The user must then spend more time attempting to reconcile the inconsistent versions.

The problem of data inconsistency is exacerbated when multiple copies of a document are maintained at different network locations. For example, due to network security systems such as conventional firewall technology, a user may have access only to a particular one of these network locations. Without access to the other sites, the user cannot confirm that the version on the accessible site is the most recent draft.

Therefore, a system and method are needed for providing users with data consistency, and more particularly for synchronizing multiple copies of a workspace element such as a document in the secure network environment.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a system and method for synchronizing multiple copies of a workspace element in a secure network environment. The secure network environment includes a global server connected to multiple clients. Using the present system and method, the clients automatically synchronize workspace data between multiple sites, independent of whether the sites are protected by site firewalls.

The present system includes a general synchronization module at the client site for operating within a first firewall and for examining first version information to determine whether a first workspace element has been modified. The system further includes a synchronization agent at the global server for operating outside the first firewall and for forwarding to the general synchronization module second version information which indicates whether an independently-

2

modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified. A synchronization-start module, at the client site operates within the first firewall and initiates the general synchronization module and the synchronization agent when predetermined criteria have been satisfied. The system further includes means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy by comparing the first version information and the second version information, and means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

The system further handles the case when both the workspace element and the copy have been modified independently since the last date and time of synchronization. Accordingly, a content-based synchronization module performs a responsive action such as determined a preferred version or storing both the first workspace element and the copy at both the first store and at the second store.

The present method includes the steps of generating first examination results by examining first version information, which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified; and generating second examination results by examining second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall, has been modified. The present method further includes the steps of initiating synchronization from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied; generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results; and storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

The system and method advantageously use a trusted third party to enable the synchronization of workspace data among multiple sites. Accordingly, a client user who maintains a work site, a home site, an off-site and the global server site can synchronize the workspace data or portions thereof among all four sites. Further, the predetermined criteria (which controls when the synchronizationstart module initiates synchronization) may be set so that the general synchronization module synchronizes the workspace data upon user request, at predetermined times during the day such as while the user is commuting, or after a predetermined user action such as user log-off or user log-on. Because the system and method operate over the Internet, synchronization can occur over any distance. Since synchronization is initiated from within the firewall, the typical firewall, which prevents in-bound communications, does not act as an impediment to workspace data synchronization. Also, since the user's preferences may be previously set, the present system and method may operate unattended by the client user.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a secure data-synchronizing network in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating details of a FIG. 1 service server;

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating details of the FIG. 1 desktop computer;

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating details of the FIG. 3 base system;

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating details of the FIG. 1 synchronization agent; and

FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustrating a method for synchronizing multiple copies of a workspace element in a secure network.

6,085,192

3

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a secure data-synchronizing network 100, comprising a first site such as a remote computer terminal 105 coupled via a communications channel 110 such as the Internet to a global server 120. The global server 120 is in turn coupled via a communications channel 125 such as the Internet to a second site such as a corporate Local Area Network (LAN) 135. The global server 120 is protected by a global firewall 115, and the corporate LAN 135 is protected by a corporate firewall 130.

The corporate LAN 135 includes a corporate signal bus 140 coupling the corporate firewall 130 to an e-mail server 145 having e-mail data 165, to a file server 150 having file data 170, to a calendar server 155 having calendar data 175 and to a desktop computer 160 having user data 180. It will be appreciated that the e-mail data 165, file data 170, calendar data 175 and user data 180 or portions thereof may be stored at different locations such as locally on the desktop computer 160. It will be further appreciated that the e-mail data 165, file data 170, calendar data 175 and user data 180 are exemplary and collectively referred to herein as "workspace data" 185. Those skilled in the art will recognize that "workspace data" may include other types of data such as application programs. It will be further appreciated that the e-mail data 165, file data 170, calendar data 175 and user data 180 may each be divided into workspace elements, wherein each workspace element is identified by particular version information 255 (described below with reference to FIG. 2). Accordingly, each e-mail, file, calendar, etc. may be referred to as "a workspace element in workspace data."

An independently modifiable copy of the workspace data 185, referred to herein as workspace data 123, is stored on the global server 120 for easy access by a user from the remote terminal 105. Being a copy, the workspace data 123 includes independently modifiable copies of each workspace element in workspace data 185 and an independently modifiable copy of version information 255 (FIG. 2), referred to herein as version information 124.

Network 100 further comprises synchronization means, which includes a base system 190 stored within the corporate LAN 135 and for example on the desktop computer 160 and further includes a synchronization agent 126 stored outside the corporate firewall 130 and preferably on the global server 120. The base system 190 and the synchronization agent 126 cooperate to synchronize the workspace data 185 with the workspace data 123. Generally, the base system 190 manages the workspace data 185 within the corporate LAN 135 and the synchronization agent 126 manages the workspace data 123 within the global server 120. As described in greater detail below with reference to FIG. 4, the base system 190 preferably initiates and controls data synchronization. Other components and functions of the global server 120 are described in the cross-referenced patent applications which are herein incorporated by reference.

The remote terminal 105 may include a smart telephone or a Personal Data Assistant (PDA) such as the PalmPilot system by the U.S. Robotics, Inc. Although not shown, the remote terminal 105 may include a second base system similar to the base system 190, which is described with greater detail with reference to FIG. 4. Accordingly, the second base system on the remote terminal 105 would cooperate with the synchronization agent 126 to synchronize the workspace data stored on the remote terminal 105 with the workspace data 123 stored on the global server 120. As

4

with the corporate LAN, the second base system on the remote terminal 105 would preferably initiate and control data synchronization with the global server 120 for the same reasons discussed below. Workspace data on the remote terminal 105 would thus be synchronized with the workspace data 123 and with the workspace data 185.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating details of a service server 200, wherein each of the e-mail server 145, the file server 150, the calendar server 155 and the desktop computer 160 is an instance thereof. Service server 200 includes a Central Processing Unit (CPU) 205 such as a Motorola Power PC® microprocessor or an Intel Pentium® microprocessor. An input device 210 such as a keyboard and mouse and an output device 215 such as a Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) display are coupled via a signal bus 220 to CPU 205. A communications interface 225 (such as an Ethernet port), a data storage device 230 (such as read only memory or a magnetic disk), and Random-Access Memory (RAM) 235 are further coupled via signal bus 220 to the CPU 205.

An operating system 240 includes a program for controlling processing by the CPU 205, and is typically stored in the data storage device 230 and loaded into the RAM 235 for execution. A service engine 245 includes a program for performing a particular service such as maintaining an e-mail data base, a calendar data base, a bookmarks data base or another file data base, and may be also stored in the data storage device 230 and loaded into the RAM 235 for execution. To perform a service, the service engine 245 operates on service data 250 (e.g., the e-mail data 165, the file data 170, the calendar data 175 or the user data 180), which is typically stored in the data storage device 250. The service data 250 includes version information 255 indicating the date and time of the last modification. The service engine 245 operates to update the version information 255 whenever modifications are made. It will be appreciated that the portion of memory in the data storage device 250 which contains the service data 250 is referred to as the service "store."

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating details of the desktop computer 160, which includes a CPU 305, an input device 310, an output device 315, a communications interface 325, a data storage device 330 and RAM 335, each coupled to a signal bus 320.

An operating system 340 includes a program for controlling processing by the CPU 305, and is typically stored in the data storage device 330 and loaded into the RAM 335 for execution. A desktop service engine 345 (i.e., a particular service engine 245, FIG. 2) includes a service program for managing user data 180 (i.e., particular service data 250, FIG. 2) which includes version information 350 (i.e., particular version information 255, FIG. 2). The desktop service engine 345 may be also stored in the data storage device 330 and loaded into the RAM 335 for execution. The user data 180 may be stored in the data storage device 330. As stated above with reference to FIG. 1, the base system 190 operates to synchronize the workspace data 185 (which includes user data 180) with the workspace data 123. The base system 190 may be also stored in the data storage device 330 and loaded into the RAM 335 for execution.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating details of the base system 190, which includes a communications module 405, a user interface module 410, a locator module 415, a synchronization-start ("synch-start") module 420, a general synchronization module 425 and a content-based synchronization module 430. For simplicity, each module is illustrated as communicating with one another via a signal bus 440.

6,085,192

5

The communications module 405 includes routines for compressing data, and routines for communicating via the communications interface 325 (FIG. 3) with the synchronization agent 126 (FIG. 1). The communications module 405 may further include routines for applying Secure Socket Layer (SSL) technology and user identification and authentication techniques (i.e., digital certificates) to establish a secure communication channel through the corporate firewall 130 and through the global firewall 126. Examples of communications modules 405 may include TCP/IP stacks or the AppleTalk® protocol.

The user interface 410 includes routines for communicating with a user, and may include a conventional Graphical User Interface (GUI). The user interface 410 operates in coordination with the other desktop computer 160 components as described herein.

The locator module 415 includes routines for identifying the memory locations of the workspace elements in the workspace data 185 and the memory locations of the workspace elements in the workspace data 123. Workspace element memory location identification may be implemented using intelligent software, i.e., preset memory addresses or the system's registry, or using dialogue boxes to query a user. Accordingly, the locator module 415 determines the memory addresses of the workspace elements in the e-mail data 165, the workspace elements in the file data 170, the workspace elements in the calendar data 175 and the workspace elements in the user data 180 as well as the memory addresses of the corresponding workspace elements in the workspace data 123. It will be appreciated that the locator module 415 may perform workspace element memory location identification upon system boot-up or after each communication with the global server 120 to maintain updated memory locations of workspace elements.

The synchronization-start module 420 includes routines for determining when to initiate synchronization of workspace data 123 and workspace data 185. For example, the synchronization-start module 420 may initiate data synchronization upon user request, at a particular time of day, after a predetermined time period passes, after a predetermined number of changes, after a user action such as user log-off or upon like criteria. The synchronization-start module 420 initiates data synchronization by instructing the general synchronization module 425 to begin execution of its routines. It will be appreciated that communications with synchronization agent 126 preferably initiate from within the corporate LAN 1135, because the typical corporate firewall 130 prevents in-bound communications and allows out-bound communications.

The general synchronization module 425 includes routines for requesting version information 124 from the synchronization agent 126 (FIG. 1) and routines for comparing the version information 255 against a last synchronization signature 435 such as a last synchronization date and time to determine which versions have been modified. The general synchronization module 425 further includes routines for comparing the version information 124 and the version information 255 to determine if only one or both versions of a particular workspace element have been modified and routines for performing an appropriate synchronizing responsive action. Appropriate synchronizing responsive actions may include forwarding the modified version (as the preferred version) of a workspace element in workspace data 185 or forwarding just a compilation of the changes to the other store(s). Other appropriate synchronizing responsive actions may include, if reconciliation between two modified versions is needed, then instructing the content-based syn-

6

chronization module 430 to execute its routines which are described below.

It will be appreciated that the synchronization agent 126 preferably examines the version information 124 and forwards only the version information 124 determined to be modified since the last synchronization signature 435. This technique makes efficient use of processor power and avoids transferring unnecessary data across the communications channel 125. The general synchronization module 425 in the corporate LAN 135 accordingly compares the received version information 124 with the version information 255 to determine if reconciliation is needed. Upon completion of the data synchronization, the general synchronization module 425 updates the last synchronization signature 435.

The content-based synchronization module 430 includes routines for reconciling two or more modified versions in workspace data 123, 185 of the same workspace element. For example, if the original and the copy of a user workspace element have both been modified independently since the last synchronization, the content-based synchronization module 430 determines the appropriate responsive action. The content-based synchronization module 430 may request a user to select the preferred one of the modified versions or may respond based on preset preferences, i.e., by storing both versions in both stores or by integrating the changes into a single preferred version which replaces each modified version at both stores.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating details of the synchronization agent 126, which includes a communications module 505 (similar to the communications module 405 described above with reference to FIG. 4) and a general synchronization module 510 (similar to the general synchronization module 425 described above also with reference to FIG. 4). The communications module 505 includes routines for compressing data, and routines for communicating via the communications channel 125 with the base system 190. The communications module 505 may further include routines for establishing a secure communications channel through the global firewall 126 and through the corporate firewall 130.

The general synchronization module 510 includes routines for comparing the version information 124 with the last synchronization signature 435, and routines for forwarding to the general synchronization module 425 version information 124 determined to be modified. The general synchronization module 510 may either maintain its own last synchronization signature 435 copy (not shown). Alternatively, the request to synchronize from the base system 190 may include a copy of the last synchronization signature 435. The general synchronization module 510 further includes routines for receiving preferred versions of workspace data 185 workspace elements from the general synchronization module 425, and routines for forwarding preferred versions of workspace data 123 workspace elements to the general synchronization module 425.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustrating a method 600 for synchronizing multiple copies of workspace data 123, 185 in a secure network 100. Method 600 begins with locator module 415 in step 605 identifying the memory locations of the workspace elements in workspace data 123, 185. As stated above, workspace element memory location identification may be implemented using intelligent software or dialogue boxes. The user interface module 410 in step 610 enables selection of the workspace elements in workspace data 123, 185 to be synchronized by the general synchronization module 425.

6,085,192

7

The synchronization-start module 420 in step 615 determines whether predetermined criteria have been met which indicate that synchronization of the workspace elements selected in step 610 should start. If not, then method 600 loops back to step 615. Otherwise, the communications module 405 and communications module 505 in step 617 establish a secure communications channel between the global server 120 and the desktop computer 160. The general synchronization module 510 in step 620 compares the version information 124 of each of the selected workspace elements in workspace data 123 against the last synchronization signature 435 to determine modified workspace elements, and forwards the version information 124 of workspace elements determined to be modified to the general synchronization module 425. Further, the general synchronization module 425 in step 620 compares the version information 255 of each selected workspace element in the workspace data 185 against the last synchronization signature 435 to locate modified workspace elements. In this embodiment, a workspace element has been modified if the date and time of last modification is after the date and time of last synchronization.

If no modified workspace elements in workspace data 123 or in workspace data 185 are located, then the general synchronization modules 425 and 510 in step 650 update the last synchronization signature 435 and method 600 ends. Otherwise, the general synchronization module 425 in step 625 determines whether more than one version of the same workspace element has been modified since the last synchronization.

If only one version has been modified, then the corresponding general synchronization module 425 or 510 in step 630 forwards the updated preferred version of the workspace element to the other store, and then in step 635 determines whether all workspace elements selected in step 610 have been examined. If so, then method 600 jumps to step 650. Otherwise, then method 600 returns to step 620.

If more than one version has been modified, then the general synchronization module 425 in step 640 instructs the content-based synchronization module 430 to reconcile the modified versions. Reconciliation may include requesting instructions from the user or, based on preselected preferences, performing responsive actions such as storing both versions at both stores.

General synchronization module 425, 510 in step 645 sends the preferred version of the workspace element or just a compilation of the changes to the other store. That is, if the preferred version is a workspace element in the workspace data 185, then general synchronization module 425 sends the preferred version or the changes to general synchronization module 510 to update the outdated workspace element in the workspace data 123. If the preferred version is a workspace element in the workspace data 123, then the general synchronization module 510 sends the preferred version or the changes to the general synchronization module 425 to update the outdated workspace element in the workspace data 185. Method 600 then jumps to step 635.

The foregoing description of the preferred embodiments of the invention is by way of example only, and other variations of the above-described embodiments and methods are provided by the present invention. For example, although the global server 120 is illustrated as a single device, the global server 120 may include several computers networked together. Although not described in great detail, the remote terminal 105 can synchronize copies of workspace elements stored on it with workspace elements of

8

workspace data 123 stored on the global server 120. Components of this invention may be implemented using a programmed general purpose digital computer, using application specific integrated circuits, or using a network of interconnected conventional components and circuits. The embodiments described herein have been presented for purposes of illustration and are not intended to be exhaustive or limiting. Many variations and modifications are possible in light of the foregoing teaching. The system is limited only by the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

- (a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;
- (b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;
- (c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;
- (d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results; and
- (e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the second store is on a global server outside the firewall and which is protected by a global firewall.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein the first version information includes the date and time the first workspace element was last modified and the second version information includes the date and time the copy was last modified.

4. The method of claim 3 wherein generating the first examination results includes the step of comparing the first version information against a date and time of last synchronization.

5. The method of claim 3 wherein generating the second examination results includes the step of comparing the second version information against a date and time of last synchronization.

6. The method of claim 1 further comprising, before generating the first examination results, the step of updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified.

7. The method of claim 1 further comprising, before generating the second examination results, the step of updating the second version information whenever the copy is modified.

8. The method of claim 1 wherein if only one of the first workspace element and the copy has been modified, then the step of generating includes selecting the one as the preferred version.

9. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of locating the first workspace element, the first version information, the copy and the second version information.

10. A system comprising:

a general synchronization module for operating within a first firewall and for examining first version information to determine whether a first workspace element has been modified;

a synchronization agent for operating outside the first firewall and for forwarding to the general synchronization module second version information which indi-

6,085,192

9

cates whether an independently modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified;

a synchronization-start module for operating within the first firewall and for initiating the general synchronization module and the synchronization agent when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy by comparing the first version information and the second version information; and

means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

11. The system of claim 10 further comprising a communications module for communicating through the first firewall.

12. The system of claim 10 wherein the synchronization agent and the second store are on a global server which is protected by a global firewall.

13. The system of claim 12 further comprising a communications module for communicating through the first firewall and through the global firewall.

14. The system of claim 10 wherein the first version information includes the date and time the first workspace element was last modified and the second version information includes the date and time the copy was last modified.

15. The system of claim 14 wherein the general synchronization module compares the first version information against a date and time of last synchronization.

16. The system of claim 14 wherein the synchronization agent compares the second version information against the date and time of last synchronization.

17. The system of claim 10 further comprising means for updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified.

18. The system of claim 10 further comprising means for updating the second version information whenever the copy is modified.

19. The system of claim 10 wherein if only one of the first workspace element and the copy has been modified, then the means for generating selects the one as the preferred version.

20. The system of claim 10 further comprising a locator module for locating the first store, the first workspace element, the first version information, the second store, the copy and the second version information.

21. A system comprising:

first means for generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

second means for generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

means for initiating the first and second means from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results; and

means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

22. A computer-readable storage medium storing program code for causing a computer to perform the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

10

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results; and

(e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

23. A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d) determining based on the first and second examination results that both the first workspace element and the copy have been modified; and

(e) storing both the first workspace element and the copy at the first store and at the second store.

24. A system comprising:

first means for generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

second means for generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

means for initiating the first and second means from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

means for determining based on the first and second examination results that both the first workspace element and the copy have been modified; and

means for storing both the first file and the copy at the first store and at the second store.

25. A system comprising:

a global server for operating outside a firewall and including memory for storing first workspace data and corresponding first version information; and

a synchronization agent for managing the first workspace data and the corresponding first version information and for communicating with remote clients; and

a remote client for operating within the firewall and including memory for storing second workspace data and corresponding second version information;

means for cooperating with the synchronization agent to synchronize the first workspace data with the second workspace data by examining the first version information and the second version information; and

a synchronization-start module for initiating workspace data synchronization between the global server and the remote client.

* * * * *



Exhibit B

(12) **EX PARTE REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE (5234th)****United States Patent****Mendez et al.**(10) **Number:** **US 6,085,192 C1**(45) **Certificate Issued:** **Nov. 22, 2005**

- (54)
- SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SECURELY SYNCHRONIZING MULTIPLE COPIES OF A WORKSPACE ELEMENT IN A NETWORK**

- (75)
- Inventors:**
- Daniel J. Mendez**
- , Mountain View, CA (US);
- Mark D. Riggins**
- , San Jose, CA (US);
- Prasad Wagle**
- , Santa Clara, CA (US);
- Christine C. Ying**
- , Foster City, CA (US)

5,434,918 A	7/1995	Kung et al.
5,483,596 A	1/1996	Rosenow et al.
5,491,752 A	2/1996	Kaufman et al.
5,495,533 A	2/1996	Linehan et al.
5,510,777 A	4/1996	Pilc et al.
5,544,320 A	8/1996	Konrad

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- (73)
- Assignee:**
- Visto Corporation**
- , Redwood Shores, CA (US)

CA	2191505	6/1997
CA	2210763	1/1999

(Continued)

Reexamination Request:

No. 90/007,093, Jun. 18, 2004

OTHER PUBLICATIONS**Reexamination Certificate for:**

Patent No.: **6,085,192**
 Issued: **Jul. 4, 2000**
 Appl. No.: **08/835,997**
 Filed: **Apr. 11, 1997**

US 5,373,559, 12/1994, Kaufman et al. (withdrawn)

Rao, Venka & Aline, Mary, "Burrowing through firewalls," Dec. 1996, pp 1-5.*

(Continued)

- (51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **G06F 17/30**
 (52) **U.S. Cl.** **707/10; 707/1; 707/9; 707/10; 707/104; 707/203**
 (58) **Field of Search** **707/1-4, 9-10, 707/203, 200, 101, 102, 103 R; 715/511; 709/201-202, 248-249**

Primary Examiner—Alford W. Kindred


(57)

ABSTRACT

A system includes a general synchronization module at the client site for operating within a first firewall and for examining first version information to determine whether a first workspace element has been modified. The system further includes a synchronization agent at a global server for operating outside the first firewall and for forwarding to the general synchronization module second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified. A synchronization-start module is maintained at the client site for operating within the first firewall and for securely initiating the general synchronization module and the synchronization agent when predetermined criteria have been satisfied. The system further includes means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy by comparing the first version information and the second version information, and means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

(56) **References Cited****U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,652,698 A	3/1987	Hale et al.
4,714,995 A	12/1987	Materna et al.
4,882,752 A	11/1989	Lindman et al.
4,897,781 A	1/1990	Chang et al.
4,916,738 A	4/1990	Chandra et al.
5,048,085 A	9/1991	Abraham et al.
5,150,407 A	9/1992	Chan
5,220,603 A	6/1993	Parker
5,265,159 A	11/1993	Kung
5,333,266 A	7/1994	Boaz et al.
5,388,255 A	2/1995	Pytlík
5,392,390 A	2/1995	Crozier
5,420,927 A	5/1995	Micali
5,425,102 A	6/1995	Moy



US 6,085,192 C1

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,544,322 A	8/1996	Cheng et al.	5,968,131 A	10/1999	Mendez et al.
5,572,643 A	11/1996	Judson	5,974,238 A	10/1999	Chase, Jr.
5,588,132 A	12/1996	Cardoza	5,982,898 A	11/1999	Hsu et al.
5,604,788 A	2/1997	Tett	5,987,609 A	11/1999	Hasebe
5,623,601 A	4/1997	Vu	5,999,932 A	12/1999	Paul
5,627,997 A	5/1997	Bray et al.	5,999,947 A	12/1999	Zollinger et al.
5,632,011 A	5/1997	Landfield et al.	6,006,017 A	12/1999	Joshi et al.
5,634,053 A	5/1997	Noble et al.	6,006,274 A	* 12/1999	Hawkins et al. 709/248
5,644,354 A	7/1997	Thompson et al.	6,020,885 A	2/2000	Honda
5,647,002 A	7/1997	Brunson	6,021,427 A	2/2000	Spagna et al.
5,652,884 A	7/1997	Palevich	6,023,700 A	2/2000	Owens et al.
5,657,390 A	8/1997	Elgamal et al.	6,023,708 A	2/2000	Mendez et al.
5,664,207 A	9/1997	Crumpler et al.	6,034,621 A	3/2000	Kaufman
5,666,530 A	9/1997	Clark et al.	6,052,735 A	4/2000	Ulrich et al.
5,675,782 A	10/1997	Montague et al.	6,073,165 A	6/2000	Narasimhan et al.
5,678,039 A	10/1997	Hinks et al.	6,094,477 A	7/2000	Nada et al.
5,680,542 A	10/1997	Mulchandani	6,108,691 A	8/2000	Lee et al.
5,682,478 A	10/1997	Watson et al.	6,108,709 A	8/2000	Shinomura et al.
5,684,951 A	11/1997	Goldman et al.	6,118,856 A	9/2000	Paarsmarkt et al.
5,684,984 A	11/1997	Jones et al.	6,125,281 A	9/2000	Wells et al.
5,687,322 A	11/1997	Deaton	6,131,096 A	10/2000	Ng et al.
5,701,400 A	12/1997	Amado	6,131,116 A	10/2000	Riggins et al.
5,706,427 A	1/1998	Tabuki	6,138,146 A	10/2000	Moon et al.
5,710,922 A	1/1998	Alley et al.	6,151,606 A	11/2000	Mendez
5,713,019 A	1/1998	Keaten	6,154,844 A	11/2000	Touboul et al.
5,717,925 A	2/1998	Harper et al.	6,169,986 B1	1/2001	Bowman et al.
5,721,779 A	2/1998	Funk	6,182,118 B1	1/2001	Finney et al.
5,727,202 A	3/1998	Kucala	6,212,529 B1	4/2001	Boothby et al.
5,729,735 A	3/1998	Meyering	6,249,805 B1	6/2001	Fleming
5,742,668 A	4/1998	Pepe et al.	6,295,541 B1	9/2001	Bodnar et al.
5,752,059 A	5/1998	Holleran et al.	6,304,881 B1	10/2001	Halim et al.
5,752,246 A	5/1998	Rogers et al.	6,311,186 B1	10/2001	Melampy et al.
5,754,830 A	5/1998	Butts et al.	6,324,542 B1	11/2001	Wright, Jr. et al.
5,758,150 A	5/1998	Bell et al.	6,334,140 B1	12/2001	Kawamata
5,758,354 A	5/1998	Huang et al.	6,343,313 B1	1/2002	Salesky et al.
5,758,355 A	5/1998	Buchanan	6,389,455 B1	5/2002	Fuisz
5,764,902 A	6/1998	Rothrock	6,438,583 B1	8/2002	McDowell et al.
5,765,171 A	6/1998	Gehani et al.	6,446,090 B1	9/2002	Hart
5,768,510 A	6/1998	Gish	6,477,545 B1	11/2002	LaRue
5,784,463 A	7/1998	Chen et al.	6,510,455 B1	1/2003	Chen et al.
5,784,464 A	7/1998	Akiyama et al.	6,564,218 B1	5/2003	Roth
5,787,172 A	7/1998	Arnold	6,631,416 B2	10/2003	Bendinelli et al.
5,790,974 A	8/1998	Tognazzini	6,697,942 B1	2/2004	L'Heureux et al.
5,794,252 A	8/1998	Mosher			
5,799,086 A	8/1998	Sudia			
5,802,530 A	9/1998	Van Hoff			
5,812,398 A	9/1998	Nielsen			
5,812,668 A	9/1998	Weber			
5,812,773 A	9/1998	Norin			
5,815,683 A	9/1998	Vogler			
5,818,935 A	10/1998	Maa			
5,828,840 A	10/1998	Cowan et al.			
5,832,483 A	11/1998	Barker			
5,835,087 A	11/1998	Herz et al.			
5,835,601 A	11/1998	Shimbo et al.			
5,845,282 A	12/1998	Alley et al.			
5,857,201 A	* 1/1999	Wright et al. 707/104.1			
5,862,325 A	1/1999	Reed et al.			
5,862,346 A	1/1999	Kley et al.			
5,870,544 A	2/1999	Curtis			
5,870,759 A	2/1999	Bauer et al.			
5,870,765 A	2/1999	Bauer et al.			
5,878,230 A	3/1999	Weber et al.			
5,909,689 A	6/1999	Van Ryzin			
5,924,103 A	7/1999	Ahmed et al.			
5,928,329 A	7/1999	Clark et al.			
5,943,676 A	8/1999	Boothby			
5,951,652 A	9/1999	Ingrassia, Jr. et al.			
5,961,590 A	10/1999	Mendez et al.			
5,966,714 A	10/1999	Huang et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0801478	10/1997
EP	0820028	1/1998
JP	02000003314	1/2000
WO	WO 96/17306	6/1996
WO	WO 97/04389	2/1997
WO	WO 97/24678	7/1997
WO	WO 97/35265	9/1997
WO	WO 99/05620	2/1999
WO	WO 99/45451	9/1999
WO	WO 00/22543	4/2000
WO	2002/0138599	9/2002
WO	2002/0174372	11/2002

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

IntelliLink Corporation, IntelliLink for Windows Release 3.0, "User's Guide" 1994, Nashua, NH.

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 4, "Application Developer's Guide" 1995, Cambridge, MA.

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 3.3 North American Server Edition, "Lotus Notes, the Groupware Standard" 1994, Cambridge, MA.

Sams Publishing, Dahl, Andrew, "Lotus Notes 4 Administrator's Survival Guide," 1996, Indianapolis, IN.

US 6,085,192 C1

Page 3

- Sams Publishing, Tamura, et al., "Lotus Notes 4 Unleashed," 1996, Indianapolis, IN.
- Advisor Publications—Lotus Notes Advisor, Pyle, Hugh, "The Notes Architecture," 1995.
- Advisor Publications—Lotus Notes Advisor, Augun, Audry, "Integrating Lotus Notes with Enterprise Data," 1996.
- Advisor Publications—Lotus Notes Advisor, Opyt, Barbara and Dale, Robert, "Use the Internet as Your Lotus Notes WAN," 1996.
- Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Knowledge Base, "What is the Notes Replicator?" 1995, Cambridge, MA.
- Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Knowledge Base, "Firewall Security Overview and How Firewalls Relate to Lotus Notes" 1996, Cambridge, MA.
- Network Computing, Frenkel, Garry, "Pumping for Info: Notes and Database Integration," 1996.
- IBM Corporation, Hawker et al., "Secrets to Running Lotus Notes: The Decisions No One Tells You How to Make," 1996, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- Radosevich, Linda, "Users want unified mail directories," Computerworld, Aug. 30, 1993, p. 12.
- Bruno, Charles, "Firm pushes E-mail limits beyond rivals," Network World, Aug. 12, 1991, pp. 33, 53.
- Sliwa, Carol and Cole, Barb, "MESA declares a groupware detente," Network World, Aug. 5, 1996, p. 29.
- Grosse, Eric, "Repository Mirroring," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 21, No. 1, Mar., 1995, pp. 89-97.
- Schilit, Bill N. and Theimer, Marvin M., "Disseminating Active Map Information to Mobile Hosts," IEEE Network, Sep./Oct. 1994, pp. 22-32.
- Levy, Eliezer and Silberschatz, Abraham, "Distributed File Systems: Concepts and Examples," ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 22, No. 4, Dec. 1990, pp. 321-374.
- Rymer, John R., "The Muddle in the Middle," Byte, Apr. 1996, pp. 67-70.
- Baum, David, "Intranet Politics and Technologies," Byte, May 1997, pp. 88A-88H.
- Udell, Jon, "Push Me, Pull You," Byte, Sep. 1996, pp. 117-120.
- Kador, John, "The Ultimate Middleware," Byte, Apr. 1996, pp. 79-83.
- Brown, Mark, "Using Netscape 2," Que Corporation, 1995, pp. 885-907.
- Kramer, Douglas, "The Java Platform," Javasoft, May 1996, pp. 1-25.
- Package java.applet; java.net, <http://www-nrg.ee.lbl.gov/j4/apibook/javaf.htm>, [javam.htm](http://www-nrg.ee.lbl.gov/j4/apibook/javam.htm), Apr. 22, 1996.
- Servlet Tutorial, http://mech.postech.ac.kr/java/java.sun.com/products/jeeves/currentrelease/doc/servlet_tutorial/servlet_tutorial.html.
- Freier et al., entitled "The SSL Protocol, Version 3.0," Netscape Communications, dated Nov. 18, 1996, pp. 1-59, Downloaded from URL=<http://www.home.netscape.com/eng/ss13/draft302.txt>.
- Java Servlet Application Programming Interface White Paper, <http://mech.postech.ac.kr/java/java.sun.com/products/jeeves/CurrentRelease/doc/api.html>, Aug. 1996, pp. 1-6.
- Singhal, M., "Update transport: A new technique for update synchronization in replicated database systems," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 16, No. 12, Dec. 1990, pp. 1325-1336.
- Rao, H. & Skarra, A., "A transparent service for synchronized replication across loosely-connected file systems," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Apr. 1995, pp. 110-117.
- Crispin, M., "Internet Message Access Protocol—RFC 1730 Version 4," Dec. 1994, pp. 1-52, <http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc1730.html>.
- Rao, Venkat & Aline, Mary, "Burrowing through firewalls," Dec. 1996, pp. 1-5, <http://java.sun.com/developer/technicalArticles/InnerWorkings/Burrowing/>.
- Brown, Margaret J., "The Visto Briefcase Pro Puts Your PIM On The Internet," Aug. 13, 1998, 1 page, URL:http://www.zdnet.com/zdnn/stories/zdnn_display/0,3440,341892,00.html.
- Tanenbaum, Andrew, "Computer Networks," Third Edition, Prentice-Hall, 1996, see entire document.
- Knudsen, Jonathan, "Java Cryptography," O'Reilly, 1998, p. 79-91, 112, 160.
- Verisign Press Release, "Verisign Enhances Digital IDS to Enable Universal Website Login and One-step Registration," <www.verisign.com/press/product/isv.html>, especially 2nd paragraph.
- Crispin, M., "IMAP4 Compatibility With IMAP2BIS," Network Working Group RFC 2061, Dec. 1996, XP002154026, <URL:<http://www.imc.org/rfc2061>>, retrieved Nov. 28, 2000, p. 1, last paragraph.
- "Configuration of a wireless-connected mobile computer," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, US, IBM Corp., New York, vol. 38, No. 4, Apr. 1, 1995, pp. 15-17, XP000516057, ISSN: 0018-8689, the whole document.
- Mason, Justin, "Tunneling over HTTP," Dec. 11, 1996, pp. 1-2 <http://www.netsys.com/firewalls/firewalls-9612/0488.html>.
- Elgamal, Taher, "The Secure Sockets Layer Protocol (SSL)," agenda for the Danvers IETF meeting, Apr. 1995, pp. 1-5—<http://www.ietf.cnri.reston.va.us/proceedings/95apr/sec/cat.elgamal.slides.html>.
- Gray, Terry, "Message Access Paradigms and Protocols," revised Sep. 28, 1995, pp. 1-11 <http://www.imap.org/imap.vs.pop.html>.
- Ouellette, Tim, "Data for everyone, bills for none?," Computerworld, Mar. 17, 1997, pp. 43, 46.
- Research Disclosure: "Provide Auto-Forwarding Based On Criteria Selected by the User"; Oct. 1, 1989; 1 page; No. 306; Kenneth Mason Publications; XP000085405; ISSN 0374-4353.
- Chan, M.C. et al., "Application of Compaction Technique to Optimizing Wireless Email Transfer," IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference 1999, p. 1535 Section A.
- Crispin, M., "Internet Message Access Protocol Version 4rev1," 1996 Section 2.3.1.1.
- Jaeger and Prakash, "Implementation of a Discretionary Access Control Model for Script-based Systems," IEEE Jun. 1995.
- Adams, Charlotte, "Multilevel Secure Networking Charges Ahead," Federal Computer Week, Apr. 12, 1993.
- Kohl, John, "The Evolution of the Kerberos Authentication Service," 1991.
- Young et al., "Deniable Password Snatching: On the Possibility of Evasive Electronic Espionage," 1997, IEEE, pp. 224-235.

US 6,085,192 C1

Page 4

- Abel, Ameer, "E-mail anywhere," May 1998, *Time*, pp. 1-4.
- Gray, Emmett, "Fetch-O-Matic by Emmett Gray," <http://www.filmscouts.com/software/fomguide.asp>, Version 2.5, 2001.
- Satyanarayanan, M., "Integrating Security in a Large Distributed System," *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, vol. 7, No. 3, Aug. 1989, pp. 247-280.
- Kistler, James J. and Satyanarayanan, M., "Disconnected Operation in the Coda File System," *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, vol. 10, No. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 3-25.
- Hills, Alex and Johnson, David B., "Wireless Data Network Infrastructure at Carnegie Mellon University," *IEEE Personal Communications*, 3(1), Feb. 1996.
- Satyanarayanan, Mahadev, "Mobile Information Access," *IEEE Personal Communications*, Feb. 1996, pp. 26-33.
- Satyanarayanan, Mahadev et al., "Coda: A Highly Available File System for a Distributed Workstation Environment," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 39, No. 4, Apr. 1990, pp. 447-459.
- Satyanarayanan, Mahadev, "Scalable, Secure, and Highly Available Distributed File Access," *Computer*, May 1990, pp. 9-21.
- Mummert, Lily B. et al., "Exploiting Weak Connectivity for Mobile File Access," *SIGOPS '95*, Dec. 1995, pp. 143-155.
- Terry, Douglas B. et al., "Managing Update Conflicts in Bayou, a Weakly Connected Replicated Storage System," *SIGOPS '95*, Dec. 1995, pp. 172-183.
- Demers, Alan et al., "The Bayou Architecture: Support for Data Sharing among Mobile Users," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications*, Santa Cruz, California, Dec. 1994, pp. 2-7.
- Petersen, Karin et al., "Bayou. Replicated Database Services for World-wide Applications," *Proceedings Seventh ACM SIGOPS European Workshop (EuroSIGOPS '96)*, Connemara, Ireland, Sep. 1996, pp. 275-280.
- Crocker, David H., "RFC822: Standard for ARPA Internet Text Messages," <http://www.w3.org/Protocols/rfc822/>.
- Theisen, Tim, "AFS distributed filesystem FAQ (1/2)," posted to [uwisc.general](mailto:uwisc.general@news.gatech.edu) newsgroup, Jul. 25, 1994.
- Theisen, Tim, "AFS distributed filesystem FAQ (2/2)," posted to [uwisc.general](mailto:uwisc.general@news.gatech.edu) newsgroup, Jul. 25, 1994.
- Schubert, Eric, "Re: telnet/internet and . . .," posted to [comp.sys.hp.mpe](mailto:comp.sys.hp.mpe@news.gatech.edu) newsgroup, Jun. 30, 1995.
- Glazman, Daniel, "SOFTWARE: HTTPtool [sic] 1.1, a file transfer utility over HTTP using PUT and GET," posted to [comp.infosystems.www.announce](mailto:comp.infosystems.www.announce@news.gatech.edu) newsgroup, May 17, 1995.
- Glazman, Daniel, "SOFTWARE: HTTPtool v1.0 for Windows3.x, file transfer utility over HTTP," posted to [comp.infosystems.www.announce](mailto:comp.infosystems.www.announce@news.gatech.edu) newsgroup, Mar. 21, 1996.
- Angus, Jeffrey G., "Sales force automation has a Gold-Mine," *Computerworld*, Oct. 7, 1996, p. 59.
- Salamone, Salvatore, "Middle(ware) Management," *Byte*, Apr. 1996, pp. 71-76.
- Nance, Barry, "Balance the Load with Transaction Server," *Byte*, Jun. 1997, pp. 81-84.
- Francetti, Barbara, "Replication on the Run," *Software Magazine*, Aug. 1996, pp. 63-66.
- Darling, Charles B., "EDA/SQL Loses a Little and Gains a Lot," *Datamation*, May 1, 1996, p. 12.
- Varney, Sarah E., "Arm your salesforce with the Web," *Datamation*, Oct. 1996, pp. 72-74.
- Fulcher, Jim, "Is it or isn't it?," *Manufacturing Systems*, Oct. 1996, pp. 56-61.
- Lamb, John and Cusato, Tony, "LAN-Based Office for the Enterprise, A Case Study," *Proceedings, 19th Conference on Local Computer Networks*, Minneapolis, Minnesota, Oct. 2-5, 1994, pp. 440-447.
- Kawell Jr., Leonard et al., "Replicated Document Management In A Group Communication System," presented at the Second Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, Portland, Oregon, Sep. 26-28, 1988, as printed in *Groupware: Software for Computer-Supported Cooperative Work*, IEEE Computer Society Press, pp. 226-235.
- Hong, Jack et al., "Personal Electronic Notebook with Sharing," *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprises*, Berkeley Springs, West Virginia, Apr. 20-22, 1995, pp. 88-94.
- Mace, Scott, "DataSync 2.0 enhances synchronization of data," *InfoWorld*, Jun. 6, 1994, p. 28.
- Mace, Scott, "Database replication products debut," *InfoWorld*, Nov. 15, 1993, p. 19.
- Gryphon, Robert, "DataSync line matches up," *InfoWorld*, May 23, 1994, p. 161.
- Patterson, John F. et al., "Notification Servers for Synchronous Groupware," *Proceedings, ACM 1996 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, Boston, Massachusetts, Nov. 16-20, 1996, pp. 122-129.
- Zhao, Jian and Hoppe, H. Ulrich, "Supporting Flexible Communication in Heterogeneous Multi-User Environments," 1994 *IEEE*, pp. 442-449.
- Gong, Li, "Enclaves: Enabling Secure Collaboration Over the Internet," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 15, No. 3, Apr. 1997, pp. 567-575.
- Bruno, Lee, "Directory Services Tie It All Together," *Data Communications*, Mar. 1997, pp. 75-83.
- Guy, Richard G. et al., "Implementation of the Ficus Replicated File System," *Proceedings of the Summer 1990 USENIX Conference*, Anaheim, California, Jun. 11-15, 1990, pp. 63-70.
- Page, Thomas W. Jr. et al., "Management of Replicated Volume Location Data in the Ficus Replicated Data System," *Conference Proceedings, USENIX Summer 1991 Technical Conference*, Nashville, Tennessee, Jun. 10-14, 1991, pp. 17-29.
- Reiher, Peter et al., "Resolving File Conflicts in the Ficus File System," *Conference Proceedings, USENIX Summer 1994 Technical Conference*, Boston, Massachusetts, Jun. 6-10, 1994, pp. 183-195.
- Walker, Bruce et al., "The LOCUS Distributed Operating System," *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, Bretton Woods, New Hampshire, Oct. 10-13, 1983, pp. 49-70.
- Parker, D. Stott Jr. et al., "Detection of Mutual Inconsistency in Distributed Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. SE-9, No. 3, May 1983, pp. 240-246.
- Ceri, Stefano et al., "The Case for Independent Updates," *Second Workshop on the Management of Replicated Data*, Monterey, California, Nov. 12-13, 1992, pp. 17-19.
- Downing, Alan R. et al., "OSCAR: A System for WeakConsistency Replication," *Proceedings, Workshop on the Management of Replicated Data*, Houston, Texas, Nov. 8-9, 1990, pp. 26-30.

US 6,085,192 C1

Page 5

Siegel, Alex et al., "Deceit: A Flexible Distributed File System," Proceedings of the Summer 1990 USENIX Conference, Anaheim, California, Jun. 11-15, 1990, pp. 51-61.

Chutani, Saitesh, "The Episode File System," Conference Proceedings, USENIX Winter 1992 Technical Conference, San Francisco, California, Jan. 20-24, 1992, pp. 43-59.

Seltzer, Margo, "An Implementation of a Log-Structured File System for UNIX," Conference Proceedings, USENIX Winter 1993 Technical Conference, San Diego, California, Jan. 25-29, 1993, pp. 307-326.

Vahalia, Uresh, "Metadata Logging in an NFS Server," Conference Proceedings, USENIX 1995 Technical Conference on UNIX and Advanced Computing Systems, New Orleans, Louisiana, Jan. 16-20, 1995, pp. 265-276.

Lotus Development Corporation, InterNotes Web Publisher Release 4, "InterNotes Web Publisher Guide" 1996, Cambridge, MA.

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 4, "Database Manager's Guide" 1995, Cambridge, MA.

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 4, "Administrator's Guide" 1995, Cambridge, MA.

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 4, "Deployment Guide" 1995, Cambridge, MA.

IBM Lotus Technical Library, Lotus Documentation, "Lotus Notes Internet Cookbook for Notes Release 3", Jan. 16, 1996, pp. 1-26 http://www-12.lotus.com/ldd/doc/domino_notes/cookbook/cbookv4.nsf/e12503289bf7b3a385256.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.—Wiley Computer Publishing, Falkner, Mike, "How to Plan, Develop, and Implement Lotus Notes in Your Organization" 1996, USA4.

McGraw-Hill, Lamb, John P and Lew, Peter W., "Lotus Notes Network Design for Notes Release 3 and 4", 1996, Quebecor-Fairfield, PA.

Lotus Notes, "Overview—What is Lotus NotesPump?", including "Notes Pump 1.0 Release Notes".

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 3.1, The groupware standard, "Site and System Planning Guide" 1994, Cambridge, MA.

Lotus Development Corporation, Lotus Notes Release 3.1. The groupware standard, "Administrator's Guide Server for NetWare, OS/2, and UNIX" 1994, Cambridge, MA.

IBM Lotus Technical Library, Lotus Documentation, "Lotus Notes Internet Cookbook for Notes Release 4", Date: Feb. 14, 1996, pp. 1-30 http://www-12.lotus.com/ldd/doc/domino_notes/cookbook/cbookv4.nsf/e12503289bf7b3a385256.

* cited by examiner

US 6,085,192 C1

1
**EX PARTE
REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE
ISSUED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 307**

THE PATENT IS HEREBY AMENDED AS
INDICATED BELOW.

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appeared in the patent, but has been deleted and is no longer a part of the patent; matter printed in italics indicates additions made to the patent.

ONLY THOSE PARAGRAPHS OF THE
SPECIFICATION AFFECTED BY AMENDMENT
ARE PRINTED HEREIN.

Column 4, lines 20–38:

An operating system 240 includes a program for controlling processing by the CPU 205, and is typically stored in the data storage device 230 and loaded into the RAM 235 for execution. A service engine 245 includes a program for performing a particular service such as maintaining an e-mail data base, a calendar data base, a bookmarks data base or another file data base, and may be also stored in the data storage device 230 and loaded into the RAM 235 for execution. To perform a service, the service engine 245 operates on service data 250 (e.g., the e-mail data 165, the file data 170, the calendar data 175 or the user data 180), which is typically stored in the data storage device [250] 230. The service data 250 includes version information 255 indicating the date and time of the last modification. The service engine 245 operates to update the version information 255 whenever modifications are made. It will be appreciated that the portion of memory in the data storage device [250] 230 which contains the service data 250 is referred to as the service "store."

Column 4, lines 44–59:

An operating system 340 includes a program for controlling processing by the CPU 305, and is typically stored in the data storage device 330 and loaded into the RAM 335 for execution. A desktop service engine 345 (i.e., a particular service engine 245, FIG. 2) includes a service program for managing user data 180 (i.e., particular service data 250, FIG. 2) which includes version information 350 (i.e., particular version information 255, FIG. 2). The desktop service engine 345 may be also stored in the data storage device 330 and loaded into the RAM 335 for execution. The user data 180 may be stored in the data storage device 330. As stated above with reference to FIG. 1, the base system [1 90] 190 operates to synchronize the workspace data 185 (which includes user data 180) with the workspace data 123. The base system 190 may be also stored in the data storage device 330 and loaded into the RAM 335 for execution.

Column 5, lines 35–49:

The synchronization-start module 420 includes routines for determining when to initiate synchronization of workspace data 123 and workspace data 185. For example, the synchronization-start module 420 may initiate data synchronization upon user request, at a particular time of day, after a predetermined time period passes, after a predetermined number of changes, after a user action such as user log-off or upon like criteria. The synchronization-start module 420 initiates data synchronization by instructing the general synchronization module 425 to begin execution of its routines. It will be appreciated that communications with synchronization agent 126 preferably initiate from within the

2

cooperate LAN [1135] 135, because the typical cooperate firewall 130 prevents in-bound communications and allows out-bound communications.

Column 6, lines 15–27:

The content-based synchronization module 430 includes routines for reconciling two or more modified versions in workspace data 123, 185 of the same workspace element. For example, if the original and the copy of a user workspace element have both been modified independently since the last synchronization, the [contentbased] *content-based* synchronization module 430 determines the appropriate responsive action. The content-based synchronization module 430 may request a user to select the preferred one of the modified versions or may respond based on preset preferences, i.e., by storing both versions in both stores or by integrating the changes into a single preferred version which replaces each modified version at both stores.

Column 6, lines 28–41:

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating details of the synchronization agent 126, which includes a communications module 505 (similar to the communications module 405 described above with reference to FIG. 4) and a general synchronization module 510 (similar to the general synchronization module 425 described above also with reference to FIG. 4). The communications module 505 includes routines for compressing data, and routines for communicating via the communications channel 125 with the base system 190. The communications module 505 may further include routines for establishing a secure communications channel through the global firewall [126] 115 and through the corporate firewall 130.

Column 6, lines 42–56:

The general synchronization module 510 includes routines for comparing the version information 124 with the last synchronization signature 435, and routines for forwarding to the general synchronization module 425 version information 124 determined to be modified. The general synchronization module 510 may [either] maintain its own last synchronization signature 435 copy (not shown). Alternatively, the request to synchronize from the base system 190 may include a copy of the last synchronization signature 435. The general synchronization module 510 further includes routines for receiving preferred versions of workspace data 185 workspace elements from the general synchronization module 425, and routines for forwarding preferred versions of workspace data 123 workspace elements to the general synchronization module 425.

AS A RESULT OF REEXAMINATION, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT:

Claim 19 is cancelled.

Claims 1–2, 6–8, 10–11 and 21–25 are determined to be patentable as amended.

Claims 3–5, 9, 12–18 and 20, dependent on an amended claim, are determined to be patentable.

1. A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

- (a) *establishing a communications channel through a firewall using an HTTP port or an SSL port;*
- (b) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within [a] *the* firewall has been modified;

(b)c) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store *on a smart phone* outside the firewall;

US 6,085,192 C1

3

(c)d) initiating steps (a)b and (b)c from within the firewall through the communications channel when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d)e) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results, wherein if only one of the first workspace element and the copy has been modified, then the step of generating includes selecting the one as the preferred version; and

(e)f) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

2. [The method of claim 1] A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results; and

(e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store;

wherein the second store is on a global server outside the firewall and which is protected by a global firewall.

6. [The method of claim 1 further comprising.] A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results;

(e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store; and

before generating the first examination results, the step of updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified.

7. [The method of claim 1 further comprising.] A computer based method comprising the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

4

(d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results;

(e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store; and

before generating the second examination results, the step of updating the second version information whenever the copy is modified.

8. [The method of claim 1] A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results;

(e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store; and

wherein if only one of the first workspace element and the copy has been modified, then the step of generating includes selecting the one as the preferred version.

10. A system comprising:

a communications channel through a firewall comprising one of an HTTP port and an SSL port;

a general synchronization module for operating within [a] the first firewall and for examining first version information to determine whether a first workspace element at a first store has been modified;

a synchronization agent for operating outside the first firewall and for forwarding to the general synchronization module second version information which indicates whether an independently modifiable copy of the first workspace element at a second store on a smart phone has been modified;

a synchronization-start module for operating within the first firewall and for initiating the general synchronization module and the synchronization agent when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy by comparing the first version information and the second version information, wherein if only one of the first workspace element and the copy has been modified, then the means for generating selects the one as the preferred version; and

means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

11. The system of claim 10 further comprising a communications module for communicating through the first firewall, wherein the first firewall is positioned between a trusted network and the Internet.

21. A system comprising:

first means for generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

US 6,085,192 C1

5

second means for generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store *on a smart phone* outside the firewall;

means for updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified or updating the second version information whenever the copy is modified;

means for initiating the first and second means from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

means for generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results; and

means for storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

22. A computer-readable storage medium storing program code for causing a computer-based system to perform the steps of:

(a) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall positioned between a trusted network and the Internet has been modified;

(b) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store *on a smart phone* outside the firewall;

(c) initiating steps (a) and (b) from within the firewall through an Internet communications channel when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d) generating a preferred version from the first workspace element and from the copy based on the first and second examination results, *wherein if only one of the first workspace element and the copy has been modified, then selecting the one as the preferred version;* and

(e) storing the preferred version at the first store and at the second store.

23. A computer-based method comprising the steps of:

(a) *establishing a secure communications channel through a firewall using an HTTP port or an SSL port;*

(b) generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within [a] the firewall has been modified;

(c) *before generating the first examination results, the step of updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified;*

(b)d) generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace

6

element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

(c)e) initiating steps (a)b) and (b)d) from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

(d)f) determining based on the first and second examination results that both the first workspace element and the copy have been modified; and

(e)g) storing both the first workspace element and the copy at the first store and at the second store, *wherein the second store comprises a smart phone.*

24. A system comprising:

first means for generating first examination results from first version information which indicates whether a first workspace element stored at a first store within a firewall has been modified;

second means for generating second examination results from second version information which indicates whether an independently-modifiable copy of the first workspace element has been modified, the copy being stored at a second store outside the firewall;

means for updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified or updating the second version information whenever the copy is modified;

means for initiating the first and second means from within the firewall when predetermined criteria have been satisfied;

means for determining based on the first and second examination results that both the first workspace element and the copy have been modified; and

means for storing both the first [file] workspace element and the copy at the first store and at the second store, *wherein the second store comprises a smart phone.*

25. A system comprising:

a global server for operating outside a firewall and including memory for storing first workspace data and corresponding first version information; and

a synchronization agent for managing the first workspace data and the corresponding first version information and for communicating with remote clients; [and]

means for updating the first version information whenever the first workspace element is modified;

a remote client for operating within the firewall and including memory for storing second workspace data and corresponding second version information;

means for cooperating with the synchronization agent to synchronize the first workspace data with the second workspace data by examining the first version information and the second version information; and

a synchronization-start module for initiating workspace data synchronization between the global server and the remote client.

* * * * *



Exhibit C

United States Patent [19]

Mendez

[11] Patent Number: **6,151,606**
 [45] Date of Patent: **Nov. 21, 2000**

[54] **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR USING A WORKSPACE DATA MANAGER TO ACCESS, MANIPULATE AND SYNCHRONIZE NETWORK DATA**

5,701,423 12/1997 Crozier 395/335
 5,706,502 1/1998 Foley et al. 707/10

(List continued on next page.)

[75] Inventor: **Daniel J. Mendez**, Menlo Park, Calif.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

[73] Assignee: **Visto Corporation**, Mountain View, Calif.

Article by Bellovin et al., entitled: "Network Firewalls" Published by IEEE Communications Magazine Sep. 1994, pp. 50-57.

[21] Appl. No.: **09/008,354**

Article by Steffen Stempel, entitled: "IPAccess—An Internet Service Access System for Firewall Installations" Published by IEEE Communications Magazine Feb. 16, 1995, pp. 31-41.

[22] Filed: **Jan. 16, 1998**

(List continued on next page.)

[51] Int. Cl.⁷ **G06F 17/30**
 [52] U.S. Cl. **707/201; 707/8; 707/10; 707/202; 707/203**

[58] Field of Search 707/8, 10, 202, 707/203, 506, 511; 709/103, 201, 204, 224, 228, 302, 303; 706/14, 45; 345/302, 340; 320/257, 463; 705/35; 395/500.32

Primary Examiner—Thomas G. Black

Assistant Examiner—Diane D. Mizrahi

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Graham & James LLP

[57]

ABSTRACT


[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,831,582	5/1989	Miller et al.	707/104
4,875,159	10/1989	Cary et al.	364/200
4,897,781	1/1990	Chang	364/200
5,263,157	11/1993	Janis	707/9
5,386,564	1/1995	Shearer et al.	707/500
5,392,390	2/1995	Crozier	395/161
5,572,643	11/1996	Judson	395/793
5,581,749	12/1996	Hossain et al.	707/1
5,600,834	2/1997	Howard	395/617
5,613,012	3/1997	Hoffman et al.	382/115
5,623,601	4/1997	Vu	395/187.01
5,627,658	5/1997	Connors et al.	358/407
5,634,053	5/1997	Noble et al.	707/4
5,647,002	7/1997	Brunson	380/49
5,652,884	7/1997	Palevich	395/651
5,666,530	9/1997	Clark et al.	395/617
5,666,553	9/1997	Crozier	395/803
5,678,039	10/1997	Hinks et al.	395/604
5,680,542	10/1997	Mulchandani	395/183.04
5,682,524	10/1997	Freund et al.	395/605
5,684,990	11/1997	Boothby	707/203
5,687,322	11/1997	Deaton	705/14
5,701,400	12/1997	Amado	706/45

A system includes a communications module for downloading workspace data from a remote site, an application program interface coupled to the communications module for communicating with a workspace data manager to enable manipulation of the downloaded workspace data and thereby create manipulated data, and a general synchronization module coupled to the communications module for synchronizing the manipulated data with the workspace data stored at the remote site. An instantiator requests the workspace data manager to provide an interface for enabling manipulation of the downloaded workspace data. The workspace data manager may create another instance of the interface or may provide access to its only interface to enable manipulation of the data. A data reader may translate the downloaded workspace data from the format used by the remote site to the format used by the workspace data manager. Upon logout, a de-instantiator synchronizes the data with the global server and deletes the workspace data. The system handles the situation where the data stored at the remote site has not changed and therefore includes the downloaded data, and the situation the data stored at the remote site has been modified and therefore is different than the downloaded data.

21 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



6,151,606

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,710,918	1/1998	Lagarde et al.	395/610
5,713,019	1/1998	Keaten	395/610
5,715,403	2/1998	Stefik	705/44
5,717,925	2/1998	Harper et al.	395/613
5,721,908	2/1998	Lagarde et al.	395/610
5,721,914	2/1998	DeVries	707/104
5,729,735	3/1998	Meyering	395/610
5,745,360	4/1998	Leone et al.	364/140
5,757,916	5/1998	MacDoran et al.	380/25
5,758,150	5/1998	Bell et al.	395/610
5,758,354	5/1998	Huang et al.	707/201
5,758,355	5/1998	Buchanan	707/201
5,765,171	6/1998	Gehani et al.	707/203
5,778,346	7/1998	Frid-Nielsen et al.	395/208
5,790,425	8/1998	Wagle	364/551.01
5,790,790	8/1998	Smith et al.	395/200.36
5,799,318	8/1998	Cardinal et al.	707/104
5,832,483	11/1998	Barker	707/8
5,862,325	1/1999	Reed	395/200.31
5,870,759	2/1999	Bauer et al.	707/201
5,951,652	9/1999	Ingrassia et al.	709/248
5,966,714	10/1999	Huang et al.	707/201
5,999,947	12/1999	Zollinger et al.	707/203

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Article by Braun et al., entitled: "Web Traffic Characterization: an assessment of the impact of caching documents from NCSA's web server" Published by Elsevier Science B.V. 1995 pp. 37-51.

Article by Nelson et al., entitled: "Security for Infinite Networks" Published by IEEE Communications Magazine on Aug. 22, 1995, pp. 11-19.

Article by Greenwald et al., entitled: "Designing an Academic Firewall: Policy, Practice, and Experience with SURF" Published by IEEE Communications Magazine on Feb. 22, 1996, pp. 79-92.

Article by Kiuchi et al., entitled: "C-HTTP—The Development of a Secure, Closed HTTP-based Network on the Internet" Published by IEEE Proceedings of SNDSS on Feb. 22, 1996, pp. 64-75.

Article by S. Cobb, entitled: "Establishing Firewall Policy" Published by National Computer Security Assn. on Jun. 25-27, 1996, pp. 198-205.

Margaret J. Brown, "The Visto Briefcase Pro Puts Your PIM On The Internet", URL:http://www.zdnet.com/zdnn/stories/zdnn_display/0,3440,341892,00.html, Aug. 13, 1998, 1 page.

Web site entitled "Bookmark Translator 2.0: This Utility transform Microsoft Internet Explore's bookmarks in the format valid for Netscape Navigator and viceversa," Enzo Marinacci, Rome-Jul. 1997, URL=<http://www.bns.it/em-ware/BookmarkTranslator-uk.htm>, pp. 1-4.

U.S. Patent

Nov. 21, 2000

Sheet 1 of 6

6,151,606



FIG. 1
(NETWORK SYSTEM)

FIG. 6





U.S. Patent

Nov. 21, 2000

Sheet 2 of 6

6,151,606





U.S. Patent

Nov. 21, 2000

Sheet 3 of 6

6,151,606




U.S. Patent

Nov. 21, 2000

Sheet 4 of 6

6,151,606



U.S. Patent

Nov. 21, 2000

Sheet 5 of 6

6,151,606

FIG. 8
(BORROW ME)

