IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

VERSO PAPER CORP.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.))
NEWPAGE CORPORATION,))) CIVIL ACTION NO.
and) CIVIL ACTION NO.
NEWPAGE WISCONSIN SYSTEM INC.,))
Defendants.)))

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Verso Paper Corp. ("Verso") for its Complaint against NewPage Corporation and NewPage Wisconsin System Inc. (collectively, "NewPage") alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Verso is incorporated in Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6775 Lenox Center Court, Suite 400, Memphis, Tennessee. Verso manufactures and sells various paper products throughout the United States, including Wisconsin.
- 2. On information and belief Defendant NewPage Corporation is incorporated in Delaware, with its principal place of business at 8540 Gander Creek Drive, Miamisburg, Ohio.
- 3. On information and belief Defendant NewPage Wisconsin System Inc. ("NewPage Wisconsin") is incorporated in Wisconsin with its principal place of business at 8540 Gander Creek Drive, Miamisburg, Ohio. On information and belief, NewPage Wisconsin is a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of NewPage Corporation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for declaratory judgment in a case of actual controversy between Verso and NewPage arising under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et. seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 5. Personal jurisdiction exists over NewPage Corporation because, upon information and belief, among other things, NewPage Corporation has a registered office at 8040 Excelsior Drive, Suite 200, Madison, Wisconsin.
- 6. Personal jurisdiction exists over NewPage Wisconsin because, upon information and belief, among other things, NewPage Wisconsin is incorporated in Wisconsin, has a business office at 231 First Avenue North, Wisconsin Rapids, Wisconsin, a mailing address at 510 High Street, Wisconsin Rapids, Wisconsin, and a registered office at 8040 Excelsior Drive, Suite 200, Madison, Wisconsin.
 - 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391.

FACTS

- 8. On information and belief, NewPage Wisconsin is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,254,725, entitled High Bulk Paper ("the '725 patent"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 9. In a letter to Verso dated July 16, 2008, NewPage Corporation asserted infringement of the '725 patent, stating, *inter alia*:

It has come to our attention that Verso has manufactured, used, offered for sale, sold, exported and/or imported, is presently manufacturing, using, offering for sale, selling, exporting and/or importing, high bulk coated paper that is made in accordance with, and embodies the teachings of, U.S. Patent No. 6,254,725. As such, this high bulk coated paper meets the requirements of one or more claims of

Patent No. 6,254,725 and infringes said patent. This infringement is damaging to NewPage and will continue to damage NewPage unless discontinued.

NewPage demands that Verso immediately cease and desist the manufacture, sale, offer for sale and/or distribution of Verso paper grade names Advocate Offset Gloss, Advocate Offset Enhanced Hi-Bulk Gloss, Advocate Roto Gloss and Advocate Roto Hi-Bulk Gloss and any and all other coated high bulk papers that infringe the '725 patent.

(July 16, 2008 Letter from NewPage Corporation to Verso, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B)

- 10. Verso is not infringing the claims of the '725 patent by its manufacture, use, importation, exportation, offer for sale, or sale of its Advocate Offset Gloss, Advocate Offset Enhanced Hi-Bulk Gloss, Advocate Roto Gloss and Advocate Roto Hi-Bulk Gloss products (the "Advocate Products"), and/or the claims of the '725 patent are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.
- 11. An actual controversy exists between Verso and NewPage regarding whether Verso's manufacture, use, importation, exportation offer for sale, or sale of its Advocate Products infringe any valid claim of the '725 patent.
- 12. To avoid legal uncertainty and to protect its substantial investment and anticipated future investment in its Advocate Products, Verso has instituted this declaratory judgment action against NewPage.

COUNT I(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement)

- 13. Verso incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-12 as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. An actual controversy exists between Verso and NewPage in that NewPage contends that the '725 patent is infringed by Verso, and Verso in turn contends that it does not infringe any valid claim of the '725 patent.

15. As a result, Verso is entitled to judgment from this Court that the '725 patent is not infringed by Verso or any of its products.

Count II: (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity)

- 16. Verso incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-15 as if fully set forth herein.
- 17. An actual controversy exists between Verso and NewPage in that NewPage contends that the '725 patent is infringed by Verso, and Verso in turn contends that the '725 patent is invalid.
- 18. As a result, Verso is entitled to judgment from this Court that the '725 Patent is invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Verso seeks judgment as follows:

- A. That U.S. Patent No. 6,254,725 is not infringed and/or is invalid;
- B. That Verso be awarded reasonable costs and its attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this action; and
- C. That Verso be granted such other and additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted:

Liebmann, Conway, Olejniczak & Jerry, S.C. *Attorneys for Plaintiff Verso Paper Corp.*

By:

Gregory B. Conway State Bar No. 1012636

Patrick M. Blaney State Bar No. 1057233

Liebmann, Conway, Olejniczak & Jerry, S.C. P.O. Box 23200 Green Bay, WI 54305 (920) 437-0476 – Telephone (920) 437-2868 – Facsimile

Of Counsel:

James V. Kearney LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000 New York, NY 10022-4834 (212) 906-1200

Date: August 6, 2008



US006254725B1

(12) United States Patent Lau et al.

(10) Patent No.:

US 6,254,725 B1

(45) Date of Patent:

*Jul. 3, 2001

(54)	HIGH BULK PAPER				
(75)	Inventors:	Ralph L. Lau, Port Edwards; Bernard J. Berger; Martin E. Munce, both of Wisconsin Rapids, all of WI (US)			
(73)	Assignee:	Consolidated Papers, Inc., Wisconsin Rapids, WI (US)			
(*)	Notice:	This patent issued on a continued prosecution application filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d), and is subject to the twenty year patent term provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(a)(2).			
		Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.			
(21)	Appl. No.:	08/880,045			
(22)	Filed:	Iun 20 1997			

(ZI)	Appl. No.: 08/880,045
(22)	Filed: Jun. 20, 1997
(51)	Int. Cl. ⁷ D21F 11/00
(52)	U.S. Cl. 162/135 ; 162/136; 162/164.1; 162/203
(58)	Field of Search 162/203, 135,

162/136, 164.1, 181.1

(56) References Cited

	U	S. PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS	
3,124,480		3/1964	Mahoney et al	
3,124,504		3/1964	Mahoney et al	
3,230,867		1/1966	Nelson .	
3,873,345		3/1975	Vreeland .	
4,012,543		3/1977	Ranger et al	
4,112,192		9/1978	Vreeland .	
4,277,524		7/1981	Nakajima et al	
4,606,264		8/1986	Agronin et al.	
4,670,102		6/1987	Maurer et al	
4,861,427	*	8/1989	Johnson et al	162/135
5,030,325	*	7/1991	Saji et al	162/135
5,156,086		10/1992	Kiema et al	
5,163,365		11/1992	Taylor .	
5,203,967	*	4/1993	Bando et al	162/301

5,283,129 5,360,657 5,447,977	*	11/1994	Renk et al Kano et al Hansen et al	428/207
5,524,532 5,614,570 5,753,077		6/1996 3/1997	Koivukunnas et al Hansen et al Horand et al	162/135
5,853,870 5,952,082		12/1998	Uchimura et al Normington et al	102/133
	_			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

0 288 291 B1 5/1992 (EP).

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Calkin "Modern Pulp and Paper Making", Reinhold Pub. Corp, pp. 188–189, 1957.*

Another first from Austria, Paper Apr. 23, 1991.

PPI Pulp & Paper, May 1994.

ppi pulp & paper international, PPI May 1990.


Dialog Data on Japanese Appln. No. 05–274408.

Primary Examiner—Stanley S. Silverman Assistant Examiner—Mark Halpern (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Pyle & Piontek

(57) ABSTRACT


A method and apparatus are disclosed for making a high bulk, coated paper of a unique structure with the coating forming a lower portion of the total caliper and the paper base forming a higher portion of the total caliper than conventionally made coated paper of the same weight. The process includes the step of using furnish with a high percentage of mechanical pulp, applying that furnish to papermachine wires, preferably with a gap former, coating the paper with a coating containing a plastic pigment, preferably of 4 or more parts per 100 parts of coating pigment, and calendering the coated paper at a nip loading less than conventional supercalendering nip loading. Preferably, the finished coated paper will have a 75° TAPPI gloss of 40 or above. The method and apparatus can be used to make lightweight or other weights of coated paper.


73 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



^{*} cited by examiner











Fig.3






Fig. 5A







Fig. 5B









Prior Art

Prior Art





Prior Art

1

HIGH BULK PAPER

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Heretofore, it has been known to make lightweight, high bulk paper. For example, see U.S. Pat. No. 5,283,129, the teachings of which are incorporated herein by reference. However, that patent achieves high bulk by using coating that tends to be bulkier than conventional coating.

Other prior art attempts have been used to make high bulk coated paper by utilizing lower than conventional supercalendering nip pressures. However, these papers generally had low gloss, usually well below a 75° TAPPI gloss of 40, namely about 35. Consolidated Papers, Inc., the assignee of the present invention, had commercially sold similarly made lower gloss, high bulk papers as early as 1993. Such lower gloss paper is not acceptable for many publication purposes, such as magazines where lightweight, high bulk paper, with high gloss and good print gloss has been desired and sought for years due to ever increasing mailing rates.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method and apparatus is disclosed for making a high bulk, coated paper of a unique structure with the coating forming a lower portion of the total caliper and the paper 25 base forming a higher portion of the total caliper than conventionally made coated paper of the same weight. This approach provides a bulkier, coated paper. The process includes the steps of using furnish with a high percentage, say over 50%, and preferably in a range of 55 to 75%, with 30 a target of 60 to 65%, of mechanical pulp, or other similar pulps described herein, applying that furnish to two or twin wire papermachines, preferably with a gap former, coating the paper with a coating containing a plastic pigment in a concentration of 4 or more parts, and preferably 7 or more 35 parts per 100 parts of coating pigment, and calendering the coated paper at a loading less than conventional supercalender loading. The use of mechanical pulp in the base sheet and a high content of plastic pigment in the coating gives a good surface finish that is easily calendered, either by lower pounds per linear inch supercalendering or hot-soft calendering to a high gloss acceptable to most publishers interested in lightweight coated paper for magazines or other similar uses. Preferably, the finished coated paper will have a 75° TAPPI gloss of 40 or above. The method or process 45 and apparatus of the present invention can also be used to make other than lightweight grades of coated paper such as ultra lightweight coated paper. While the invention is directed toward coated paper for offset printing, it can be utilized for other coated papers for gravure, flexographic or 50 letterpress printing.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention method, apparatus and paper itself is described in conjunction with the following drawings 55 wherein:

FIG. 1 shows a gap former section for use with the present invention;

FIG. 2A shows a conventional press section;

FIG. 2B shows an extended nip press section for use with the present invention;

FIG. 2C shows another version of an extended nip press for use with the present invention;

FIG. 3 shows a main dryer section for use with the present 6 invention, which connects to FIG. 4 as shown by the heavy arrows:

2

FIG. 4 shows two online coaters and accompanying coater dryers for use with the present invention;

FIG. 5A shows tandem hot-soft calenders for use with the present invention;

FIG. 5B shows a supercalender for use with the present invention:

FIG. 6A shows conventional prior art lightweight coated paper of the same weight as in FIGS. 6B to 6D;

FIG. 6B shows prior art Consolidated Papers, Inc. made coated paper;

FIG. 6C shows other prior art coated paper; and

FIG. 6D shows coated paper of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As briefly discussed above, publishers and printers generally desire paper with gloss in unprinted portions and high print gloss with good ink/color properties in printed portions. Attempts have been ongoing to develop high bulk, lightweight coated papers with high print gloss.

In the present invention, a high bulk coated paper is developed which proportionally uses more base stock and less coat weight than similar grades of conventional paper of the same total weight. For example, while a conventional 30 pounds per ream paper, where herein a ream is 3300 square feet of paper, may have the following properties:

Base Weight	Coating Weight
 22 lbs./rm	8 lbs./rm

paper of the present invention has:

40	Base Weight	Coating Weight
	25 lbs./rm	5 lbs./rm

For a heavier paper of 32 lbs. per ream the data is:

	Conventional Base Weight	Conventional Coating Weight		
o _	24 lbs./rm	8 lbs./rm		
	Present Invention Base Weight	Present Invention Coating Weight		

For 38 pound paper:

Conventional Base Weight	Conventional Coating Weight
27 lbs./rm	11 lbs./rm
Present Invention Base Weight	Present Invention Coating Weight
31.5 lbs./rm	6.5 lbs./rm

The caliper of the papers above are as follows:

Pound	Caliper Conventional	Caliper Present Invention	
30	1.5 to 1.65 mils	1.75 to 1.9 mils	
32	1.6 to 1.75 mils	1.85 to 2.0 mils	
38	1.8 to 2.0 mils	2.0 to 2.2 mils	

The paper of the present invention, made using the method and apparatus of the present invention, would start with a waterborne furnish having a high percentage of mechanical pulp, generally in excess of 50%, usually in the 55 to 75% range, and preferably about 65%. Mechanical 15 pulp gives a well formed base. As used herein, the term mechanical pulp may include stone ground wood (SGW), pressurized ground wood (PGW) and chemi ground wood (CGW), refiner mechanical pulp (RMP), thermal mechanical pulp (TMP), and chemi thermal mechanical pulp (CTMP). Sample furnish formulations are: (1) 45% TMP/25% SGW/35% softwood Kraft (SWK) and (2) 50% TMP/25% PGW/25% SWK. SWK is not considered a mechanical pulp. Another example might be 70–85% CTMP/30–15% SWK.

The furnish preferably is utilized in a papermaking apparatus or machine having a gap former (FIG. 1) instead of a conventional fourdinier. A typical gap former for use with the present invention is a Voith-Sulzer GmbH Duo Former CFD. The gap former provides somewhat denser web base surfaces so that an AVS formation index of 75% or greater with a floc index of 15 or less and a void index of 15 or less are achieved, providing a smooth, more uniform base paper which is more easily covered with less coat weight as discussed below. The less porous paper surface reduces or 35 minimizes coating penetration. The inherent ability of former gap to reduce two sidedness of the paper base permits achieving minimum coating application with good gloss.

The above made paper then moves into the press section wherein it can be conventionally pressed. Preferably, the 40 press section may include a wide shoe or extended nip press (FIG. 2B or 2C) which is believed will compress the web less than is conventional, resulting in the paper web retaining more bulk and/or caliper. The extended nip press preserves bulk, yet permits water removal from the web due to 45 the extended time the web is in the nip, which permits use of a nip pressure that is lower than conventional. Such an extended nip press is made by Beloit Corporation as model

The web is then sent through the dryer section (FIG. 3) 50 and dried to a moisture content of below 10%, and preferably to 5% or less. The paper may then be coated on or off the papermaking machine.

As noted above, the coating weight applied to the web is less than conventional, but because of the smoothness and 55 uniformity of the gap formed paper base, the paper base can be acceptably coated with a lesser amount of coating, thus yielding high bulk and allowing a given weight paper to proportionally comprise a greater percentage of paper base and a lesser percentage of coating than is conventional. Additionally, to provide increased stiffness and good gloss, the coating contains a small percentage of plastic pigment, advantageously at least 4% and preferably over 7%, and up to 20%. The amount of plastic pigment used can be increased to compensate for the use of lower amounts of 5 mechanical pulp. Plastic pigment is relatively expensive, presently over one dollar a pound. The pigments used may

4

be, for example, #2 clay, delaminated clay, calcined clay, TiO_2 and plastic pigment. The coating is generally, but need not be, applied to both sides of the paper web. A sample pigment formulation is 89% delaminated clay, 4% TiO_2 , and 7% HSP 1055 plastic pigment made by Rohm Haas. This pigment can be made up into a typical coating with the addition of other materials as may include starch, polymeric latex binders and additives as shown below:

_			
		Material	Parts by Weight B.D.
_	Pigments:	Delaminated Clay	88
5	ŭ	TiO ₂	5
		HSP 1055 (Plastic Pigment)	7
	Dispersant:	Sodium Polyacrylate	0.1
	Binders:	Starch	5
		SBR Latex	11
		Diglyceride	0.9
	Lubricant:	Water	_
.0		% Solids	53%

Generally, the coating can be applied with any conventional type blade coater and preferably with a short dwell time applicator as shown in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,250,211 and 4,512,279 (FIG. 4), and/or a fountain type coater shown in U.S. Pat. No. 5,436,030 and/or a double bladed coater as shown in U.S. Pat. No. 5.112,653, the teachings of which patents are incorporated herein by reference. In addition, the coating can be applied by a film coater or Speedcoater applicator made by Voith Sulzer GmbH, such as that shown in U.S. Pat. No. 4,848,268. While blade doctoring is preferred, other suitable types of metering, such as with a doctor rod, grooved or smooth, could be used. The term blade or blade coater as used herein, unless specifically stated, is understood to include such other equivalent metering techniques. The doctor blade shown in U.S. Pat. No. 4,780,336 has been advantageously used to provide low coat weight.

The coated paper can then be calendered, preferably hot-soft calendered (FIG. 5A) and/or supercalendered (FIG. 5B), but for supercalendering the nip pressure is less than normal. The hot-soft calendering provide some improvement in bulk over supercalendering at a lower nip pressure. This improvement could be about 5 to 7% greater bulk. For example, a reduced supercalendering nip load gives a bulk factor of 58, while hot-soft calendering on the same coater paper stock at equal gloss (42) gives a bulk factor of 61. Supercalendering equipment useful in the present invention is a 10 nip supercalender made by Voith Sulzer GmbH. When used to supercalender the coated paper of the invention, the nips of the supercalender would be at a loading of only about two-thirds (2/3) of what is conventionally used. For example, supercalender loading may be 800 to 1200 pounds (per lineal inch) with 1100 to 1200 pounds being preferred and about 1150 pounds being the target, instead of the usual 1500 to 2200 pounds. Hot-soft calendering can be carried out under conventional conditions and may also take place on or off the machine. Hot-soft calendering equipment such as shown in U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,124, 480, 3,124,504, 3,230,867 or 4,277,524 and/or currently made and offered by Voith Sulzer GmbH as Model No. G30 2/0 may be used. Generally, but not necessarily, supercalendering will take place off machine. However, if apparatus similar to the Janus calender made by Voith-Sulzer GmbH is used, such low nip load calendering could be performed on-line.

The resultant coated paper will generally have a bulk factor of 57-62% which can be expressed as a function of its total weight as follows:

Caliper in mils×1000 Basis weight per ream

The resultant coated paper will generally have the following characteristics as compared to conventional coated paper of the same weight:

- (1) 10-20% less lineal feet/roll of paper for the same roll diameter, evidencing the higher bulk of the paper;
 - (2) lesser weight per roll for the same roll diameter;
 - (3) about 10-20% higher caliper;
 - (4) about 10-15% higher stiffness;
- (5) about 0.5-1.0 pt. or more gain in opacity and brightness; and
- (6) print smoothness and gloss equivalent to conventional paper.

Some of the parameters contemplated for, and for the making of, the high bulk lightweight coated paper of the invention are:

Factors effecting high bulk are listed as follows in decreasing order of effectiveness:

- 1. Low supercalender nip load or hot-soft calender;
- 2. Gap former,
- 3. Low coat weight/high substrate weight;
- 4. Plastic pigment in coating;
- 5. High % mechanical pulp;
- 6. High latex/starch ratio binder; and
- 7. Extended nip press (uncertain of the effect at the time of filing).

Combinations of factors affecting the production of high bulk paper as contemplated by the invention include (1) mechanical pulp, two wire forming, decreased base weight, increased coat weight, plastic pigment, and hot-soft calendering; (2) mechanical pulp, gap forming, increased base weight, decreased coat weight, plastic pigment coating, and supercalendering to a lower loading; (3) mechanical pulp, decreased base weight, two wire forming, extended nip or extended shoe pressing, uncured coat weight, plastic pigment coating, and hot-soft calendering or supercalendering to a lower load.

For example, the combination of:

1. increasing base substrate weight from 24#/3300 ft² to 26#/3300 ft²:

Basis Weight	32	30	28	26	24
Base Paper Weight Coating Weight Furnish Composition	26–28 4–6	24–26 4–6	22-24 4-6	20-22 4-6	18–20 4–6
% MP % SW Kraft % Ash Coating Formula	55–75 25–45 4–8	55-75 25-45 4-8	5575 2545 48	55–75 25–45 4–8	55–75 25–45 4–8
Part DL Clay Part TiO ₂ Part Plastic Pigment Part Starch Part Latex Base Paper	85 ± 7 8 ± 4 7 ± 3 3 ± 3 12 ± 3	85 ± 7 8 ± 4 7 ± 3 3 ± 3 12 ± 3	85 ± 7 8 ± 4 7 ± 3 3 ± 3 12 ± 3	85 ± 7 8 ± 4 7 ± 3 3 ± 3 12 ± 3	85 ± 7 8 ± 4 7 ± 3 3 ± 3 12 ± 3
Kajaani Formation Supercalender	65–100	65–100	65–100	65–100	65–100
# Nips/side Nip load - pli Roll Temperature ° F.	1–5 1000–1400 16–225	1-5 1000-1400 160-225	1–5 1000–1400 160–225	1-5 1000-1400 160-225	1–5 1000–1400 160–225

While mechanical pulp is preferable, it is contemplated that other pulps could be included, such that a lower percentage of mechanical pulp would be required. Other pulps and proportions thereof contemplated for use are as follows:

Percentage of Mechanical Pulp	Type of Other Pulp	Percentage of Other Pulp
A. 65%	SWK	35%
B. 60%	SWK/HWK	30%/10%
C. 60%	SWK/recycled	30%/10%

While it is preferable to use a gap former, other types of formers, such as twin wire or top wire formers, could instead be used with some small sacrifice in bulk.

For example, several or many of the above discussed 65 factors could be used in combination to produce high bulk paper.

- 2. increasing mechanical pulp in the base subtract from 52% to 65%;
- 3. decreasing coat weight from 8#/3300 ft² to 6#/3300 ft²;
- 4. decreasing supercalendering intensity from 1500 pli to 1150 pli; and
- 5. increasing plastic pigment in the coating from 0 parts to 7 parts would increase bulk from 51 ± 1 to 58 ± 1 and increase L&W stiffness from 42 ± 5 to 50 ± 5 .

Conventional 32#, Conventional 34# and High Bulk 32# are compared as follows:

		Conventional	Conventional	High Bulk
	Basis Weight #/3,300 ft ²	32	34	32
	Base Substrate Weight #/3,300 ft ²	24	25 1/2	26
5	Coat Weight #/3,300 ft ²	8	8 1/2	6
	Caliper	$1.62 \pm .04$	$1.80 \pm .04$	1.85 ± .04

-continued

	Conventional	Conventional	High Bulk
Bulk Factor	51 ± 1	52 ± 1	58 ± 1
L&W Stiffness	42 ± 5	55 ± 5	60 ± 5

The invention provides a high bulk lightweight coated paper that is desirable for use in magazines which require very low basis weight to reduce paper costs by increasing printing area per ton of paper and by reducing mailing cost per magazine. High bulk paper will improve the economics of publishing magazines by allowing a lower basis weight to be substituted for a higher basis weight conventional grade.

In addition, the increased stiffness of the paper of the invention improves paper web rigidity for low basis weight paper which results in better runnability on high speed printing presses and folders used to produce magazines. Further, the thicker paper produces a bulkier magazine which is less flimsy when handled. A bulkier magazine "feels more substantial" i.e., it will not droop or feel limp. Individual pages will separate easier and turn without sticking together.

FIGS. 6A-6D schematically illustrate these different lightweight coated papers of the prior art, and a lightweight coated paper embodying the present invention, each of which has the same total weight of 30 pounds/rm. The paper of FIG. 6A is conventional lightweight coated paper and has 3 a caliper of 1.6 mils., a base weight of 22 pounds and a coat weight of 4 pounds on each side of the base. The paper of FIG. 6B is also a conventional lightweight coated paper that was earlier made and sold by Consolidated Papers, Inc. and that has a caliper of 1.8 mils, a base weight of 23 pounds and a coat weight of 3.5 pounds on each side of the base. The paper of FIG. 6C is likewise conventional lightweight coated paper and has a caliper of 1.9 mils, a base weight of 24 pounds and a coat weight of 3 pounds on each side of the 40 base. FIG. 6D shows a high bulk lightweight coated paper according to the teachings of the invention, which has a caliper of 2.0 mils, a base weight of 25 pounds and a coat weight of 2.5 pounds on opposite sides of the base.

It should be understood that the coating can be applied by 45 any of the coaters discussed herein including a film coater or

speed coater. As used herein, the term "blade coater" includes such film and/or speed coater.

The following table compares the effects of hot-soft calendering versus supercalendering of high bulk coated paper of 32 pound weight, where FPM means feet per minute, PLI means pounds per lineal inch, RH means relative humidity, MD means machine direction, W means web side and F means felt side:

Effect of Hot/Soft Calender vs. Super

	Sample	Supercalender	Hot/Soft Calender
20	Identification #	B641122	B641109
20	Web Speed-FPM	2000	2500
	Nip Load-PLI	1150	1500
	Temperature-° F.	200°	275°
	Number of Nips/Side	4	2
	Basis Wt. (73°/50% RH)	32.7	32.9
	Coat Wt.W/F	3.1/3.2	3.1/3.2
25	Caliper-mils	1.89	2.00
	Bulk Factor	57.7	60.7
	Gloss	42/40	38/40
	Opacity	89.3	90.1
	L&W Stiffness-MD	59	69
	Parker Printsurf W	1.92	2.23
30	F	2.34	2.30
	Heidelberg Print		
	Print Gloss W	54	49
	F	46	52
	Print Density W	1.36	1.30
	F	1.31	1.31
35	Print solid W	4	5
	F	4	5
	50% Halftone W	7.7	7.1
	F	6.0	7.2
	Pickouts W	54	53
	F	52	54

The following table shows parameters involved in the production of 32 pound lightweight coated having a 75° TAPPI gloss of 45:

		F	lee	s	Control	Trial		95% Conf.
SUPERED TESTS	Significance	Std	1	Tri	Average	Average	Difference	interval
Basis wt.	×±	8	1	4	32.0	31.8	-2.0	±0.2
Caliper	***	8	/	4	18.3	18.2	1.9	±0.5
Bulk	***	8	7	4	50.8	57.2	6.4	±1.6
Opacity		8	1	4	88.1	88.0	-0.1	±0.3
Gloss Wire	*	8	1	4	45	43	-2	±2.0
Gloss Felt	*	8	/	4	45	44	-1	±0.9
Print Surf Wire	***	8	7	4	1.5	1.7	0.2	±0.1
Print Surf Felt	***	8	1	4	1.6	1.8	0.2	±0.1
L&W	***	8	/	4	42	47	5	±2.2
HEIDELBERG								
Ink Density Wire	××	2	7	4	1.44	1.36	-0.08	±0.0
Ink Density Felt	H#	2	1	4	1.40	1.36	-0.04	±0.0
Ink Gloss Wire	***	2	1	4	62	54	-8	±2.7
Ink Gloss Felt	***	2	1	4	58	54	-4	±1.8
I.A. 50% Halftone W	*	2	1	4	6	7.8	1.8	±1.6
I.A. 50% Halftone F		2	1	4	6.2	7.3	1.1	±2.4

-continued

		F	\ee	ls	Control	Trial		95% Conf.
SUPERED TESTS	Significance	Std	1	Tri	Average	Average	Difference	interval
I.A. 75% Halftone W I.A. 75% Halftone F				4	5.4 5.5	5.8 6.4	0.4 0.9	±1.6 ±3.4

^{***}Significantly different @ 99% confidence level.
**Significantly different @ 95% confidence level.
*Significantly different @ 90% confidence level.

The following table shows various average results obtained with 32 pound lightweight coated paper of and made according to the present invention:

AVERAGE TEST RESULTS									
Samples Identification Reels Fastening Web Speed Nip Load PLI Temperature ° F.		970097 Control BS41122 H/S @ Wch. 2000 1150 200	970098 Trial 1 BS411095 H/S @ B.P. 2500 1500 275	970102 Trial 2 BS411055 H/S @ S.P. 2500t 1500 325	970093 Trial 3 BS41109 H/S @ Beloit 4000 1600 250	POOLED STD. DEV.			
Basis Weight (Cond.)	1	32.7	32.9	33.0	33.1				
Cost Weight - W	1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1				
Cost Weight - F	1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2				
Ash	1	18.0	17.5	17.5	17.4	0.00			
Caliper Bulk	8	1.89 57.7	2.00 60.7	2.02 61.2	2.02 61.0	0.02 0.6			
75° Paper Gloss - W	8	42	38	38	38	1			
75° Paper Gloss - F	8	40	40	38	36	1			
Brightness - W	2	70.1	88.4	86.8	67.9	0.2			
Brightness - F	2	71.5	68.4	67.6	68.1	0.6			
Color 'a' - W	2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0			
Color 'a' - F	2	0.2	0.1	0.3	-0.1	0.1			
Color 'b' - W	2	3.2	4.1	4.8	3.9	0.2			
Color 'b' - F	2	2.5	3.7	4.3	4.1	0.4			
Opacity	8	89.3	90.1	90.4	90.0	0.4			
Undertone - W	2	4	4	4	5	0			
Undertone - F	2	4	4	4	5	0			
Micro. Galv W	2	4 4	4 4	4	3	0			
Micro. Galv F Tensile	2 8	11.1	10.5	4	3 10.6	0			
Tear C.D.	4	25.3	25.4	10.8 24.6	26.6	0.7 0.5			
L&W Stiffness	8	23.3 59	69	68	20.0 87	2			
Scott Bond	5	176	177	179	185	8			
H.P.D.	8	127	117	101	110	10			
Print Surf - W	8	1.92	2.23	2.33	2.72	0.10			
Print Surf - F	8	2.34	2.30	2.45	2.48	0.08			
Croda Holdout - W	2	60.0	59.5	60.0	59.0	1.1			
Croda Holdout - F	2	51.5	60.0	62.0	61.0	1.1			
Water Drope - W	2	10	12	12	10	1			
Water Drop - F	2	10	11	12	9	1			
IGT, #3 @ 3.5 m/s - W	4	88	111	75	115	11			
IGT, #3 @ 3.5 m/s - F	4	70	98	102	108	11			
Prufbau Dry Pick - W	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0			
Prufbau Dry Pick - F Prufbau Wet Pick - W	2	4.0 5.0	4.0 5.0	3.0 5.0	4.0 4.0	0.0			
Prufbau Wet Pick - F	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0				
Adam's Wet Rub - W	2	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.2			
Adam's Wet Rub - F	2	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.1			
Sutherland Rub - W	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0			
Sutherland Rub - F	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0			
VC Absorbency - W	4	89	91	98	90	4			
VC Absorbency - F	4	91	94	91	91	2			
Heidelberg Halftone - W	1	6	5	6	4				
Heidelberg Halftone - F	1	4	5	5	4				
Heidelberg Solid - W	1	4	5	6	4				
Heidelberg Solid - F	1	4	5	5	7				
Heidelberg 50% Halftone - W	3	7.7	7.1	8.7	8.1	0.3			
Heidelberg 50% Halftone - F	3	6.0	7.2	8.2	8.9	0.5			
Heidelberg 75% Halftone - W	3	2.7	4.3	4.8	1.8	1.5			

-continued

AVERAGE TEST RESULTS								
Samples Identification		970097 Control	970098 Trial 1	970102 Trial 2	970093 Trial 3			
Reels Fastening		BS41122 H/S @ Wch.	BS411095 H/S @ B.P.	BS411055 H/S @ S.P.	BS41109 H/S @ Bcloit			
Web Speed Nip Load PLI Temperature ° F.		2000 1150 200	2500 1500 275	2500t 1500 325	4000 1600 250	POOLED STD. DEV.		
Heidelberg 75% Halftone - F	3	2.9	5.9	5.4	2.7	1.5		
Heidelberg Ink Gloss - W	1	54	49	48	46			
Heidelberg Ink Gloss - F	1	48	52	50	44			
Heidelberg Ink Density - W	1	1.38	1.30	1.33	1.33			
Heidelberg Ink Density - F	1	1.31	1.31	1.32	1.30			
Heidelberg Coating Pick - W	1	25	33	38	34			
Heidelberg Coating Pick - F	1	28	38	42	35			
Heidelberg Total Picks - W	1	54	53	54	53			
Heidelberg Total Picks - F	1	52	54	51	50			

The present invention is usable in conjunction with papers for web offset, rotogravure, flexographic, letterpress and others.

As used herein the term lightcoat weight refers to papers of 30–40 pounds per ream and ultra light coat weight refers to papers of 24–28 pounds per ream. The present invention contemplates producing lightweight coated paper of high bulk with a gloss of 40 or above, and in fact Consolidated Papers, Inc. has achieved a gloss of 45. For ultra lightweight coated papers of high bulk, the present invention contemplates a gloss of 35.

While embodiments of the invention have been described in detail, various modifications and other embodiments thereof may be devised by one skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, as defined in the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A process for making lightweight high bulk coated paper comprising the steps of:

providing a waterborne furnish comprising at least 50% mechanical pulp;

forming a paper web by extruding the furnish onto wire sides of a papermaking apparatus with a gap former; pressing excess water from the paper web;

drying the paper web to a moisture content of less than 10%;

coating the paper web with a coating weight;

calendering the coated paper web to a 75 $^{\circ}$ TAPPI gloss of $_{50}$ 40 or greater; and

controlling at least one of said aforerecited steps so that the paper web has a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of the coated paper and the coating provides substantially the remainder of the caliper of the 55 coated paper and so that the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55.

2. A lightweight high bulk coated paper with a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40, comprising a paper base of a weight of 24 to 34 pounds per ream, a coating containing a plastic 60 pigment on each side of said base, said coating being of a weight of no more than 3 pounds per ream per side, said base having a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of said coated paper and said coating providing substantially the remainder of said caliper of said coated paper, said 65 coated paper having a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40 and a bulk factor of at least 55.

3. An ultralightweight high bulk coated paper with a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 35, comprising a paper base of a weight of 18 to less than 24 pounds per ream, a coating containing a plastic pigment on each side of said base, said coating being of a weight of no more than 2 pounds per ream per side, said base having a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of said coated paper and said coating providing substantially the remainder of said caliper of said coated paper, said coated paper having a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 35 and a bulk factor of no less than 55.

4. A process for making high bulk coated paper comprising the steps of:

providing a waterborne furnish comprising at least 50% mechanical pulp;

forming a paper web by extruding the furnish onto wire sides of a papermaking apparatus with a double wire former:

pressing excess water from the paper web with an extended nip press;

drying the paper web to a moisture content of less than 10%:

coating the paper web with a coating weight;

hot-soft calendering the coated paper web to a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40; and

controlling at least one of said aforerecited steps so that the paper web has a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of the coated paper and the coating provides substantially the remainder of the caliper of the coated paper and so that the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55.

- 5. A high bulk coated paper with a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40, comprising a paper base of a weight of 18 to 34 pounds per ream, a coating containing a plastic pigment on each side of said base, said coating being of a weight of no more than 3 pounds per ream per side, said base having a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of said coated paper and said coating providing substantially the remainder of said caliper of said coated paper, said coated paper having a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40 and a bulk factor of at least 55.
- 6. A process for making lightweight high bulk coated paper, comprising the steps of:

providing a waterborne furnish comprising at least 50% mechanical pulp:

forming a paper web by extruding the furnish onto wire sides of a papermaking apparatus with a gap former;

pressing excess water from the paper web to provide a paper web having a base weight on the order of about 26 to 36 pounds per ream and a caliper on the order of 1.5 to 2.0 mils:

drying the paper web to a moisture content of less than 5 10%:

coating the paper web with a coating having a total weight on the order of 4 to 6.5 pounds/ream; and

calendering the coated paper web to a caliper on the order of about 1.7 to 2.2 mils, to a 75° TAPPI gloss of 35 or 10

wherein the base weight and the coating weight are selected so that the paper web has a caliper of at least about 75% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper and the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of 15 said coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55 at least 55.

7. A process as in claim 6, wherein said pressing step includes the step of densifying the paper web with an extended nip press to form a paper web having a floc index of from about 0 to 15, a void index of from about 0 to 15 and 20 a Kajaani formation factor of from about 65 to 100.

8. A process as in claim 6, wherein said calendering step includes the step of hot-soft calendering the coated paper web and wherein the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 58.

9. A process as in claim 6, wherein said calendering step includes the step of supercalendering the coated paper web and wherein the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at

includes the step of supercalendering the paper web at a supercalender nip loading pressure on the order of about 800

11. A process as in claim 6; wherein said calendering step includes the step of hot-nip calendering the paper web at a 35 nip pressure no greater than about 1500 pli.

12. A process as in claim 6; wherein said forming step includes forming a paper web having a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper.

13. A process as in claim 12, wherein said coating weight 40 coated paper. is selected so that coating has the remaining caliper of the finished coated paper.

14. A process as in claim 6, wherein said coating step includes coating the paper web with a coating having on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on 45 the order of about 0 to 6 parts per 100 parts starch and on the order of about 9 to 15 parts per 100 parts latex, and applying about one-half of the coating to each side of the paper web.

15. A process as in claim 6, wherein said coating step the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on the order of about 4 to 12 parts per 100 parts TiO2, and on the order of about 78% to 92% delaminated clay.

16. A process as in claim 6, wherein said coating step includes coating the paper web with a coating containing on 55 the order of about 4 parts to 20 parts plastic pigment per 100 parts pigment of said coating.

17. A process as in claim 6, wherein said steps of forming and coating include forming a paper web with a twin wire former and that comprises on the order of about 25% to 45% softwood Kraft and on the order of about 75% to 85% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper and applying a coating to the paper web that comprises on the order of about 25% to 15% by weight of the total weight of the coated

18. A process as in claim 6, wherein said forming and coating steps include forming a paper web that comprises on 14

the order of about 80% to 85% by weight, and applying a coating to the paper web that comprises on the order of about 20% to 15% by weight, of the total weight of the coated

19. A lightweight high bulk coated paper with a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 35, comprising a paper base of a weight on the order of about 26 to 36 pounds per ream, a coating containing a plastic pigment on each side of said base, said coating being of a weight on the order of about 1.5 to 3.5 pounds per ream per side, and said base weight and said coating weight being selected so that said base has a caliper of at least about 75% of the total caliper of said coated paper and said coating provides substantially the remainder of said caliper of said coated paper, and so that

20. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said paper base has a floc index of from about 0 to 15, a void index of from about 0 to 15 and a Kajaani formation factor of from about 65 to 100, and said base weight and said coating weight are selected so that said base has a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of said coated paper and said coating provides substantially the remainder of said caliper of said coated paper.

21. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said plastic pigment 25 of said coating is at least 4 parts per 100 parts pigment of said coating and said coating further includes on the order of about 0 to 6 parts per 100 parts starch and on the order of about 9 to 15 parts per 100 parts latex.

22. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said plastic pigment 10. A process as in claim 6, wherein said calendering step 30 of said coating is on the order of about 4 parts to 20 parts per 100 parts pigment of said coating and said coating further includes on the order of about 4 to 12 parts per 100 parts TiO₂ and on the order of about 78% to 92% delaminated clay.

> 23. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said base is a paper base formed by a twin wire former and comprises on the order of about 25% to 45% softwood Kraft and on the order of about 75% to 85% by weight, and said coating comprises about 25% to 15% by weight, of the total weight of said

> 24. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said coating is applied in substantially equal weights on each side of said base.

> 25. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said base comprises at least 55% mechanical pulp.

26. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said base is a gap formed base.

27. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said base is an extended nip pressed base.

28. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said coated paper is includes coating the paper web with a coating containing on 50 a supercalendered coated paper and said bulk factor is at least 58.

29. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said coated paper is a coated paper that has been supercalendered at a nip pressure on the order of about 800 to 1200 pli.

30. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said coated paper is a hot-soft calendered coated paper and said bulk factor is at least 58.

31. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said coated paper is a coated paper that has been hot-soft calendered at a nip pressure no greater than about 1500 pli.

32. A paper as in claim 19, wherein said coated paper is a coated paper that has been calendered to a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40.

33. A process for making ultralightweight high bulk 65 coated paper comprising the steps of:

providing a waterborne furnish comprising at least 50% mechanical pulp;

forming a paper web by extruding the furnish onto wire sides of a papermaking apparatus with a gap former;

pressing excess water from the paper web to provide a paper web having a base weight on the order of about 18 to 24 pounds per ream and a caliper on the order of about 1.5 to 2.0 mils;

drying the paper web to a moisture content of less than 10%;

coating the paper web with a coating having a total weight on the order of no more than about 4 to 6.5 pounds/ ream; and

calendering the coated paper web to a caliper on the order of about 1.7 to 2.2 mils and to a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 35.

wherein the base weight and the coating weight are selected so that the base has a caliper of at least about 75% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper and so that the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55.

34. A process as in claim 33, wherein said pressing step includes the step of densifying the paper web with an extended nip press to form a paper web having a floc index of from about 0 to 15, a void index of from about 0 to 15 and a Kajaani formation factor of from about 65 to 100.

35. A process as in claim 33, wherein said calendering step includes the step of hot-soft calendering the coated paper web at a nip pressure no greater than about 1500 pli.

36. A process as in claim 33, wherein said calendering step includes the step of supercalendering the paper web at 30 a supercalender nip loading on the order of about 800 to 1200 pli.

37. A process as in claim 33, wherein said step of coating the paper web includes the step of applying a coat weight of 2 or less pounds per ream on a side of the paper web with 35 a coating having on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment on the order of about 0 to 6 parts per 100 parts starch and on the order of about 9 to 15 parts per 100 parts latex, and the coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 58.

38. A process as in claim 33, wherein said forming step includes forming a base with a twin wire former and that comprises on the order of about 25% to 45% softwood Kraft and on the order of about 75% to 85% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper and having a caliper of at least about 88% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper.

39. A process as in claim 33, wherein said coating step includes coating the paper web with a coating containing on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on the order of about 4 to 12 parts per 100 parts TiO₂, and 50 on the order of about 78% to 92% delaminated clay and applying to a side of the web coating that is no more than about 6% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper.

40. A process as in claim 33, wherein said coating step includes applying to each of the two sides of the web coating 55 that in total is no more than about 15% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper.

41. A process as in claim 40, wherein said coating step includes applying about one-half of the coating to each side of the paper web.

42. A process as in claim 33; wherein said coating step includes coating the paper web with a coating containing plastic pigment.

43. A process as in claim 33, wherein said steps of forming and coating include forming a base that comprises 65 on the order of about 75% to 85% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper and applying a coating to the base

that comprises about 25% to 15% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper.

44. A process as in claim 33, wherein said forming and coating steps include forming a base that comprises about 80% to 85% by weight, and applying a coating to the base that comprises about 20% to 15% by weight, of the total weight of the coated paper.

45. An ultralightweight high bulk coated paper with a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 35, comprising a paper base of a weight on the order of about 18 to 24 pounds per ream, a coating containing a plastic pigment on each side of said base, said coating being of a weight of no more than about 2 pounds per ream per side, and said base weight and said coating weight being selected so that said base has a caliper of at least about 75% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper and so that the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55.

46. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said said coating has on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on the order of about 0 to 6 parts per 100 parts starch and on the order of about 9 to 15 parts per 100 parts latex

47. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said base has a floc index of from about 0 to 15, a void index of from about 0 to 15 and a Kajaani formation factor of from about 65 to 100 and comprises about 75% to 85% by weight, and said coating comprises about 25% to 15% by weight, of the total weight of said coated paper.

48. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said base comprises at least 88% of the total caliper of said coated paper.

49. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coating contains on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on the order of about 4 to 12 parts per 100 parts TiO_2 , and on the order of about 78% to 92% delaminated clay and said coating weight on at least one side of said web comprises about 1.0 to 20 pounds per ream and the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 58.

50. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coating is applied in substantially equal weight on each side of said base.

51. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said base is a base formed on a twin wire former and comprises at least 55%40 mechanical pulp.

52. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said base is a gap formed base.

53. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coated paper is a supercalendered coated paper and the bulk factor of the finished coated paper is at least 58.

54. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coated paper is a coated paper that has been supercalendered at a nip loading on the order of about 800 to 1200 pli.

55. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coated paper is a hot-soft calendered coated paper and the bulk factor of the finished coated paper is at least 58.

56. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coated paper is a coated paper that has been hot-soft calendered at a nip loading on the order of no more than about 1500 pli.

57. A paper as in claim 45, wherein said coated paper has a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40.

58. A process for making high bulk coated paper comprising the steps of:

providing a waterborne furnish comprising at least 50% mechanical pulp;

forming a paper web having a weight on the order of about 18 to 34 pounds per ream by extruding the furnish onto wire sides of a papermaking apparatus with a double wire former;

pressing excess water from the base with an extended nip press to provide a paper web of a caliper on the order of about 1.5 to 20 mils;

drying the paper web to a moisture content of less than

coating the paper web with a coating weight on the order of about 4 to 6.5 pounds per ream; and

hot-soft calendering the coated paper web to a caliper on the order of about 1.7 to 2.2 mils and to a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40;

wherein said weights of said paper web and said coating are selected so that said paper web has a caliper of at least about 85% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper and a bulk factor of at least 55.

59. A process as in claim 58, wherein said step of coating the paper web includes coating the paper web with a coating having on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on the order of about 0 to 6 parts per 100 parts starch and on the order of about 9 to 15 parts per 100 parts latex, and applying a coat weight of 3 or less pounds per ream on a side of the paper web.

60. A process as in claim 58, wherein said forming step 20 includes forming a paper web having a caliper no greater than about 88% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper.

61. A process as in claim 58, wherein said coating step includes applying to a side of the web coating containing on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pig on the order of about 4 to 12 parts per 100 parts TiO₂ and on the order of about 78% to 92% delaminated clay, and that is no more than about 6% of the total caliper of the finished coated paper.

62. A process as in claim 58, wherein said coating step includes applying about one-half of the coating to each side of the paper web.

63. A process as in claim 58, wherein said coating step includes coating the paper web with a coating containing 35 coated paper, comprising the steps of: plastic pigment.

64. A process as in claim 58, wherein said step of forming the paper web includes forming a base having a weight of about 18 to 34 pounds per ream.

65. A process as in claim 58, wherein said steps of 40 forming and coating include forming a base with an extended nip press to form a paper web having a floc index of from about 0 to 15 a void index of from about 0 to 15 and a Kajaani formation factor of from about 65 to 100 and that comprises about 75% to 85% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper and applying a coating to the base that comprises about 25% to 15% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper.

66. A process as in claim 58, wherein said steps of forming and coating include forming a base with a twin wire 50 former and that comprises on the order of about 25% to 45% softwood Draft and on the order of about 80% to 85% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper and applying a coating to the base that comprises about 20% to 15% by weight of the total weight of the coated paper.

18

67. A high bulk coated paper with a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 40, comprising a paper base of a weight of 18 to 34 pounds per ream, a coating containing a plastic pigment on each side of said base, said coating being of a weight of no more than 3 pounds per ream per side, said base weight and said coating weight being chosen so that said base has a caliper of at least about 85% of the total caliper of said coated paper and said coating provides substantially the remainder of said caliper of said coated paper and so that the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55.

68. A paper as in claim 67, wherein said coating has on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment on the order of about 0 to 6 parts per 100 parts starch and on the order of about 9 to 15 parts per 100 parts latex.

69. A paper as in claim 67, wherein said base is a base formed with an extended nip press and has a floc index of from about 0 to 15, a void index of from about 0 to 15 and a Kajaani formation factor of from about 65 to 100 and comprises about 75% to 85% by weight, and said coating comprises about 25% to 15% by weight, of the total weight of said finished coated paper.

70. A paper as in claim 67, wherein said contains on the order of about 4 to 20 parts per 100 parts plastic pigment, on the order of about 4 to 12 parts per 100 parts TiO2, and on the order of about 78% to 92% delaminated clay and said coating weight on at least one side of said web comprises about 1.0 to 3.0 pounds per ream.

71. A paper as in claim 67, wherein said coating is applied in substantially equal weights on each side of said base.

72. A paper as in claim 67, wherein said base is a base formed with a twin wire former and comprises at least 55% mechanical pulp.

73. A process for making ultralightweight high bulk

providing a waterborne furnish comprising at least 50% mechanical pulp;

forming a paper web by extruding the furnish onto wire sides of a papermaking apparatus with a gap former; pressing excess water from the paper web;

drying the paper web to a moisture content of less than 10%;

coating the paper web with a coating weight;

calendering the coated paper web to a 75° TAPPI gloss of at least 35; and

controlling at least one of said aforerecited steps so that the paper web has a caliper of at least about 85% of the total caliper of the coated paper and the coating provides substantially the remainder of the caliper of the coated paper and so that the finished coated paper has a bulk factor of at least 55.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE **CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT NO.

: 6,254,725 B1

Page 1 of 1

DATED

: July 3, 2001

INVENTOR(S): Ralph L. Lau, Bernard J. Berger and Martin E. Munce

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 17,

Line 25, delete "pig" and insert -- pigment, --

Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-eighth Day of May, 2002

Attest:

Attesting Officer

JAMES E. ROGAN

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office



Gregory A. Hadlev Assistant General Counsel **NewPage Corporation**

937,242,9569 DERECT 937 242 9324 FAX greg.hadley@newpagecorp.com

July 16, 2008

Verso Paper Corp. 6775 Lenox Center Court Suite 400 Memphis, TN 38115-4431 Attention: Michael Jackson, President

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Dear Mr. Jackson:

NewPage Corporation ("NewPage") brings to your attention the enclosed U.S. Patent No. 6,254,725, entitled "High Bulk Paper."

It has come to our attention that Verso has manufactured, used, offered for sale, sold, exported and/or imported, is presently manufacturing, using, offering for sale, selling, exporting and/or importing, high bulk coated paper that is made in accordance with, and embodies the teachings of, U.S. Patent No. 6,254,725. As such, this high bulk coated paper meets the requirements of one or more claims of Patent No. 6,254,725 and infringes said patent. This infringement is damaging to NewPage and will continue to damage NewPage unless discontinued.

NewPage demands that Verso immediately cease and desist the manufacture, sale, offer for sale and/or distribution of Verso paper grade names Advocate Offset Gloss, Advocate Offset Enhanced Hi-Bulk Gloss, Advocate Roto Gloss and Advocate Roto Hi-Bulk Gloss and any and all other coated high bulk papers that infringe the '725 patent. Furthermore, NewPage demands an accounting of all sales of coated high bulk papers sold during the past six years.

Please send me your prompt written assurance that Verso will comply with these demands on or before September 1, 2008.

Sincerely.

Gregory A. Hadlev

Assistant General Counsel

EXHIBIT