Case 2:10-cv-14924-SEPVILLO VOER STILE File & 12/10/410ch Peage at sof & ATHE

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provide by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Amerigon Inc.		DEFENDANTS W.E.T. Automotive S	ystems Limited	
(E.	of First Listed Plaintiff Wayne XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) , Address, and Telephone Number)	NOTE: IN LANI	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES D CONDEMNATION CASES, USINVOLVED.	,
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✗ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		IF DEF 1	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	 2	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Foreign Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FOREEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 361 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damag Product Liability □ 310 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	- 620 Other Food & Drug ce 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 y 630 Liquor Laws y 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other 1.ABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 1.MMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus -	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
🕱 1 Original 📋 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) moved from		ferred from	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you and 35 U.S.C. § 271 Brief description of cause: Patent Infringement	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$ To be Dete	ermined CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE Victori	A. Roberts	DOCKET NUMBER 2	:10-cv-10527
DATE December (0 2010	SIGNATURE OF A	TIORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Denotas (G. Muehlhauser		35.00
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Case 2:10-cy-14924-SFC-MJH Document 1 Filed 12/10/10 Page 2 of 8 PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11 1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed? If yes, give the following information: Court: Case No.: Judge: 2. Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other Yes court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which No it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) If yes, give the following information: Court: U.S. District Court, Central District of California Case No.: 2:09-cv-08466-RGK-RC Judge: R. Gary Klausner Notes:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

AMERIGON INC.,		Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,		
v.		
W.E.T. AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LIMITED,		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Defendant.	/	

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

1.(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number)

Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP; 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 760-0404; Attorneys: Douglas G. Muehlhauser (CA 179,495)

Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP; 2290 First National Building, Detroit, MI 48226-2506; (313) 465-7608; Attorneys: I.W. Winsten (P30528)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

AMERIGON INC.,	Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,	
v.	
W.E.T. AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LIMITED,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Defendant.	

AMERIGON INC.'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Amerigon Inc. ("Amerigon") hereby complains of Defendant W.E.T. Automotive Systems Limited ("W.E.T) and alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Complaint is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, and, more particularly, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 2. Upon information and belief, W.E.T. conducts business throughout the United States, including in this judicial district, and, by itself or through intermediaries, has committed the acts complained of in this judicial district and elsewhere.
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b).

II. PARTIES

4. Amerigon is a Michigan corporation having a principal place of business at 21680 Haggerty Road, Suite 101, Northville, Michigan 48167.

5. On information and belief, W.E.T. is an Ontario and/or Canadian corporation. W.E.T. has alleged, and on that basis Amerigon alleges, that W.E.T. has a principal place of business at 3445 Wheelton Drive, Windsor, Ontario, NW8 5A6, Canada.

III. ALLEGATIONS FOR ALL CLAIMS OF RELIEF

- 6. On January 28, 1997, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 5,597,200, which it reissued on September 28, 2010 as U.S. Patent No. RE41,765 ("the '765 patent"), titled "Variable Temperature Seat." Amerigon owns the '765 patent by assignment. A copy of the '765 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 7. On November 9, 2010, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 7,827,805 ("the '805 patent") titled "Seat Climate Control System." Amerigon owns the '805 patent by assignment. A copy of the '805 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 8. W.E.T., through its agents, employees and servants, has infringed, actively induced others to infringe and/or contributed to others' infringement of one or more claims of each of the '765 and '805 patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by manufacturing, using, promoting, offering for sale, selling and/or importing products, including systems and methods for heating and/or cooling seats, covered by one or more claims of each of the '765 and '805 patents.

IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE41,765

- 9. Amerigon incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–8 of this Complaint.
- 10. This is a claim for patent infringement and arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 11. Without authority, W.E.T., through its agents, employees and servants, has manufactured, used, promoted, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States, and/or imported into the United States products covered by one or more claims of the '765 patent, has actively induced others to do the same and/or has contributed to others' performance of the same.

- W.E.T. has thereby infringed, actively induced others to infringe and/or contributed to others' infringement of one or more claims of the '765 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b) and/or (c). This infringement is currently ongoing. The products relating to W.E.T.'s infringement include W.E.T.'s ActiveCools and ComfortCools products.
- 12. Upon information and belief, W.E.T.'s infringement will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 13. Upon information and belief, W.E.T. has derived, received, and will continue to derive and receive gains, profits and advantages from the aforesaid acts of infringement in an amount that is not presently known to Amerigon. Due to W.E.T.'s infringement of the '765 patent, Amerigon has been damaged and is entitled to monetary relief in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 14. Unless W.E.T. is enjoined from infringing the '765 patent, Amerigon will continue to suffer irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

V. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,827,805

- 15. Amerigon incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–8 of this Complaint.
- 16. This is a claim for patent infringement and arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 17. Without authority, W.E.T., through its agents, employees and servants, has manufactured, used, promoted, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States, and/or imported into the United States products covered by one or more claims of the '805 patent, has actively induced others to do the same and/or has contributed to others' performance of the same. W.E.T. has thereby infringed, actively induced others to infringe and/or contributed to others' infringement of one or more claims of the '805 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b) and/or (c). This infringement is currently ongoing. The products relating to W.E.T.'s infringement include W.E.T.'s ActiveCools products.

- 18. Upon information and belief, W.E.T.'s infringement will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 19. Upon information and belief, W.E.T. has derived, received, and will continue to derive and receive gains, profits and advantages from the aforesaid acts of infringement in an amount that is not presently known to Amerigon. Due to W.E.T.'s infringement of the '805 patent, Amerigon has been damaged and is entitled to monetary relief in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 20. Unless W.E.T. is enjoined from infringing the '805 patent, Amerigon will continue to suffer irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR JUDGMENT AND RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Amerigon requests judgment as follows:

- A. An order adjudging W.E.T. to have infringed the '765 and '805 patents;
- B. A permanent injunction enjoining W.E.T., as well as its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and those persons in active concert or participation with W.E.T., from infringing each of the '765 and '805 patents;
- C. An accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by W.E.T.'s infringement of the '765 and '805 patents and for damages adequate to compensate Amerigon for W.E.T.'s infringement of the '765 and '805 patents;
 - D. An order declaring this to be an exceptional case;
- E. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs of this action against W.E.T.;
- F. An award to Amerigon of its attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this action; and
 - G. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), plaintiff Amerigon Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Date: December 10, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas G. Muehlhauser Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP 2040 Main Street

Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614

Telephone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502 doug.muehlhauser@kmob.com

I.W. Winsten (P30528)
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP
2290 First National Building
Detroit, MI 48226-2506
(313) 465-7608
iww@honigman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Amerigon Inc.

10078152