Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 1 of 10 PageID #:1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOISAGISTRATE JUDGE DENLOW EASTERN DIVISION

GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION,		(03C 09	65
٧.	Plaintiff,)) Civil Action No.)	
ORBSAK, L.L.C.,) Jury Demand Requeste))	2 1
	Defendant.	; 	Not urren
		LARATORY JUDGMENT OF NFRINGEMENT OF PATENT	FEB 1 1 2002

Plaintiff, General Instrument Corporation, for its Complaint against Defendant Orbsak, L.L.C., states as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, General Instrument Corporation ("General Instrument"), is a Deleware corporation with its principal place of business in Horsham, Pennsylvania.
- 2. Defendant, Orbsak, L.L.C. ("Orbsak"), is believed to be an Illinois Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Subject matter jurisdiction is grounded on the presence of an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, as implemented by 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) that gives the federal courts exclusive

Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 2 of 10 PageID #:2

jurisdiction, and on the Federal Declaratory Judgments Act, 28 U.S.C. §2201 and 2202.

- 4. Upon information and belief, Orbsak is a limited liability corporation registered in this judicial district and has its principal place of business within this judicial district; therefore, Orbsak is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.
- 5. Venue properly lies in the Northern District of Illinois pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

III. BACKGROUND TO THE CONTROVERSY

- 6. General Instrument is in the business of manufacturing and selling digital television receivers.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Orbsak is in the business of obtaining and licensing patents, including a group of patents issued to Lord Samuel Kassatly ("the Kassatly patents").
- 8. On October 13, 1999, Orbsak sued GI for infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,903,126 and 6,049,694, both of which were issued to Mr. Kassatly, in a case styled Orbsak, L.L.C. v. General Instrument Corporation Civil Action Number 99 C 6684 ("the Orbsak I litigation"). Initial discovery was exchanged between the parties in the litigation and a *Markman* hearing was held before the Honorable Judge Matthew F. Kennelly on December 6, 2000. Following Judge Kennelly's Memorandum Opinion

Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 3 of 10 PageID #:3

and Order Regarding Claim Construction, General Instrument moved for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement. On February 4, 2002, the Court granted Summary Judgement in favor of General Instrument. Orbsak subsequently appealed the Court's ruling to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Currently, that Appeal (Case No. 02-1296) is fully briefed and awaiting a hearing date before the Court.

- 9. Upon information and belief, after the *Markman* hearing on December 6, 2000, Mr. Kassatly, through his counsel, deleted all of the claims in pending U.S. Application No. 09/004,162, ("the '162 application") which claimed priority to both of the patents at issue in the Orbsak v. General Instrument litigation. Mr. Kassatly then submitted new claims modifying the claim language that was at issue during the *Markman* hearing in the Orbsak I Litigation.
- 10. On December 10, 2002, the '162 application issued to Lord Samuel A. Kassatly as U.S. Patent No. 6,493,878 B1 (the "'878 Patent"), (Exhibit A) entitled "Method and Apparatus for TV Broadcasting and Reception." The '878 Patent is related to the Kassatly patents at issue in the Orbsak I litigation. The '878 Patent relies upon the specification of those Kassatly patents, it uses very similar claim language as the Kassatly patents, and it claims priority back to those Kassatly patents (see Exhibit A)

IV. THE CONTROVERSY

10. Upon information and belief, Orbsak is the owner and/or exclusive licensee of the '878 Patent. On the date the '878 patent issued, counsel for Orbsak

Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 4 of 10 PageID #:4

informed General Instrument in-house counsel and General Instrument's outside litigation counsel of the issuance of the '878 Patent. In the course of settlement negotiations between Orbsak and General Instrument regarding a possible settlement of the Orbsak I litigation, Orbsak threatened to contact multiple system operators ("MSOs") who are customers of General Instrument's digital set top boxes regarding the '878 Patent, unless a settlement was reached by the parties.

11. An actual controversy of a justicable nature exists between General Instrument and Orbsak regarding the validity of the '878 Patent, whether General Instrument's manufacture, sale, and marketing of digital set top boxes would infringe any rights granted by the '878 Patent, and whether the subsequent sale and use of digital set top boxes by General Instrument's customers would infringe any rights granted by the '878 Patent and/or the invalidity of the '878 patent. To resolve the legal and factual questions raised by Orbsak and to afford relief from the uncertainty and controversy which Defendant's assertion has precipitated, General Instrument is entitled to declaratory judgment of their rights under 28 U.S.C. §§2201-2202.

V. COUNT ONE: PATENT INVALIDITY

- 12. This count is for declaratory judgment of invalidity of the '878 Patent.
- 13. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 11 are incorporated herein by reference.

Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 5 of 10 PageID #:5

14. The '878 Patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103, and/or for failure to comply with the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §112.

15. General Instrument is entitled to a declaration that the '878 Patent is invalid.

VI. COUNT TWO: NON-INFRINGEMENT

- 16. This count is for declaratory judgment of non-infringement of the '878 Patent.
- 17. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 18. The manufacture, sale, offer for sale, importation, or use of General Instrument's digital set top boxes in the United States will not infringe, contributorily infringe, nor constitute inducement of infringement of the '878 Patent.
- 19. General Instrument is entitled to a declaration that the manufacture, use, sale, importation, or offer for sale of digital set top boxes in the United States does not directly infringe, nor contributorily infringe, nor induce infringement, of the '878 Patent.

VII. JURY DEMAND

20. General Instrument demands a trial by jury on all issues thus triable in this action.

Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 6 of 10 PageID #:6

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, General Instrument, respectfully requests declaratory judgment and relief against defendant Orbsak, including:

- (A) A declaration that United States Patent No. 6,493,878 B1 is invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §102, §103, and/or §112.
- (B) A declaration that General Instrument's manufacture, use, importation offer for sale and/or sale of digital set top boxes does not directly or contributorily infringe or induce infringement of any valid claim of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,878 B1.
- (C) An injunction, preliminarily and permanently preventing Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, successors, assigns, and any and all persons in active concert or participation with or under authority from Defendant, either separately or jointly, from asserting or enforcing United States Patent No. 6,493,878 B1 against General Instrument, its parent, related companies, successor or assigns, and/or purchasers or users of General Instrument products.
- (D) An injunction, preliminarily and permanently preventing Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, successors, assigns, and any and all persons in active concert or participation with or under authority from Defendant, either separately or jointly, be enjoined from interfering with, or threatening to interfere with, manufacture, use, sale or offer of sale of digital set top boxes by General Instrument, its parent, related companies, successor or assigns, in connection with its business.

Case: 1:03-cv-00965 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/10/03 Page 7 of 10 PageID #:7

(E) Judgment that this is an exceptional case and that Plaintiff be awarded its costs, expenses and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C §285.

(F) Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 1044 day of February, 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul H. Berghoff (1.D. No. 6180462) Leif R. Sigmond, Jr. (I.D. No. 6204980) George I. Lee (I.D. No. 6225430) Alison J. Baldwin (I.D. No. 6271901)

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 300 South Wacker Drive, 32nd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: (312) 913-0001 Facsimile: (312) 913-0002

Attorneys for Plaintiff, GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION

SEE CASE FILE EASE EXHIBITS

Case: 1	:03-cv-00 965 D c	շա ment #: 1 File	ed: 02/10/03 Page	9 of 10 PageID #	:9
		/	,		
JS 44 (Rey. 11/95)	(ATI)	-	OVER SHEE	•	
					tings or other papers as required for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	e purpose of initiating th	e civil docket sheet. (SE)	EINSTRUCTIONS ON THE	REVERSE OF THE FORM	u) <u> </u>
* *	strument Corp	oration	Orbsak,	L. L. C.	
	_				570/
48->	JUDGE PLUN	K 13	30 U	900	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (EXCE)	OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		1	OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASI	ES ONRY)
•	MAGIST	ANTE JUDGE D	EN ONTE: TRACT OF L	NDEMNATION CASES. US AND INVOLVED.	E THE COOTION OF THE
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME Paul H. Bei	ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE	NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	Van als	FEB 1 1 200:
McDonnell H	Boehnen Hulbe			r Kanaris & V	anek, P.C.
300 South W Chicago, II	Wacker Drive; L 60606	Suite 3200	200 South Chicago, I	Wacker Drive; 1.60606	Suite 3350
II. BASIS OF JURISD		X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		INCIPAL PARTIES	LACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAIN
□ 1 U.S. Government	©(3 Federal Question			TF DEF	ND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) PTF DI OF Principal Place 4 2
Pleintiff 2 U.S. Government	(U.S. Governm		_	of Busines	s In This State
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizer in them (II)	nahip of Parties	Citizen of Another State to	of Busines:	and Principal Place (2.5 (2.5) s In Another State
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Citizen or Subject of a t Foreign Country	3 D3 Foreign Natio	on De D
		anded from 13 4 Rein			
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON		(apacity)		Judgment
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	PORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 2 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	316 Alroiane	☐ 362 Personal Injury ~ Med. Malpractice	(26 Other Food & Drug (26 Orug Related Setzure	☐ 429 Withdrawel	469 State Reapportionment 418 Antitrust 439 Banks and Benking
140 Negotiable instrument 160 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Usbility [] 329 Assault, Ubel & Slander	366 Personal Injury — Product Liability 366 Asbestos Personal	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce/CC Raise/etc 400 Deportation 470 Recitation influenced and
181 Medicare Act 182 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability	7 666 Airline Regs.	CI 626 Copyrights CI 630 Pagent	Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 3 1\$3 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 346 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Clability	PERSONAL PROPERTY 379 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	Safety/Health (3 666 Other	C3 840 Trademark	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
of Veterán's Benefits 199 Stockholders: Suits	[] 366 Motor Vehicle [] 366 Motor Vehicle Product Usbility	200 Other Personal Property Damage	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410
199 Other Contract 199 Contract Product Liability	☐ 388 Other Personal Injury		Act 736 Labor/Mornt, Relations	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DWC/DWW (405(g))	862 Economic Statistical Act 863 Environmental Masters 864 Energy Allocation Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION D \$10 Motions to Vacata	S 786 Labor/Mgmt Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 866 RSI (405(g))	□ 806 Freedom of Information Act
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment	442 Employment	Sentence Habees Corpus	☐ 746 Railwity Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 989 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Just ☐ 969 Constitutionality of
246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 256 All Cither Real Property	Accommodations 444 Wedare 446 Other CME Rights	836 General 836 Death Penaky 846 Mandamus & Other 860 Civil Rights	C) 760 Other Labor Litigation C) 761 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 679 Tisses (U.S. Pleintiff or Defendent) ☐ 671 IRS — Third Perly 28 USC 7609	State Statutory Actions 366 Other Statutory Actions
/I. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STAT	TUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE TONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVI	FLING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEME	INT OF CAUSE	
The Cause of A United States	ction arises	under the f	ederal patent	statute, 35	
/II. REQUESTED IN	_ CHECK IF THIS IS	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES O	nly if demanded in complaint
CUMPLAINT:	UNDER FR C P 2	3			
	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2		Vonnoller		
/III.RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):	Matthew		DOCKET NUMBER	99 C 6684
/III.RELATED CASE(S	S) (See instructions); Ju			DOCKET NUMBER	
/III.RELATED CASE(S	S) (See instructions); Ju	Matthew		DOCKET NUMBER	

NOTE: When the print dialogue box appears, be sure to uncheck the Annotations option.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS \mathcal{E}_{EB} 1

Double click on question

In the Matter of

Eastern Division

mark for appearance form JUDGE PLUNKE

General Instrument Corporation

General Instrument Corporation

Orbsak, L.L.C.

Case Number:

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR

	K. ^ - 			
(A) (A)	(B)			
SIGNATURE Paul A. Bergfoll	SIGNATURE			
Paul H. Berghoff	Leif R. Sigmond, Jr.			
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff	McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			
300 South Wacker Drive; Suite 3200	300 South Wacker Drive; Suite 3200			
Chicago, IL 60606	Chicago, IL 60606			
(312) 913-0001	TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001			
6180462	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 6204980			
MEMBER OF TRIAL DAR? YES NO NO	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? YES NO			
TRIAL ATTORNEY? YES V NO	TRIALATTORNEY? YES V NO NO			
	DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL? YES NO			
	(D)			
SIGNATURE Le Lac	SIGNATURA Bala.			
George I. Lee	Alison J. Baldwin			
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff	McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			
300 South Wacker Drive; Suite 3200	300 South Wacker Drive; Suite 3200			
country to the same				
Chicago, IL 60606	CHY/STATIUZIP Chicago, IL 60606			
Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001	CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001			
Chicago, IL 60606	Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPHONE NUMBER			
Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPTIONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001 IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001 IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001 IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 6225430	CITY/STATIUZIP Chicago, IL 60606 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 913-0001 IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 6271901			