	Case	8:09-cv-00772-DOC-MLG Document 1	Filed 07/07/09 Page 1 of 19 Page ID #:1
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JAMES A. OLIFF (pro hac vice app. per KIRK M. HUDSON (pro hac vice app. J. GREGORY WHITEHAIR (pro hac vice app. JESSE O. COLLIER (pro hac vice app. JOHN A. RADI (pro hac vice app. JOHN A. RADI (pro hac vice app. pendoLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC 277 South Washington St., Suite 500 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Telephone: (703) 836-6400 Facsimile: (703) 836-2787  LOCAL COUNSEL: Jeffrey D. Wexler, State Bar No. 13225 Michael H. Bierman, State Bar No. 891 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & S601 South Figueroa, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 892-4992 Facsimile: (213) 892-7731 jwexler@luce.com  Attorneys for Plaintiffs MAKITA CORPORATION, MAKITA and MAKITA CORPORATION, MAKITA and MAKITA CORPORATION OF A	pending) pending) pending) pending) ling)  TERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SANTA ANA SANTA ANA SERIES COURT SANTA ANA SER
	13		ES DISTRICT COURT
	14		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
	15		EDNI DIVICIONI
	16 17		Case No. SACVO9-772 JVS (RNB*)
	18	MAKITA CORPORATION, MAKITA U.S.A., INC., and MAKITA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
)	19	Plaintiffs,	
-	20	VS.	
	21	MILWAUKEE ELECTRIC TOOL	
	22	CORPORATION,	
	23	Defendant.	
١	24		
J	25		
	26		
	27		·
	28		

## COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Makita Corporation, Makita U.S.A., Inc., and Makita Corporation of America (collectively, "Makita"), for their Complaint against Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation ("Milwaukee"), state as follows:

### PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, concerning issues arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, 2202 and the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. An actual and substantial justiciable controversy exists between Makita and Milwaukee as to the validity of Milwaukee's U.S. Patent Nos. 7,176,654 B2 ("the 654 Patent"), 7,253,585 B2 ("the 585 Patent"), 7,262,580 B2 ("the 580 Patent"), 7,323,847 B2 ("the 847 Patent"), 7,425,816 B2 ("the 816 Patent"), 7,554,290 B2 ("the 290 Patent"), and 7,557,535 B2 ("the 535 Patent"), as well as to whether Makita has infringed or is infringing any valid claim of Milwaukee's 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290 or 535 Patents.
- 2. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), because, *inter alia*, a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district and potential witnesses reside in or near the Central District of California.
- 3. Makita Corporation is a company organized under the laws of Japan, with a place of business at 3-11-8, Sumiyoshi-cho, Anjo, Aichi 446-8502, Japan.
- 4. Makita U.S.A., Inc. is a company organized under the laws of the State of California, with a principal place of business at 14930 Northam Street, La Mirada, CA 90638-5753, which is situated in this federal district.
- 5. Makita Corporation of America is a company organized under laws of the State of Georgia, with a principal place of business at 2650 Buford Highway, Buford, GA 30518.

- 6. Upon information and belief, Milwaukee is a company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 13135 West Lisbon Road, Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005; Milwaukee is a wholly owned subsidiary of Techtronic Industries North America, Inc., located at 1428 Pearman Dairy Rd., Anderson, SC 29625, which in turn is itself a subsidiary of Techtronic Industries Company, Ltd., located at 24/F CDW Building, Tsuen Wan, NT, Hong Kong, a special administrative region of the People's Republic of China.
- 7. Milwaukee has continuous and systematic general business contacts with the Central District of California, and has purposefully directed activities in the Central District of California through established regular distribution channels. For example, and without limitation, on information and belief, Milwaukee is currently registered to do business in California, and has been registered to do business in California since July 27, 1987; Milwaukee has designated C T Corporation System at 818 West Seventh Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017 as its Agent for Service of Process; Milwaukee maintains a branch office situated in this district; and Milwaukee directly markets its products to customers in the Central District of California through established regular distribution channels, including retail outlets such as The Home Depot. Milwaukee has also supported a joint marketing initiative by The Home Depot of Milwaukee and Makita products; and Milwaukee has specifically warned Makita U.S.A. in connection with that joint marketing of Milwaukee's intention to enforce Milwaukee's patent rights.

#### **FACTS**

- 8. Upon information and belief, Milwaukee is the sole owner of the 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290 and 535 Patents.
- 9. The 654 Patent issued on February 13, 2007 and is entitled "Method and System of Charging Multi-Cell Lithium-Based Batteries."
  - 10. The 585 Patent issued on August 7, 2007 and is entitled "Battery Pack."

- 3
- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8

- 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- The 580 Patent issued on August 28, 2007 and is a continuation of the 11. 654 Patent, and is entitled "Method and System for Charging Multi-Cell Lithium-Based Batteries."
- The 847 Patent issued on January 29, 2008 and is a continuation of the 12. 654 Patent, and is also entitled "Method and System of Charging Multi-Cell Lithium-Based Batteries."
- The 816 Patent issued on September 16, 2008 and incorporates by 13. reference the 654 and 585 Patent applications, and is entitled "Method and System for Pulse Charging of a Lithium-Based Battery."
- The 290 Patent issued on June 30, 2009 and is a continuation of the 585 14. Patent, and is entitled "Lithium-Based Battery Pack for a Hand-Held Power Tool."
- The 535 Patent issued on Tuesday, July 7, 2009 and is a continuation of 15. the 585 Patent.
- Makita sells battery-powered handheld power tools in the United States 16. that are powered by multi-cell battery packs having cells with various chemistries, including Nickel Cadmium (NiCd), Nickel-Metal-Hydride (NiMH) and Lithium-ion ("Li-ion")-based chemistries. Makita also sells various battery chargers in the United States that are operable to charge the battery packs used to power Makita's battery-powered hand-held power tools sold in the United States. The Makita battery packs having a Li-ion based cell chemistry ("Li-ion battery packs"), the Makita battery chargers that are used to charge Makita's Li-ion battery packs, and the Makita hand-held power tools that are powered by Makita's Li-ion battery packs are hereinafter referred to as Makita's "Li-ion Products."
- The 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290, and 535 Patents are part of a 17. portfolio of closely related U.S. patents and patent applications owned by Milwaukee ("Li-ion Battery Portfolio") that is directed to battery-powered handheld power tool battery packs having cells with Li-ion-based chemistry, battery chargers for charging such battery packs, and battery-powered hand-held power

tools including such battery packs. The patents and patent applications in Milwaukee's Lithium Battery Portfolio share overlapping disclosures and are based on a group of provisional applications filed in the PTO beginning in 2002.

- 18. Before any of the 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290 and 535 Patents had issued, Milwaukee began repeatedly advising Makita in writing of specific applications in Milwaukee's Li-ion Battery Portfolio, and further warning Makita of the compensation that Milwaukee can obtain for infringement of U.S. patents, that Milwaukee intends to enforce its patent rights in Milwaukee's Li-ion Battery Portfolio once the applications issue, and that Milwaukee expects Makita to respect Milwaukee's intellectual property rights. Some of these letters have been directed to Makita Corporation and its counsel in Japan, and at least one was directed to Makita, USA, Inc. in this district.
- 19. Among the patent applications asserted by Milwaukee are U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0263119, which later issued as the 654 Patent, and U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0257038, which later issued as the 585 Patent. The 847 Patent issued from a later filed continuation of U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0263119. The 290 and 535 Patents issued from later filed continuations of U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0257038. The 580 Patent issued from a later filed continuation of the 654 Patent. The 816 Patent incorporates by reference the entire contents of the 654 and 585 Patent applications. The 654, 847, 580 and 816 Patents have closely related claims. The 585, 290 and 535 Patents have closely related claims.
- 20. Milwaukee originally specifically advised Makita that Milwaukee has reviewed Makita's Model BTD130F cordless impact driver and Li-ion battery pack in connection with Milwaukee's intellectual property rights. Makita has been selling and continues to sell the Model BTD130F cordless impact driver and Li-ion battery pack in the United States, as well as a battery charger for charging the Li-ion battery pack used with the Model BTD130F. The Model BTD130F driver, battery pack and

the United States.

21. Faced with Milwaukee's repeated assertion of and stated intention to enforce its Li-ion Battery Portfolio, and prior to being sued by Milwaukee, Makita had tried to reach an acceptable negotiated resolution of Milwaukee's assertion of its

charger are part of a line of related Li-ion Products that Makita is currently selling in

- Li-ion Battery Portfolio against Makita's Li-ion Products, but was not able to do so.
- 22. On June 30, 2009, the day the 290 Patent issued, Milwaukee and Metco Battery Technologies, LLC sued Makita for infringement of the 654, 847, 290, 580 and 816 Patents in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, Civ. Action No. 09-CV-0636. The complaint broadly alleges that the Makita products accused of infringement "include but are not limited to" Makita's Li-ion batteries and battery chargers for charging Makita's Li-ion batteries.
- 23. As part of its continuing development of Li-ion Products, Makita has taken substantial and concrete steps toward the development and early introduction into the United States market of a new 36-volt Li-ion battery pack, battery charger for the new Li-ion battery pack, and a new hand-held power tool that is powered by the new Li-ion battery pack ("New Li-ion Products") that are related to Makita's existing Li-ion Products and also to Milwaukee's asserted 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290 and/or 535 Patents.
- 24. Consequently, Makita has a reasonable apprehension of being sued by Milwaukee for patent infringement with respect to Makita's New Li-ion Products.
- 25. Makita does not infringe, has not infringed, and will not infringe any valid claim of the 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290 or 535 Patents, directly, contributorily or by inducement with respect to any of Makita's present Li-ion Products or the aforementioned New Li-ion Products that Makita is taking steps to introduce into the United States.

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 32. 22 including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 847 Patent, and

Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 847 Patent.

Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 33. including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 816 Patent, and

7

One or more claims of the 654, 585, 580, 847, 816, 290 and 535 26. Patents are invalid for failure to satisfy the requirements of Title 35 of the United States Code, including but not limited to 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.

Accordingly, an actual controversy within the meaning of the 27. Declaratory Judgment Act exists between Makita and Milwaukee.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### Noninfringement

- Makita repeats and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, the 28. allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 of this complaint.
- Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 29. including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 654 Patent, and Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 654 Patent.
- Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 30. including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 585 Patent, and Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 585 Patent.
- Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 31. including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 580 Patent, and Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 580 Patent.

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 816 Patent.

- Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 34. including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 290 Patent, and Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 290 Patent.
- Upon information and belief, none of Makita's Li-ion Products, 35. including the New Li-ion Products, infringes any valid claim of the 535 Patent, and Makita has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the 535 Patent.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 36. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 654 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 654 Patent.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 37. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 585 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 585 Patent.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 38. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 580 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 580 Patent.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 39. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 847 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not

3

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 847 Patent.

- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 40. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 816 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 816 Patent.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 41. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 290 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 290 Patent.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that none of Makita's 42. Li-ion Products, including Makita's New Li-ion Products, directly infringes the 535 Patent, and that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement (and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement) of any valid claim of the 535 Patent.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### **Invalidity**

- Makita repeats and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, the 43. allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 42 of this Complaint.
- Upon information and belief, claims of the 654 Patent are invalid for 44. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.
- Upon information and belief, claims of the 585 Patent are invalid for 45. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States

7

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112. Upon information and belief, claims of the 580 Patent are invalid for 46. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35

Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35

U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.

- Upon information and belief, claims of the 847 Patent are invalid for 47. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.
- Upon information and belief, claims of the 816 Patent are invalid for 48. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.
- Upon information and belief, claims of the 290 Patent are invalid for 49. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.
- Upon information and belief, claims of the 535 Patent are invalid for 50. failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including without limitation those requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the 51. claims of the 654 Patent are invalid.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the 52. claims of the 585 Patent are invalid.
- Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the 53. claims of the 580 Patent are invalid.

- 54. Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the claims of the 847 Patent are invalid.
- 55. Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the claims of the 816 Patent are invalid.
- 56. Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the claims of the 290 Patent are invalid.
- 57. Makita is entitled to a declaration from the Court that some or all of the claims of the 535 Patent are invalid.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Makita seeks the following relief:

- A. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 654 Patent;
- B. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 585 Patent;
- C. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 580 Patent;
- D. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 847 Patent;
- E. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 816 Patent;
- F. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 290 Patent;
- G. A final judgment declaring that Makita's Li-ion Products and New Li-ion Products do not infringe any valid claim of the 535 Patent;
- H. A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 654 Patent.

4

9

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or I. induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 585 Patent.
- A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or J. induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 580 Patent.
- A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or K. induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 847 Patent.
- A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or L. induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 816 Patent.
- A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or M. induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 290 Patent.
- A final judgment declaring that Makita has never contributed to or N. induced infringement and is not contributorily infringing or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the 535 Patent.
- A final judgment declaring that one or more claims of the 654 Patent Ο. are invalid;
- A final judgment declaring that one or more claims of the 585 Patent P. are invalid;
- A final judgment declaring that one or more claims of the 580 Patent Q. are invalid;
- A final judgment declaring that one or more claims of the 847 Patent R. are invalid;
- A final judgment declaring that one or more claims of the 816 Patent S. are invalid;

Respectfully submitted,

**DATED:** July 7, 2009

LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP Jeffrey D. Wexler

Michael H. Bierman

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
James A. Oliff (pro hac vice app. pending)
Kirk M. Hudson (pro hac vice app. pending)
J. Gregory Whitehair (pro hac vice app. pending)
Jesse O. Collier (pro hac vice app. pending)
John A. Radi (pro hac vice app. pending)

By: Jeffy V. Web

Attorneys for Plaintiffs MAKITA

CORPORATION, MAKITA U.S.A., INC., and MAKITA CORPORATION OF AMERICA

201034437.1

20

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Case 8:09-cv-00772-DOC-MLG Document 1 Filed 07/07/09 Page 14 of 10 PagGI NAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

		er i E co			
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check bo	ox if you are representing yourself	`□)	DEFENDANTS		
Makita Corporation, Mal	kita U.S.A., Inc., and Makita Corp	poration of America	Milwaukee Electric Tool	Corporation	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress and Telephone Number. If	you are representing	Attorneys (If Known)		
yourself, provide same.)	•		See attachment.		
See attachment.					
		·			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	'N (Place an X in one box only.)		NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PAR X in one box for plaintiff and of		es Only
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S.		PT	F DEF	PTF DEF
	Government Not a Party	y) Citizen of Thi	S State	☐ 1 Incorporated or of Business in t	Principal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendar	nt 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz	zenship Citizen of And	other State $\square$ 2	☐ 2 Incorporated an	nd Principal Place 5 5
2 0.5. Government beforeda.	of Parties in Item III)	Soliomp Challen of the		of Business in	
		Citizen or Sub	ject of a Foreign Country 🛛 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only.)				
✓1 Original □2 Remov	ved from □ 3 Remanded from	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐	5 Transferred from another di	strict (specify):   6 Mu	ılti- □ 7 Appeal to District
Proceeding State C	Court Appellate Court	Reopened			strict Judge from igation Magistrate Judge
L' DECURCEER IN COMBI	AINT: JURY DEMAND:	Vac. W No (Charle V	or' only if domanded in comple		igution Magistrate suage
-	,		MONEY DEMANDED IN C		tory Judoment
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit	te the U.S. Civil Statute under whi	ich you are filing and w	rite a brief statement of cause.	Do not cite jurisdictional s	statutes unless diversity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	ce an X in one box only.)			7.00	,
OTHER STATISTICS	CONTRACT	TORTS	TORTS	PRISONER	LABOR
OTHER STATUTES  □ 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJUI		PETITIONS	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards
□ 410 Antitrust	□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	PROPERTY	☐ 510 Motions to	Act
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	□ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Prod Liability	act ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.  Relations
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of	☐ 320 Assault, Libel		□ 530 General	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	Property Damage	□ 535 Death Penalty	Reporting &
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	☐ 330 Fed. Employer Liability	s' ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Disclosure Act  ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act
and Corrupt Organizations	Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act	□ 340 Marine	BANKRUPTCY	☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 790 Other Labor
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 345 Marine Produc Liability	t ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC	☐ 555 Prison Condition	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	158	FORFEITURE /	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.
<ul><li>□ 810 Selective Service</li><li>□ 850 Securities/Commodities/</li></ul>	Veterans)	□ 355 Motor Vehicle		PENALTY  □ 610 Agriculture	Security Act PROPERTY RIGHTS
Exchange	Overpayment of	Product Liabil  360 Other Persona	CIVII DICHTS	☐ 620 Other Food &	□ 820 Copyrights
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	Injury	☐ 441 Voting	Drug	№ 830 Patent
USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 362 Personal Injur		☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of	☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY
□ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product	Med Malpract  ☐ 365 Personal Injury	· 1	Property 21 USC	
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability	Product Liabil	ty ☐ 444 Welfare	881	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)
Act	☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 368 Asbestos Perso		☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Injury Product Liability	Disabilities - Employment	☐ 650 Airline Regs	(405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	□ 220 Foreclosure	IMMIGRATION	☐ 446 American with	☐ 660 Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405(g))
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		Disabilities -	Safety /Health	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Application  463 Habeas Corpus	Other  440 Other Civil	□ 690 Other	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
□ 950 Constitutionality of	☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Alien Detained	Rights		☐ 871 IRS-Third Party 26
State Statutes		☐ 465 Other Immigra	tion		USC 7609
			<del></del>		
	SACV09-772 JVS(RNBX)				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:	5AC V U9-/	2 J V D(NINDA)		
AFTER C	AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.				

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: His If yes, list case number(s):	as this action been pr	eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ve any cases been pro o. SACV 09-0133	eviously filed in this court that DOC (MLGx)	t are related to the present case? □ No SYYes			
<b>∑/</b> B. <b>ॼ</b> /c.	Arise from the sam Call for determinati For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction ion of the same or substantially ould entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing th	e following informat	ion, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)			
(a) List the County in this District;  ☐ Check here if the government,	California County o	outside of this District; State is	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Makita U.S.A., Inc Los Angeles County			Makita Corporation - Japan  Makita Corporation - Japan			
(b) List the County in this District;  ☐ Check here if the government,	California County of its agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State is byees is a named defendant. I	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which <b>EACH</b> named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
brange Unity			Milwaukee Electric Tool Corp Headquarters in Wisconsin; Service Center in Orange County, CA			
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
orange county.						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berna Note: In land condemnation cases, u	rdino, Riverside, V	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	(OR PRO PER):	eff, V. Whe	Date July 7, 2009			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: T or other papers as required by la	he CV-71 (JS-44 <b>)</b> C w. This form, appro	ivil Cover Sheet and the informated by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to S	ocial Security Cases					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
			workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as iled for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863 DIWW All claims filed for widows or wido Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)			s or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security C. 405(g))			
864 SSID All claims for supplemental starts. Act, as amended.			al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security			

865

RSI

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

#### **Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet**

#### Attorneys for Plaintiffs:

JEFFREY D. WEXLER, State Bar No. 132256 MICHAEL H. BIERMAN, State Bar No. 89156 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP 601 South Figueroa, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: (213) 892-4992

JAMES A. OLIFF (pro hac vice application pending)
KIRK M. HUDSON (pro hac vice application pending)
J. GREGORY WHITEHAIR (pro hac vice application pending)
JESSE O. COLLIER (pro hac vice application pending)
JOHN A. RADI (pro hac vice application pending)

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC 277 South Washington St., Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (703) 836-6400

#### Attorneys for Defendant:

#### J. DONALD BEST

#### MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP

One South Pinckney Street, Suite 700 Madison, WI 53703-1806 Telephone: (608) 257-3501

KAREN G. PAGNANELLI MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

11377 West Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683 Telephone: (310) 312-2000

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge James V. Selna and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Robert N. Block.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV09- 772 JVS (RNBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge			
=======================================			
NOTICE TO COUNSE!			

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

L	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	[X]	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	LJ	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 1 Riverside, CA 92501	134
	Los Angeles, CA 90012		Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Riverside, CA 92501	

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Jeffrey D. Wexler / Michael H. Bierman LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP 601 South Figueroa, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 892-4992

See attachment for additional attorneys.

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
Makita Corporation, Makita U.S.A., Inc., and Makita Corporation of America,  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.	CASE NUMBER SACV09-772 JVS(RNBX)
Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation,  DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S):  A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 20 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Jef Luce Forward Hamilton & Scripps, 601 S. Figueroa, Su judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint amended complaint amended remplaint answer, whose address is ite 3900, Los Angeles, CA 90017 If you fail to do so
JUL - 7 2009  Dated:  FOR OFFICE	By: Nancy Castron  Deput Clerk  USE ON to of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07) SUMMONS

#### **Attachment to Summons**

#### Additional Attorneys for Plaintiffs:

JAMES A. OLIFF (*pro hac vice* application pending)
KIRK M. HUDSON (*pro hac vice* application pending)
J. GREGORY WHITEHAIR (*pro hac vice* application pending)
JESSE O. COLLIER (*pro hac vice* application pending)
JOHN A. RADI (*pro hac vice* application pending)
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
277 South Washington St., Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

201034433.1