	Case3:02-cv-01426-SI Document1	Filed03/25/02 Page1 of 4	Ĭ
1 2	LATHAM & WATKINS David A. York (SBN 89942) Charles Crompton (SBN 138825)		
3	Anthony I. Fenwick (SNB 158667) Rita A. Hao (SBN 191693) Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834) Allon Stabinsky (SBN 197642)		
5	135 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, California 94025-3656 Telephone: (650) 328-4600		
	Facsimile: (650) 463-2600 LATHAM & WATKINS Steven M. Bauer (SBN 135067)		
8	James L. Day (SBN 197158) 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-0699		
10 11	Facsimile: (415) 395-8095 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
12 13	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION	
16 17	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION, an	CASE NO.	
18	Oregon Corporation, Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
19	v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
	QUICKTURN DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and CADENCE		
	DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 LATHAM & WATKINS ATTORNEYS AT LAW SILICON VALLEY		1	COMPLAINT

1	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
2	Plaintiff Mentor Graphics Corporation ("Mentor") alleges for its complaint against			
3	defendants Quickturn Design Systems, Inc. ("Quickturn") and Cadence Design Systems, Inc.			
4	("Cadence") as follows:			
5	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
6	1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the			
7	United States. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338. Venue is proper in this			
8	district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) and 1400(b).			
9	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT			
10	2. Because three related cases (Mentor Graphics Corporation v. Quickturn Design			
11	Systems, Inc. and Cadence Design Systems, Inc., Civil Action No. C-99-05464; Mentor Graphics			
12	Corporation, et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, et al., Civil Action No. C-00-01030; and			
13	Mentor Graphics Corporation, et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, et al., Civil Action No. C-00-			
14	3291) are currently pending before the San Francisco Division of this Court (Hon. Susan Illston),			
15	this action should be assigned to that division.			
16	PARTIES PARTIES			
17	3. Mentor Graphics Corporation ("Mentor") is an Oregon corporation organized			
18	under the laws of the State of Oregon having its principal executive offices in Wilsonville,			
19	Oregon.			
20	4. Quickturn is a Delaware corporation organized under the laws of the State of			
21	Delaware having its principal executive offices in San Jose, California. Cadence is a Delaware			
22	corporation with its headquarters in Mountain View, California. In other actions between			
23	Cadence and Mentor, Cadence has asserted that it fully controls and operates the business of			
24	Quickturn, and that Quickturn, the corporation, is essentially a shell.			
25	PATENTS PATENTS			
26	5. On July 7, 1998, United States Patent No. 5,777,489, entitled "FIELD			
27	PROGRAMMABLE GATE ARRAY WITH INTEGRATED DEBUGGING FACILITIES" (the			
28	"489 patent"), issued to Assignee Mentor Graphics Corporation. Mentor is the owner of the			

COMPLAINT

1	'489 patent with full rights to sue for infringement of the '489 patent, including rights to sue for		
2	past infringement. The '489 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
3	THE INFRINGEMENT		
4	6. Cadence and Quickturn have been and still are infringing claims 14 and 15 of the		
5	'489 patent by making, selling, offering to sell, and using hardware emulation systems		
6	embodying the patented inventions, which in the United States are identified by the trade name		
7	Mercury™. Cadence and Quickturn will continue to make, use and sell the Mercury™ system in		
8	the United States unless enjoined by this Court.		
9	7. Mentor has been damaged by Cadence and Quickturn's infringing activities and		
10	will be irreparably injured by Cadence and Quickturn's continued infringement, unless Cadence		
11	and Quickturn are enjoined by this Court. Defendants' infringement of claims 14 and 15 of the		
12	'489 patent is and has been willful and deliberate, as Defendants have committed the acts alleged		
13	with previous notice and knowledge of Mentor's patents.		
14	RELIEF REQUESTED		
15	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS THAT:		
16	1. Cadence and Quickturn be adjudged and decreed to have infringed claims 14 and		
17	15 of the '489 patent;		
18	2. Cadence and Quickturn, and their officers, directors, employees, agents, licensees,		
19	servants, successors and assigns, and any and all persons acting in privity or concert with them,		
20	be permanently and preliminarily restrained and enjoined from further infringement, contributory		
21	infringement or inducement of infringement of claims 14 and 15 of the '489 patent;		
22	3. Cadence and Quickturn be ordered to pay damages adequate to compensate		
23	Mentor for Cadence and Quickturn's past infringement, together with prejudgment interest, and		
24	that such damages be trebled pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 because of the willful and deliberate		
25	character of the infringement;		
26	4. Mentor be awarded its costs and attorneys' fees; and		
27	5. Mentor be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and		
28	proper.		

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff Mentor Graphics Corporation hereby demands trial by jury as to all issues in this action triable by a jury. CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-16, the undersigned certifies that as of this date, other than the named parties, there is no such interest to report. Dated: March 25, 2002 LATHAM & WATKINS By /s/Allon Stabinsky_ Allon Stabinsky Attorneys for Plaintiff MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION, LATHAM & WATKINS **COMPLAINT** ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SILICON VALLEY