Case3:08-cv-00372-MMC Document1 Filed01/18/08 Page1 of 7

	Frils P. Polema (CAP WOOD)				
	Erik R. Puknys (CAB #190926) erik.puknys@finnegan.com				
4	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, 3: 22				
3	Signature Research Park				
4	Faio Aito, Cartomia 94304-1203				
5	Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666				
6					
	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON.				
7	901 New York Avenue, N.W.				
8	Washington, D.C. 20001				
9	Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400				
10					
11	Robert L. Burns (Not yet admitted pro hac vice) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,				
12	FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.				
	Reston, Virginia 20190				
13	Telephone: (571) 203-2700				
14	Facsimile: (202) 408-4400				
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
16	BCD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, and SHANGHAI SIM-BCD SEMICONDUCTOR				
	MANUFACTURING, CO., LTD.				
17	I DHITTEN OF A THE STATE OF A STA				
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
20	MEJ				
21	BCD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION and) CASE NO.				
22	SHANGHAI SIM-BCD SEMICONDUCTOR) MANUFACTURING, CO., LTD.)				
) COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY				
23	Plaintiffs,) JUDGMENT				
24	v. }				
25					
26	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.				
27	Defendant.				
28					

Plaintiffs BCD Semiconductor Corporation and Shanghai SIM-BCD Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") allege as follows for its Complaint for Declaratory Judgment against Defendant Power Integrations, Inc. ("Power Integrations"):

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Shanghai SIM-BCD Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. ("SIM-BCD") is organized under the laws of the People's Republic of China, with a place of business at 800 Yishan Road, Shanghai, China.
- 2. Plaintiff BCD Semiconductor Corporation ("BCD California") is a California corporation with an office at 30920 Huntswood Avenue, Suite D, Hayward, California 94544.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Power Integrations is a Delaware corporation with a regular and established place of business at 5245 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, California 95138.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. Plaintiffs bring this civil action under the Patent Laws, Title 35 of the United States Code, and under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 to obtain a declaration of noninfringement and/or invalidity with respect to Power Integrations' U.S. Patent Nos. 6,107,851, 6,249,876 and 5,313,381 (collectively, "the patents-in-suit"). Since this action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
 - 5. Power Integrations is subject to personal jurisdiction in this forum.
- 6. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b).

Background

- 7. Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 and incorporate them by reference.
- 8. Power Integrations claims to be the owner by assignment of each of the patents-in-suit.
- 9. On June 14, 2007, Power Integrations filed suit against Plaintiffs in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California for infringement of the patents-in-suit (*Power Integrations, Inc. v. BCD Semiconductor Corp. and Shanghai SIM-BCD Semiconductor Manufacturing, Co., Ltd.*, Civil Action No. 07-cv-03137-WHA ("California Complaint")). The parties exchanged Initial Disclosures and filed a Joint Stipulated Rule 26(f)/Case Management Statement and Proposed Order. However, before an answer from Plaintiffs was due, Power Integrations dismissed its lawsuit in California on October 15, 2007, and, on the same day, filed a Complaint for infringement of the same patents against Plaintiffs in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (*Power Integrations, Inc. v. BCD Semiconductor Corp. and Shanghai SIM-BCD Semiconductor Manufacturing, Co., Ltd.*, Civil Action No. 07-cv-00633-JJF ("Delaware Complaint")).
- 10. In both the California Complaint and Delaware Complaint, Power Integrations alleged that Plaintiffs have been and are now infringing, inducing infringement, and contributing to the infringement of the patents-in-suit by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing devices, including pulse width modulation ("PWM") controller integrated circuit ("IC") devices, covered by one or more claims of the patents-in-suit.
- 11. SIM-BCD incorporates product development, circuit design, R&D, and manufacturing capabilities to offer a wide range of innovative power management IC solutions, including the accused IC devices. BCD California provides regional sales services for the IC solutions in North America.
- 12. There is an actual and substantial controversy between Plaintiffs and Power Integrations as to the infringement of the patents identified in this Complaint because Power

1	Integrations has brought patent infringement suits against Plaintiffs in California and Delaware and					
2	expressly alleged that Plaintiffs' activities are infringing.					
3	First Count: Declaratory Judgment of					
4		Noninfringement and Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,107,851				
5	13.	Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through				
6	12 and incorporate them by reference.					
7	14.	Upon information and belief, Power Integrations is the owner by assignment of U.S.				
8	Patent No. 6,107,851, entitled "Offline Converter with Integrated Softstart and Frequency Jitter."					
9	15.	Plaintiffs have not infringed and are not infringing, directly, indirectly, contributorily				
10	or otherwise,	any of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,107,851.				
11	16.	U.S. Patent No. 6,107,851 is invalid under one or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§				
12	102, 103, and/or 112.					
13	Second Count: Declaratory Judgment of					
14		Noninfringement and Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,249,876				
15	17.	Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through				
16	12 and incorporate them by reference.					
17	18.	On information and belief, Power Integrations is the owner by assignment of U.S.				
18	Patent No. 6,2	249,876, entitled "Frequency Jittering Control for Varying the Switching Frequency of				
19	a Power Supp	ly."				
20	19.	Plaintiffs have not infringed and are not infringing, directly, indirectly, contributorily				
21	or otherwise, any of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,249,876.					
22	20.	U.S. Patent No. 6,249,876 is invalid under one or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§				
23	102, 103, and	/or 112.				
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

udgment of . Patent No. 6,107,851

- gations set forth in paragraphs 1 through
- ions is the owner by assignment of U.S. grated Softstart and Frequency Jitter."
- inging, directly, indirectly, contributorily, 1.
- ne or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§

Judgment of . Patent No. 6,249,876

- gations set forth in paragraphs 1 through
- ns is the owner by assignment of U.S. for Varying the Switching Frequency of
- inging, directly, indirectly, contributorily, 6.
- ne or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§

1 Third Count: Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement and Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 5,313,381 2 3 21. Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 4 12 and incorporate them by reference. On information and belief, Power Integrations is the owner by assignment of U.S. 5 22. 6 Patent No. 5,313,381, entitled "Three-Terminal Switched Mode Power Supply Integrated Circuit." 7 23. Plaintiffs have not infringed and are not infringing, directly, indirectly, contributorily, 8 or otherwise, any of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,313,381. 9 24. Plaintiffs cannot be liable for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,313,381 because the 10 claims are invalid under one or more provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112. 11 12 Prayers for Relief 13 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court: 14 A. declare that Plaintiffs have not infringed and are not infringing any of the claims of 15 U.S. Patent Nos. 6,107,851, 6,249,876, and 5,313,381; 16 B. declare that the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,107,851, 6,249,876, and 5,313,381 are 17 invalid; 18 C. declare this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiffs its costs, 19 disbursements, and attorney fees in connection with this action; and 20 D. award Plaintiffs such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper. 21 22 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 23 Plaintiffs request a trial by jury on all matters appropriately tried to a jury. 24 25 26 27

28

Case3:08-cv-00372-MMC Document1 Filed01/18/08 Page6 of 7

1	Dated:	January 18, 2008	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP
2 3			By: Erik Pulners/PFan
4			By: Erik R. Puknys (CAB #190926) Stanford Research Park
5			3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California, 94304-1203
6			Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666
7			Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	<u> </u>		BCD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, and SHANGHAI SIM-BCD SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING CO. LTD.
9			MANOFACTORING CO. LTD.
10			
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

1 CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS 2 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-16, the undersigned certifies that as of this date, and to the best of 3 his knowledge, there is no such interest to report. 4 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, 5 Dated: January 18, 2008 **GARRETT & DUNNER LLP** 6 7 By: Erik Puknys (CAB #190926) Stanford Research Park 8 3300 Hillview Avenue 9 Palo Alto, California 94304-1203 Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666 10 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BCD SÉMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, and SHANGHAI SIM-BCD SEMICONDUCTOR 12 MANUFACTURING CO. LTD. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28