	Case 2:08-cv-02595-GEB-DAD D	ocument 1	Filed 10/31/08	Page 1 of 6	
1 2 3 4 5	Daniel Rapaport (Bar No. 67217) drapaport@wendel.com Thiele R. Dunaway (Bar No. 130953) rdunaway@wendel.com WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEA 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, California 94607-4036 Telephone: (510) 834-6600 Fax: (510) 834-1928	AN LLP			
6 7 8 9	Of Counsel: David W. Axelrod (OR Bar No. 75023 Paul H. Beattie (WA Bar No. 30277) SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYA 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3010 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 622-1711 Fax: (206) 292-0460				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff WARN INDUSTRIES, INC.				
12					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15					
16	WARN INDUSTRIES, INC., an Orego corporation,	on Cas	se No.		
17	Plaintiff,		MPLAINT FOR FRINGEMENT	PATENT	
18	VS.		MAND FOR JU	RY TRIAL	
19	CHAMPION POWER EQUIPMENT,				
20	INC., a California corporation,				
21	Defendant.				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
015857.0001\1069452.1	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT JURY TRIAL REQUESTED				

Case 2:08-cv-02595-GEB-DAD Document 1 Filed 10/31/08 Page 2 of 6

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Warn Industries, Inc. ("Warn") brings this legal action against Defendant Champion Power Equipment, Inc. ("Champion") and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the United States Patent Laws, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281-85. Warn seeks damages (including lost profits), injunctive relief, costs and attorneys' fees, and any other available relief as a result of Champion's past, present, and future infringement of U.S. Reissue Patent No. Re 36,216 ("the '216 Patent"). A true and correct copy of the '216 Patent is attached as **Exhibit A** to this Complaint.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Warn is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Oregon at 12900 S.E. Capps Road, Clackamas, Oregon 97015. Warn designs, manufactures, and markets a variety of equipment and accessories for industrial and off-road use. Among other activities, Warn is in the business of designing, manufacturing, and selling winches (both recreational and industrial) and winch-related products.
- 3. Based on Champion's website, reports by Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., and other sources, Warn is informed, believes, and on that basis alleges that Champion is a California corporation formed in 2003, and that Champion's principal place of business is 10006 Santa Fe Springs Road, Santa Fe Springs, California, 90670. According to its website at http://championpowerequipment.com/home.php, Champion is "a US owned and operated company with [its] manufacturing facilities in the Zehjiang province of China." Champion manufactures and sells "portable generators, transfer pumps, pressure washers and winches."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Warn's patent infringement cause of action against Champion arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281-85. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). The Court has personal jurisdiction over Champion, because Champion has its primary place of business, and resides, in California. The Court also has personal jurisdiction over

1

6

7

16

17

13

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

Champion, because Champion has sufficient business activities in California to be deemed present throughout the state for purposes of general jurisdiction, because Champion resides in the state, and because this case specifically involves one or more types of accused products that Champion has made, used, sold, or offered to sell in California, thus establishing specific jurisdiction.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) & (c) and 1400(b). Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c), a defendant corporation is deemed to reside "in any judicial district in which it is subject to personal jurisdiction." Venue is proper in this Court, since Champion sells and offers to sell winches that infringe one or more claims of the '216 Patent within this judicial district and is thus subject to personal jurisdiction here.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

6. On information and belief, a substantial portion of the events that give rise to Warn's claim for patent infringement have occurred in Sacramento County, California, and, thus, this action should be assigned to the Sacramento division of this judicial district.

CLAIM 1: PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 7. Warn incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-6 as if fully set forth here.
- 8. On June 1, 1999, the United State Patent and Trademark Office granted a broadening reissue of U.S. Patent No. 5,261,646, reissuing it as Re 36,216. The '216 Patent, entitled "Winch Having Automatic Brake," issued to inventor Thomas M. Telford as sole inventor. Mr. Telford, however, assigned all right, title, and interest in the '216 Patent to Warn. Warn continues to be the sole owner of all right, title, and interest in the '216 Patent.
- 9. Warn has placed the statutory notice provided by 35 U.S.C. § 287 on Winches sold by Warn that are covered by the '216 Patent. Additionally, in October of 2008, Warn sent a letter to Champion's President, Dennis Trine, informing him, and thus Champion, that Champion's Model 8000 winch, and other winches with the same design, infringe the '216 Patent.
- **10.** Champion has infringed and is still infringing claims of the '216 Patent, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, by doing one or more of the following: making, using, selling, or offering to sell within the United States, or importing into the United States

Case 2:08-cv-02595-GEB-DAD Document 1 Filed 10/31/08 Page 4 of 6

winches, including the Champion Model 8000, that infringe one or more claims of the '216 Patent. On information and belief, Champion has also induced or contributed to infringement of the '216 Patent by other persons or entities and has imported and/or exported components of infringing winches, intending those components to be combined, and knowing that those components are especially made or adapted for use in an infringing winch.

- 11. By reason of Champion's infringing acts as alleged in this Complaint and otherwise, Warn has been damaged by Champion's infringement of the '216 Patent through lost sales, lost profits, price erosion, loss of market share, lost royalties, and other damages or measures of damages, and Champion has and will enjoy profits to which it is otherwise not entitled and for which Warn is entitled to relief at law.
- 12. Champion has had actual knowledge that it is infringing the '216 Patent since no later than October 2008, when it received a letter from Warn explicitly notifying Champion of its ongoing infringement of the '216 Patent. Through Warn's compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287, Champion also received constructive notice of its infringement of the '216 Patent. Despite knowing of its infringement, Champion continues to infringe. Champion's continued infringement of the '216 Patent thus has been and continues to be willful. This case is therefore exceptional, entitling Warn to costs and attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. Warn is also entitled to a trebling of damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- will continue to suffer such irreparable harm unless Champion is enjoined from continuing to infringe the '216 Patent. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation that could afford Warn full and adequate relief for such continuing acts and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required to attempt to achieve such relief. Warn's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate Warn for the injuries threatened. Champion is entitled to injunctive relief, both preliminary and permanent, to end Champion's ongoing infringement, in addition to any damages to which Warn is entitled.

28 ///

///

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

Case 2:08-cv-02595-GEB-DAD	Document 1	Filed 10/31/08	Page 5 of 6
----------------------------	------------	----------------	-------------

1		PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
2	WHI	EREFORE, Warn prays for the following relief:			
3	A.	A judgment or order declaring that Champion has infringed the '216 Patent;			
4	В.	A judgment or order declaring that Champion's infringement of the '216 Patent has			
5	been willful and that this case is "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285;				
6	С.	A preliminary and/or permanent injunction prohibiting Champion and its			
7	subsidiaries,	affiliates, parents, successors, assigns, officers, employees, attorneys, agents, and all			
8	other persons acting with Champion or on its behalf from continuing to infringe the '216 Patent;				
9	D.	A judgment, order, or award of damages sufficient to compensate Warn for			
10	Champion's	infringement of the '216 Patent, based on lost sales, lost profits, price erosion, loss of			
11	market share, or any other applicable theory, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty,				
12	together with	n prejudgment interest;			
13	E.	An accounting to determine information relevant to establishing Warn's damages,			
14	including the	number of infringing sales, the sales price, the number of years that Champion has			
15	been infringi	ng, the profit on infringing sales, and other such information;			
16	F.	An order trebling the damage award under 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with			
17	prejudgment	interest;			
18	G.	An award of costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and			
19	Н.	All other legal and equitable relief this Court deems to be just and appropriate.			
20	Dated: Octo	oer 31, 2008 WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP			
21		By: s/Daniel Rapaport			
22		Daniel Rapaport			
23		Of Counsel: David W. Axelrod (OR Bar No. 75023)			
24		Paul H. Beattie (WA Bar No. 30277) SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.			
25		1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3010 Seattle, WA 98101			
26		Telephone: (206) 622-1711 Fax: (206) 292-0460			
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff			
28		WARN INDUSTRIES, INC.			
	COMPLAINT EC	AD DATENT INEDINGEMENT			

 $COMPLAINT\ FOR\ PATENT\ INFRINGEMENT\\ JURY\ TRIAL\ REQUESTED$

- 5 -

015857.0001\1069452.1

Case 2:08-cv-02595-GEB-DAD Document 1 Filed 10/31/08 Page 6 of 6 1 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 2 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Warn demands a trial by 3 jury of all issues so triable. 4 5 Dated: October 31, 2008 WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP 6 By: s/Daniel Rapaport Daniel Rapaport 7 Of Counsel: 8 David W. Axelrod (OR Bar No. 75023) Paul H. Beattie (WA Bar No. 30277) 9 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3010 10 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 622-1711 11 Fax: (206) 292-0460 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff WARN INDUSTRIES, INC. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28