	Case 2:10-cv-01166-GMN-RJJ	Document 1	Filed 07/15/10	Page 1 of 12	
1 2	Michael J. McCue (Nevada Bar #6055) Jonathan W. Fountain (Nevada Bar #10351) LEWIS AND ROCA LLP				
3	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169				
4	(702) 949-8200 (phone)				
5	(702) 949-8398 (facsimile) mmccue@lrlaw.com				
6	jfountain@lrlaw.com				
7	Of Counsel:	1	1 (71 1)		
8	Peter B. Bensinger, Jr. ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed) Paul J. Skiermont ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed) Adam K. Mortara ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed) Sundeep K. Addy ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed)				
9					
10	Matthew R. Ford ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed) BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP 54 West Hubbard Street Chicago, Illinois 60654 (312) 494-4400 (phone) (312) 494-4440 (facsimile) peter.bensinger@bartlit-beck.com paul.skiermont@bartlit-beck.com				
11					
12					
13					
14	adam.mortara@bartlit-beck.com				
15	rob.addy@bartlit-beck.com matthew.ford@bartlit-beck.com				
16	Attornaya for Plaintiffa Payor Soha	uina Dhauma 10	T and Daney		
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Bayer Schering Pharma AG and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.				
18					
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
20		DISTRICTO	S NEVADA		
21	BAYER SCHERING PHARMA A BAYER HEALTHCARE	.G &			
22	PHARMACEUTICALS INC.				
23	Plaintiffs,				
24	v.	C	OMPLAINT		
25		Л	URY TRIAL		
	LUPIN LTD. & LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.				
26	Defendants.				
27					
28					

Plaintiffs Bayer Schering Pharma AG and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. (collectively "Bayer") bring this Complaint for patent infringement against Defendants Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (collectively "Lupin") and allege as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff Bayer Schering Pharma AG ("Bayer Schering"), formerly known as Schering AG, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany, having a principal place of business in Müllerstrasse 178, 13353 Berlin, Germany.
- 2. Plaintiff Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("Bayer HealthCare"), formerly known as Berlex, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 6 West Belt, Wayne, New Jersey 07470.
- 3. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. is an Indian corporation having a place of business at B/4 Laxmi Towers, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (A), Mumbai 400 051, India, and having a registered office at 159 CST Road, Kalina, Santacruz (E), Mumbai 400 098, India. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. is in the business of, among other things, manufacturing and selling generic copies of branded pharmaceutical products through various operating subsidiaries, including Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
- 4. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, having a place of business at Harborplace Tower, 111 South Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is in the business of, among other things, manufacturing and selling generic copies of branded pharmaceutical products for the U.S. market. Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary and alter ego of Lupin Ltd.
- 5. On information and belief and consistent with their practice with respect to other generic products, following any FDA approval of an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA"), Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. will act in concert to distribute and sell Lupin's oral-contraceptive products for ANDA No. 20-1661 throughout the United States, including within Nevada. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. know and intend that Lupin's ANDA product for ANDA No. 20-1661 will be distributed and sold

### 

in the United States, including within Nevada.

6. On information and belief, and consistent with their practice with respect to other generic products, Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. acted in concert to prepare and submit ANDA No. 20-1661. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. actively participated in the preparation of ANDA No. 20-1661 and both entities submitted these ANDAs to the FDA. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. acted as the agent of Lupin Ltd. in submitting ANDA No. 20-1661 to the FDA.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 7. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States of America. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 8. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Nevada because, among other things, Lupin Ltd., itself and through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., has purposely availed itself of the benefits and protections of Nevada's laws such that it should reasonably anticipate being haled into court here. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd., itself and through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. markets and sells branded and generic drugs throughout the United States and in particular within the State of Nevada, and therefore Lupin Ltd. transacts business within the State of Nevada such that it has engaged in systematic and continuous business contacts within the State of Nevada. In addition, Lupin Ltd. is subject to personal jurisdiction in Nevada because, on information and belief, it controls and dominates Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and therefore the activities of Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in this jurisdiction are attributed to Lupin Ltd.
- 9. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) markets its branded and generic drug products to residents of the State of Nevada through its website.
- 10. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) offers its branded and generic drug products for sale to

residents of the State of Nevada on third-party websites that Nevada residents can use to purchase Lupin products for shipment to and within the State of Nevada.

- 11. On information and belief, residents of the State of Nevada purchase branded and generic drug products from Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) in the State of Nevada.
- 12. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) receives revenue from the sales and marketing of its branded and generic drug products in the State of Nevada.
- 13. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) uses sales representatives in the State of Nevada to promote the sales of Lupin's branded and generic drugs throughout the State of Nevada.
- 14. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) has attended trade shows in the State of Nevada for the purpose of promoting and selling Lupin's branded and generic drug products.
- 15. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) has several authorized distributors in the State of Nevada to distribute Lupin's branded and generic drug products throughout the State of Nevada.
- 16. On information and belief, Lupin Ltd. (itself or through its wholly-owned subsidiary Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) plans to market and sell the product that is the subject of Lupin's ANDA No. 20-1661, if approved, in the State of Nevada as an alternative to Bayer's YAZ® product currently being sold in the State of Nevada.
- 17. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Nevada because, among other things, it has purposely availed itself of the benefits and protections of Nevada's laws such that it should reasonably anticipate being haled into court here. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. markets and sells branded and generic drugs throughout the United States and in particular within the State of Nevada, and therefore Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. transacts business within the State of Nevada such that it has engaged in systematic and continuous business contacts within the State of

1 Nevada.

- 18. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. markets its branded and generic drug product to residents of the State of Nevada through its website.
  - 19. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. offers its branded and generic drug product for sale to residents of the State of Nevada on third-party websites that Nevada residents can use to purchase Lupin products for shipment to and within the State of Nevada.
  - 20. On information and belief, residents of the State of Nevada purchase branded and generic drug products from Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in the State of Nevada.
  - 21. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. receives revenue from the sales and marketing of its branded and generic drug products in the State of Nevada.
  - 22. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. uses sales representatives in the State of Nevada to promote the sales of Lupin's branded and generic drugs throughout the State of Nevada.
  - 23. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. has attended trade shows in the State of Nevada for the purpose of promoting and selling Lupin's branded and generic drug products.
  - 24. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. has several authorized distributors in the State of Nevada to distribute Lupin's branded and generic drug products throughout the State of Nevada.
  - 25. On information and belief, Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. plans to market and sell the product that is the subject of ANDA No. 20-1661, if approved, in the State of Nevada as an alternative to Bayer's YAZ® product currently being sold in the State of Nevada.
    - 26. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and § 1400(b).

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

# 

#### BACKGROUND

- 27. Bayer HealthCare is the holder of approved New Drug Application ("NDA") No. 21-676 for YAZ® tablets, which contain as active ingredients micronized drospirenone and micronized 17α-ethinylestradiol. The United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") has approved YAZ® tablets for the prevention of pregnancy in women and for the treatment of moderate acne and the symptoms of premenstrual dysphoric disorder in women who elect to use an oral contraceptive.
- 28. Bayer HealthCare sells YAZ® tablets in the United States as a 28-day oral contraceptive regimen that contains 24 tablets comprising 3 mg of micronized drospirenone and 0.02 mg of micronized  $17\alpha$ -ethinylestradiol plus 4 placebo tablets.
- 29. On information and belief, Lupin submitted to the FDA ANDA No. 20-1661 under the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) seeking approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of a generic version of Bayer's YAZ® tablets.
- 30. On information and belief, the composition of the product that is the subject of Lupin's ANDA contains 3 mg of drospirenone and 0.02 mg of ethinylestradiol in tablet form for oral contraception in a human female (hereinafter "Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product").
- 31. On information and belief, Lupin's ANDA seeks approval of a 28-day oral contraceptive regimen that contains 24 tablets comprising 3 mg of drospirenone and 0.02 mg  $17\alpha$ -ethinylestradiol plus 4 placebo tablets.
- 32. On information and belief, on June 2, 2010, Lupin sent a Notice Letter to Plaintiffs Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare, purporting to comply with the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(B) and the FDA regulations relating thereto.

#### PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 33. The three patents-in-suit are United States Reissue Patent Nos. 37,564, 37,838, and 38,253.
- 34. United States Reissue Patent No. 37,564 ("the '564 reissue patent") issued on February 26, 2002. Inventors Jürgen Spona, Bernd Düsterberg, and Frank Lüdicke filed their

application for this patent on February 15, 2000. Bayer Schering is the current owner of the '564 reissue patent. Bayer attaches a true and correct copy of the '564 reissue patent as Exhibit 1.

- 35. United States Reissue Patent No. 37,838 ("the '838 reissue patent") issued on September 10, 2002. Inventors Jürgen Spona, Bernd Düsterberg, and Frank Lüdicke filed their application for this patent on February 15, 2000. Bayer Schering is the current owner of the '838 reissue patent. Bayer attaches a true and correct copy of the '838 reissue patent as Exhibit 2.
- 36. United States Reissue Patent No. 38,253 ("the '253 reissue patent") issued on September 16, 2003. Inventors Jürgen Spona, Bernd Düsterberg, and Frank Lüdicke filed their application for this patent on February 25, 2002. Bayer Schering is the current owner of the '253 reissue patent. Bayer attaches a true and correct copy of the '253 reissue patent as Exhibit 3.

## COUNT ONE: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. REISSUE PATENT NO. 37,564

- 37. Bayer incorporates paragraphs 1-36 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. On information and belief, Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product infringes one or more claims of the '564 reissue patent.
- 39. The '564 reissue patent covers Bayer HealthCare's YAZ® tablets, and Bayer has listed the '564 reissue patent for YAZ® in the FDA *Approved Drug Products and Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* ("the Orange Book").
- 40. On information and belief, Lupin submitted ANDA No. 20-1661 to the FDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product before the expiration of the '564 reissue patent.
- 41. On information and belief, Lupin made and included in ANDA No. 20-1661 a certification under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that, in its opinion, the '564 reissue patent is invalid or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product.
- 42. By filing ANDA No. 20-1661 under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or

importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product before the expiration of the '564 reissue patent, Lupin has committed an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2). Further, on information and belief, the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product will also infringe one or more claims of the '564 reissue patent.

- 43. Plaintiffs Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare are entitled to the relief provided by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4), including an Order of this Court that the effective date of any approval relating to ANDA No. 20-1661 shall be a date which is not earlier than June 30, 2014, the current expiration date of the '564 reissue patent, or any later date of exclusivity to which Bayer becomes entitled. Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare are entitled to an award of damages and treble damages for any commercial sale or use of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product, and any act committed by Lupin with respect to the subject matter claimed in the '564 reissue patent that is not within the limited exclusions of 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(1).
- 44. On information and belief, when Lupin filed ANDA No. 20-1661, it was aware of the '564 reissue patent and was aware that the filing of ANDA No. 20-1661 with the request for its approval prior to the expiration of the '564 reissue patent constituted an act of infringement of the '564 reissue patent.

### COUNT TWO: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. REISSUE PATENT NO. 37,838

- 45. Bayer incorporates paragraphs 1-44 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. On information and belief, Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product infringes one or more claims of the '838 reissue patent.
- 47. The '838 reissue patent covers Bayer HealthCare's YAZ® tablets, and Bayer has listed the '838 reissue patent for YAZ® in the FDA *Approved Drug Products and Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* ("the Orange Book").
- 48. On information and belief, Lupin submitted ANDA No. 20-1661 to the FDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product before the expiration of the '838 reissue patent.

- 49. On information and belief, Lupin made and included in ANDA No. 20-1661 a certification under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that, in its opinion, the '838 reissue patent is invalid or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product.
- 50. By filing ANDA No. 20-1661 under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product before the expiration of the '838 reissue patent, Lupin has committed an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2). Further, on information and belief, the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product will also infringe one or more claims of the '838 reissue patent.
- 51. Plaintiffs Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare are entitled to the relief provided by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4), including an Order of this Court that the effective date of any approval relating to ANDA No. 20-1661 shall be a date which is not earlier than June 30, 2014, the current expiration date of the '838 reissue patent, or any later date of exclusivity to which Bayer becomes entitled. Further, Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare are entitled to an award of damages and treble damages for any commercial sale or use of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product, and any act committed by Lupin with respect to the subject matter claimed in the '838 reissue patent that is not within the limited exclusions of 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(1).
- 52. On information and belief, when Lupin filed ANDA No. 20-1661, it was aware of the '838 reissue patent and was aware that the filing of ANDA No. 20-1661 with the request for its approval prior to the expiration of the '838 reissue patent constituted an act of infringement of the '838 reissue patent.

### COUNT THREE: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. REISSUE PATENT NO. 38,253

- 53. Bayer incorporates paragraphs 1-52 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. On information and belief, Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product infringes one or more claims of the '253 reissue patent.
  - 55. The '253 reissue patent covers Bayer HealthCare's YAZ® tablets, and Bayer has

listed the '253 reissue patent for YAZ® in the FDA *Approved Drug Products and Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* ("the Orange Book").

- 56. On information and belief, Lupin submitted ANDA No. 20-1661 to the FDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product before the expiration of the '253 reissue patent.
- 57. On information and belief, Lupin made and included in ANDA No. 20-1661 a certification under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that, in its opinion, the '253 reissue patent is invalid or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product.
- 58. By filing ANDA No. 20-1661 under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product before the expiration of the '253 reissue patent, Lupin has committed an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2). Further, on information and belief, the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or importation of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product will also infringe one or more claims of the '253 reissue patent.
- 59. Plaintiffs Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare are entitled to the relief provided by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4), including an Order of this Court that the effective date of any approval relating to ANDA No. 20-1661 shall be a date which is not earlier than June 30, 2014, the current expiration date of the '253 reissue patent, or any later date of exclusivity to which Bayer becomes entitled. Further, Bayer Schering and Bayer HealthCare are entitled to an award of damages and treble damages for any commercial sale or use of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product, and any act committed by Lupin with respect to the subject matter claimed in the '253 reissue patent that is not within the limited exclusions of 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(1).
- 60. On information and belief, when Lupin filed ANDA No. 20-1661, it was aware of the '253 reissue patent and was aware that the filing of its ANDA with the request for its approval prior to the expiration of the '253 reissue patent constituted an act of infringement of the '253 reissue patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 2 **WHEREFORE** Bayer respectfully requests the following relief: 3 A. Judgment that Lupin has infringed one or more claims of the '564 reissue patent, 4 the '838 reissue patent, and the '253 reissue patent by filing ANDA No. 20-1661 relating to 5 Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product containing drospirenone and ethinylestradiol; 6 В. A permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Lupin and its officers, agents, 7 attorneys and employees, and those acting in privity or concert with it, from engaging in the 8 commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States or its territories, or 9 importation into the United States or its territories, of Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product; 10 C. An order that the effective date of any approval of Lupin's ANDA No. 20-1661 11 relating to Lupin's YAZ® ANDA product containing drospirenone and ethinylestradiol be a date 12 which is not earlier than the expiration date of the last to expire of the '564 reissue patent, the 13 '838 reissue patent, or the '253 reissue patent, or any later date of exclusivity to which Bayer 14 becomes entitled; 15 D. Damages and treble damages from Lupin for any commercial activity constituting 16 infringement of the '564 reissue patent, the '838 reissue patent, or the '253 reissue patent; and 17 E. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 18 19 JURY DEMAND 20 Bayer hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

	Case 2:10-cv-01166-GMN-RJJ	Document 1 Filed 07/15/10 Page 12 of 12
1	Datade July 15, 2010	Dognostfully submitted
2	Dated: July 15, 2010	Respectfully submitted,
3		/s/
<i>3</i>		Michael J. McCue (Nevada Bar #6055) Jonathan W. Fountain (Nevada Bar #10351)
		LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
5		Las Vegas, NV 89109
6		mmccue@lrlaw.com jfountain@lrlaw.com
7		Of Counsel:
8		Peter B. Bensinger, Jr. Paul J. Skiermont
9		Adam K. Mortara
10		Sundeep K. Addy Matthew R. Ford
11		(pro hac vice applications to be filed) BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR
12		& SCOTT LLP
13		54 West Hubbard Street Chicago, IL 60654
14		peter.bensinger@bartlit-beck.com
15		paul.skiermont@bartlit-beck.com adam.mortara@bartlit-beck.com
16		rob.addy@bartlit-beck.com matthew.ford@bartlit-beck.com
17		
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Bayer Schering Pharma AG and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals
19		Inc.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		11