

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

**FILED**

AUG 28 2009

At  
STEPHEN R. LUDWIG, Clerk  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

_____	)
ROSCO, INC.,	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
v.	)
	)
VELVAC INC.,	)
	)
Defendant.	)
_____	)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09cv395

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT**

Rosco, Inc. ("Rosco" or "Plaintiff"), by its attorneys, for its complaint against Velvac Inc. ("Velvac" or "Defendant"), alleges as follows. The allegations and other factual contentions herein have evidentiary support or are likely to have evidentiary support after an opportunity for further discovery.

**THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Rosco is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 90-21 144th Place, Jamaica, NY 11435.
2. Upon information and belief, defendant Velvac is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin, with its principal place of business at 2405 S. Calhoun Road, New Berlin, WI 53151-2709.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code.

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338(a).

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).

### **BACKGROUND**

6. Rosco is a leader in the design, development and sale of mirrors, visors and other visual safety systems to the worldwide commercial vehicle market.

7. United States Letters Patent No. 7,210,800 (“the ‘800 patent”), entitled “Mirror Mounting Assembly,” was duly and legally issued on May 1, 2007 to inventor Benjamin Englander. A copy of the ‘800 patent is annexed hereto as Exhibit 1.

8. United States Letters Patent No. 7,290,891 (“the ‘891 patent”), entitled “Dual Mirror Mounting Assembly,” was duly and legally issued on November 6, 2007 to inventor Benjamin Englander. A copy of the ‘891 patent is annexed hereto as Exhibit 2.

### **COUNT I -- INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘800 PATENT**

9. Rosco realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-8 above as if fully set forth herein.

10. Defendant Velvac has been infringing and continues to infringe the ‘800 patent, including without limitation, claim 1 thereof, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by manufacturing, using, selling and/or offering for sale products, including at least the Wide Body Mirror Vision System and/or the 2020 SS Mirror.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘800 patent willfully and wantonly, with knowledge of the ‘800 patent and without any reasonable basis for believing that Defendant does not infringe.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the '800 patent will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

13. Rosco has suffered damages and irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's infringement of the '800 patent, and will continue to be damaged unless Defendant is enjoined from future infringing sales or activities.

**COUNT II -- INFRINGEMENT OF THE '891 PATENT**

14. Rosco realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-8 above as if fully set forth herein.

15. Defendant Velvac has been infringing and continues to infringe the '891 patent, including without limitation, claim 1 thereof, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by manufacturing, using, selling and/or offering for sale products, including at least the Wide Body Mirror Vision System and/or the 2020 SS Mirror.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '891 patent willfully and wantonly, with knowledge of the '891 patent and without any reasonable basis for believing that Defendant does not infringe.

17. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the '891 patent will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

18. Rosco has suffered damages and irreparable harm as a result of Defendant's infringement of the '891 patent, and will continue to be damaged unless Defendant is enjoined from future infringing sales or activities.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Rosco respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment:


A. Adjudging that Defendant has infringed the '800 patent;

- B. Adjudging that Defendant has infringed the '891 patent;
  - C. Adjudging such infringement to be willful;
  - D. Permanently enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants, employees, affiliates and all others in active concert or participation with the foregoing from making, using, selling, offering to sell and importing into the United States any product that infringes, or induces infringement of the '800 or '891 patents;
  - E. Awarding monetary damages, including pre- and post-judgment interest, adequate to compensate Rosco for Defendant's infringement;
  - F. Awarding enhanced damages up to three times the amount found or assessed, and attorneys' fees to Rosco pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285; and
- Ordering any such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

**DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

Rosco respectfully demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

Dated: August 27, 2009



*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
ROSCO, INC.

David B. Bassett  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP  
399 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 230-8800