

2. Defendant Charter Communications, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware with its principal place of business at 12405 Powerscout Dr., Ste. 100, St. Louis, MO 63131. Charter Communications, Inc. is a national provider of cable television and internet products and services, and regularly conducts and transacts business in Texas and within this judicial district itself.

3. Defendant Charter Communications Operating, LLC is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware with its principal place of business at 12405 Powerscout Dr., Ste. 100, St. Louis, MO 63131. Charter Communications Operating, LLC is a subsidiary of Defendant Charter Communications, Inc. and a national provider of cable television and internet products and services, and regularly conducts and transacts business in Texas and within this judicial district itself.

4. Defendant Coxcom, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware with its principal place of business at 1400 Lake Hearn Dr., Atlanta, GA 30319. Coxcom, Inc. is a national provider of cable television and internet products and services, and regularly conducts and transacts business in Texas and within this judicial district itself.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement, arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over all named Defendants. Defendants have conducted and do conduct business within the State of Texas. Defendants, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, offer for sale, sell,

advertise, and market products and services that infringe the patents-in-suit as described more specifically below. Therefore, because Defendants have committed acts of patent infringement in this district, or are otherwise present or doing business in this district, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b), (c), and 1400(b).

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,008,903

8. Rembrandt refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-7 above.

9. Rembrandt is the owner of all right, title and interest, including the right to sue, enforce and recover damages for all infringements, in U.S. Patent No. 5,008,903, entitled “Adaptive Transmit Pre-Emphasis for Digital Modem Computed from Noise Spectrum” (“the ‘903 patent”). A true copy of the ‘903 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. The ‘903 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 16, 1991, after full and fair examination.

11. Defendants have directly or indirectly infringed, and are continuing to directly or indirectly infringe, the ‘903 patent by practicing or causing others to practice, by inducement and contributorily, the inventions claimed in the ‘903 patent, in this district or otherwise within the United States. For example, Defendants have infringed and continues to infringe the ‘903 patent by providing high-speed cable modem internet products and services to subscribers.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the ‘903 patent unless enjoined by this Court. Upon information and belief, such infringement has

been, and will continue to be, willful, making this an exceptional case and entitling Rembrandt to increased damages and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,710,761

13. Rembrandt refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-7 above.

14. Rembrandt is the owner of all right, title and interest, including the right to sue, enforce and recover damages for all infringements, in U.S. Patent No. 5,710,761, entitled "Error Control Negotiation Based on Modulation" ("the '761 patent"). A true copy of the '761 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

15. The '761 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 20, 1998, after full and fair examination.

16. Defendants have directly or indirectly infringed, and are continuing to directly or indirectly infringe, the '761 patent by practicing or causing others to practice, by inducement and contributorily, the inventions claimed in the '761 patent, in this district or otherwise within the United States. For example, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '761 patent by providing high-speed cable modem internet products and services to subscribers.

17. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the '761 patent unless enjoined by this Court. Upon information and belief, such infringement has been, and will continue to be, willful, making this an exceptional case and entitling Rembrandt to increased damages and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,778,234

18. Rembrandt refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-7 above.

19. Rembrandt is the owner of all right, title and interest, including the right to sue, enforce and recover damages for all infringements, in U.S. Patent No. 5,778,234, entitled "Method for Downloading Programs" ("the '234 patent."). A true copy of the '234 patent is attached as Exhibit C.

20. The '234 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 7, 1998, after full and fair examination.

21. Defendants have directly or indirectly infringed, and are continuing to directly or indirectly infringe, the '234 patent by practicing or causing others to practice, by inducement and contributorily, the inventions claimed in the '234 patent, in this district or otherwise within the United States. For example, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '234 patent by providing high-speed cable modem internet products and services to subscribers.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the '234 patent unless enjoined by this Court. Upon information and belief, such infringement has been, and will continue to be, willful, making this an exceptional case and entitling Rembrandt to increased damages and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,131,159

23. Rembrandt refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-7 above.

24. Rembrandt is the owner of all right, title and interest, including the right to sue, enforce and recover damages for all infringements, in U.S. Patent No. 6,131,159, entitled “System for Downloading Programs” (“the ‘159 patent.”). A true copy of the ‘159 patent is attached as Exhibit D.

25. The ‘159 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 10, 2000, after full and fair examination.

26. Defendants have directly or indirectly infringed, and are continuing to directly or indirectly infringe, the ‘159 patent by practicing or causing others to practice, by inducement and contributorily, the inventions claimed in the ‘159 patent, in this district or otherwise within the United States. For example, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the ‘159 patent by providing high-speed cable modem internet products and services to subscribers.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the ‘159 patent unless enjoined by this Court. Upon information and belief, such infringement has been, and will continue to be, willful, making this an exceptional case and entitling Rembrandt to increased damages and reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,950,444

28. Rembrandt refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-7 above.

29. Rembrandt is the owner of all right, title and interest, including the right to sue, enforce and recover damages for all infringements, in U.S. Patent No. 6,950,444, entitled “System and Method for a Robust Preamble and Transmission Delimiting in a

Switched-Carrier Transceiver” (“the ‘444 patent”). A true copy of the ‘444 patent is attached as Exhibit E.

30. The ‘444 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 27, 2005, after full and fair examination.

31. Defendants have directly or indirectly infringed, and are continuing to directly or indirectly infringe, the ‘444 patent by practicing or causing others to practice, by inducement and contributorily, the inventions claimed in the ‘444 patent, in this district or otherwise within the United States. For example, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the ‘444 patent by providing high-speed cable modem internet products and services to subscribers.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the ‘444 patent unless enjoined by this Court. Upon information and belief, such infringement has been, and will continue to be, willful, making this an exceptional case and entitling Rembrandt to increased damages and reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Rembrandt prays that it have judgment against Defendants for the following:

- (1) An order that Defendants have infringed the patents-in-suit;
- (2) A permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendants and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association therewith, from making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing into the

United States any product, or using, offering to sell, or selling any service, which falls within the scope of any claim of the patents-in-suit;

- (3) An award of damages;
 - (4) An award of increased damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
 - (5) An award of all costs of this action, including attorneys' fees and interest;
- and

(6) Such other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which Rembrandt is justly entitled.

JURY DEMAND

Rembrandt hereby demands a jury trial on all issues appropriately triable by a jury.

Dated: December 1, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Max L. Tribble, Jr. by permission E. L. DeRieux

Max L. Tribble, Jr., Lead Attorney

State Bar No. 20213950

Email: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com

Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

Attorneys for Rembrandt Technologies, LP

OF COUNSEL:

Edgar Sargent

WA State Bar No. 28283

Email: esargent@susmangodfrey.com

Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800

Seattle, WA 98101-3000

Telephone: (206) 516-3880

Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

Brooke A.M. Taylor

WA State Bar No. 33190

Email: btaylor@susmangodfrey.com

Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800

Seattle, WA 98101-3000

Telephone: (206) 516-3880

Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

Tibor L. Nagy

Texas Bar 24041562

Email: tnagy@susmangodfrey.com

Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

590 Madison Ave., 8th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Main Telephone: (212) 336-8330

Main Fax: (212) 336-8340

Robert M. Parker

State Bar No. 15498000

Robert Christopher Bunt

State Bar No. 00787165

Charles Ainsworth

State Bar No. 00783521

Parker Bunt & Ainsworth

100 E Ferguson, Suite 1114

Tyler, TX 75702

903-533-9288

Fax: 903-533-9687

Email: rmparker@pbatyler.com

Email: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

Email: charley@pbatyler.com

S. Calvin Capshaw

State Bar No. 03783900

Elizabeth L. DeRieux

State Bar No. 05770585

Andrew W. Spangler

State Bar No. 24041960

Brown McCarroll

1127 Judson Road, Suite 220

Longview, TX 75601

P. O. Box 3999

Longview, TX 75606
903-236-9800
Fax: 903-236-8787
Email: ccapshaw@mailbmc.com
Email: ederieux@mailbmc.com
Email: aspangler@mailbmc.com

Franklin Jones, Jr.
State Bar No. 00000055
Jones & Jones, Inc.
P. O. Drawer 1249
Marshall, TX 75671
903-938-4395
Fax: 903-938-3360
Email: maizieh@millerfirm.com