1	WILLIAM SLOAN COATS (SBN 98464) MARK R. WEINSTEIN (SBN 193043)	
2	MARK R. WEINSTEIN (SBN 193043) MARK F. LAMBERT (SBN 197410) KYLE D. CHEN (SBN 239501)	
3	WHITE & CASE LLP 3000 El Camino Real	
4	Five Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor Palo Alto, California 94306	
5	Telephone: (650) 213-0300 Facsimile: (650) 213-8158	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7	HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
11		
12	HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC.,	Case No: C 08 00882 JF (Related to C 08 00887 JF and
13	Plaintiffs,	C 08 00884 JF)
14	v.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
15	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED,	
16	PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Plaintiffs HTC Corporation ("HTC") and HTC America, Inc. ("HTC America")		
2	(collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, allege as follows:		
3	1. This is a civil action arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35		
4	U.S.C. §§101, et seq., seeking a declaratory judgment that no valid and enforceable claims of		
5	United States Patent Numbers 5,809,336 ("'336 patent"); 5,784,584 ("'584 patent"); 5,440,749		
6	("'749 patent"); 6,598,148 ("'148 patent") and/or 5,530,890 (the "'890 Patent") (collectively the		
7	"patents-in-suit") are infringed by Plaintiffs.		
8	<u>PARTIES</u>		
9	2. Plaintiff HTC is a Taiwan corporation with its principal place of business		
10	in Taoyuan, Taiwan, R.O.C.		
11	3. Plaintiff HTC America is a Texas corporation with its principal place of		
12	business in Bellevue, Washington.		
13	4. Defendant Technology Properties Ltd. ("TPL") is, on information and		
14	belief, a California corporation with its principal place of business in Cupertino, California. On		
15	information and belief, TPL is a co-owner of the patents-in-suit.		
16	5. Defendant Patriot Scientific Corporation ("Patriot") is, on information and		
17	belief, incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and maintains its principal place of		
18	business in Carlsbad, California. On information and belief, Patriot is a co-owner of the patents-		
19	in-suit.		
20	6. Defendant Alliacense Ltd. ("Alliacense") is, on information and belief, a		
21	California corporation with its principal place of business in Cupertino, California. On		
22	information and belief, Alliacense is responsible for negotiating possible licenses to the patents-		
23	in-suit with third parties, on behalf of TPL.		
24	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
25	7. The Plaintiffs file this complaint against TPL, Patriot and Alliacense		
26	(collectively "Defendants") pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the		
27	United States Code, with a specific remedy sought based upon the laws authorizing actions for		
28	declaratory judgment in the federal courts of the United States, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.		

- 2 -

27

to reach an agreement.

1	14. Based upon the above facts, there is an actual and justiciable controversy		
2	within the jurisdiction of this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.		
3	FIRST CLAIM		
4	DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS REGARDING THE '336 PATENT		
5	15. The Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in		
6	paragraphs 1 through 14 and incorporate them by reference.		
7	16. No valid and enforceable claim of the '336 patent is infringed by the		
8	Plaintiffs.		
9	SECOND CLAIM		
10	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE '584 PATENT		
11	17. The Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in		
12	paragraphs 1 through 14 and incorporate them by reference.		
13	18. No valid and enforceable claim of the '584 patent is infringed by the		
14	Plaintiffs.		
15	THIRD CLAIM		
16	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE '749 PATENT		
17	19. The Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in		
18	paragraphs 1 through 14 and incorporate them by reference.		
19	20. No valid and enforceable claim of the '749 patent is infringed by the		
20	Plaintiffs.		
21	FOURTH CLAIM		
22	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE '148 PATENT		
23	21. The Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in		
24	paragraphs 1 through 14 and incorporate them by reference.		
25	22. No valid and enforceable claim of the '148 patent is infringed by the		
26	Plaintiffs.		
27			
28			

1	FIFTH CLAIM		
2	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE '890 PATENT		
3	23. The Plaintiffs hereby restate and reallege the allegations set forth in		
4	paragraphs 1 through 14 and incorporate them by reference.		
5	24. No valid and enforceable claim of the '890 patent is infringed by the		
6	Plaintiffs.		
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
8	WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray for judgment as follows:		
9	1. Declaring that no valid and enforceable claim of the patents-in-suit is		
10	infringed by the Plaintiffs;		
11	2. Declaring that Alliacense and each of their officers, employees, agents,		
12	alter egos, attorneys, and any persons in active concert or participation with them be restrained		
13	and enjoined from further prosecuting or instituting any action against the Plaintiffs claiming that		
14	the patents-in-suit are valid, enforceable, or infringed, or from representing that the products or		
15	services of the Plaintiffs infringe the patents-in-suit;		
16	3. A judgment declaring this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and		
17	awarding the Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this case;		
18	4. Awarding the Plaintiffs such other and further relief as the Court deems		
19	just and proper.		
20			
21	Dated: July 10, 2008 WHITE & CASE LLP		
22			
23	/s/ Kyle D. Chen		
24	Kyle D. Chen Attorneys for Plaintiffs  HTC Comparison and HTC America. Inc.		
25	HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.		
26			
27			
28			