

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

Vigilos, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

ADT Security Services, Inc.;
Arrowsight, Inc.; and
Westec Intelligent Surveillance, Inc.

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Vigilos, LLC alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Vigilos, LLC (“Vigilos”) is a Texas limited liability company with a principal place of business at 719 West Front Street, Suite 102, Tyler, Texas 75702.
2. On information and belief, defendant ADT Security Services, Inc. (“ADT”) is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 1 Town Center Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33486.
3. On information and belief, defendant Arrowsight, Inc. (“Arrowsight”) is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 45 Kensico Drive, 2nd Floor, Mount Kisco, New York 10549.
4. On information and belief, defendant Westec Intelligent Surveillance, Inc. (“Westec”) is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 1234 Lakeshore Drive, Suite 600, Coppel, Texas 75019.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each of the defendants has transacted business in this district, and has committed and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district.

7. On information and belief, each of the defendants is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction under due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this judicial district.

COUNT I

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,731)

8. Vigilos is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,839,731 (the “’731 patent”), which is entitled “System and Method for Providing Data Communication in a Device Network.” The ’731 patent issued on January 4, 2005. A true and correct copy of the ’731 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

9. Each of ADT and Arrowsight has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the ’731 patent, in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling, without license or authority, remote video auditing services and systems, including the remote video auditing service and system that ADT and Arrowsight offer for sale and sell under the name

“ADT Select Vision.”¹ These services and systems use a “Central Server Architecture” that networks DVRs through a central server application, creating a remote viewing system that enables personnel to monitor their facilities over the Internet using a web browser.² By making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling such services and systems, each of ADT and Arrowsight has injured Vigilos and is thus liable to Vigilos for infringement of the ’731 patent under 35 U.S.C. §271.

10. Westec has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the ’731 patent, in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling, without license or authority, remote video monitoring services and systems, including the “Westec Customer Portal” and the “Westec Remote Client.”³ The Westec Customer Portal provides remote site video monitoring over the Internet, including site information and device information.⁴ The Westec Remote Client provides access to live and recorded video and audio at remote sites, in addition to providing remote access to digital video recorder management and configuration tools. The Westec Remote Client provides remote monitoring and management of sites from any Windows-based laptop or desktop PC with an Internet connection.⁵ By making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling such services and systems, Westec has injured Vigilos and is thus liable to Vigilos for infringement of the ’731 patent under 35 U.S.C. §271.

11. To the extent that any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, Vigilos and all predecessors in interest to the ’731 patent have complied any with such requirements.

¹See <http://www.adtselectvision.com/>.

²See http://www.adtselectvision.com/pdf/adt_services.pdf.

³See <http://www.westec.net/reporting.php>.

⁴See <http://www.westec.net/portal.php>.

⁵See http://www.westec.net/remote_client.php.

12. To the extent that facts learned in discovery show that any one of the defendants' infringement of the '731 patent is or has been willful, Vigilos reserves the right to request such a finding at the time of trial.

13. As a result of each defendant's infringement of the '731 patent, Vigilos has suffered monetary damages and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

14. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining each defendant and its agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting or in active concert therewith from infringing the '731 patent, Vigilos will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Vigilos respectfully requests that this Court enter:

1. A judgment in favor of Vigilos that each defendant has infringed, directly and/or indirectly, by way of inducing and/or contributing to the infringement of the '731 patent;

2. A permanent injunction enjoining each defendant and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the '731 patent;

3. A judgment and order requiring each defendant to pay Vigilos its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for each defendants' infringement of the '731 patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

4. A judgment and order requiring each defendant to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Vigilos, including, without limitation, pre-judgment interest;

5. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Vigilos against each defendant Vigilos' reasonable attorneys' fees; and

6. Any and all other relief to which Vigilos may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Vigilos, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: April 14, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Andrew W. Spangler
Andrew W. Spangler
State Bar No. 24041960
SPANGLER LAW P.C.
208 N. Green Street, Suite 300
Longview, Texas 75601
Telephone: 903/753-9300
Facsimile: 903/553-0403
spangler@spanglerlawpc.com

Marc A. Fenster
California Bar No. 181067
RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: 310/826-7474
Facsimile: 310/826-6991
mfenster@raklaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
VIGILOS, LLC