

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

**CONSTELLATION IP, LLC,
a Texas Limited Liability Corporation**

Plaintiff,

v.

- 1. AVIS BUDGET GROUP, INC.,**
- 2. AVIS RENT A CAR SYSTEM, LLC,**
- 3. BUDGET RENT A CAR SYSTEM, INC.,**
- 4. WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE
CORPORATION,**
- 5. STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS
WORLDWIDE, INC.,**
- 6. NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE
COMPANY,**
- 7. COMPARISONMARKET INSURANCE
AGENCY, INC.,**
- 8. ESURANCE, INC.,**
- 9. TRAVELPORT, INC.,**
- 10. ORBITZ, LLC,**
- 11. AWAY.COM, INC.,**
- 12. CHEAPTICKETS, INC.,**
- 13. LA QUINTA MANAGEMENT, LLC,**
- 14. GALILEO INTERNATIONAL, LLC,**
- 15. AMR CORP.,**
- 16. AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,**
- 17. FEDEX CORP.,**
- 18. FEDEX CORPORATE SERVICES, INC.,**
- 19. THE CHARLES SCHWAB CORP. and**
- 20. CHARLES SCHWAB & CO., INC.**

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 5:07-cv-00038-DF-CMC

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

This is an action for patent infringement in which Constellation IP, LLC makes the following allegations against Avis Budget Group, Inc., Avis Rent A Car System, LLC, Budget Rent A Car System, Inc., Wyndham Worldwide Corporation, Starwood Hotels & Resorts

Worldwide, Inc., Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, Comparisonmarket Insurance Agency, Inc., eSurance, Inc., Travelport, Inc., Orbitz, LLC, Away.com, Inc., CheapTickets, Inc., La Quinta Management, LLC, Galileo International, LLC, AMR Corp., American Airlines, Inc., FedEx Corp., FedEx Corporate Services, Inc., The Charles Schwab Corp., and Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. (collectively the “Defendants”).

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Constellation IP, LLC (“Constellation”) is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 207 C North Washington Avenue, Marshall, Texas 75670.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Avis Budget Group, Inc. (“Avis”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 6 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Avis has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Avis Rent A Car System, LLC (“Avis Rent A Car System”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 6 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Avis Rent A Car System is qualified to do business in the State of Texas and has appointed Corporation Service Company, 701 Brazos Street, Suite 1050, Austin, Texas 78701 as its agent for service of process.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Budget Rent A Car System, Inc. (“Budget Rent A Car System”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 6 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Budget Rent A Car System has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

5. On information and belief, Defendant Wyndham Worldwide Corporation (“Wyndham”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 1 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Wyndham has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

6. On information and belief, Defendant Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. (“Starwood”) is a Maryland corporation with its principal place of business located at 1111 Westchester Avenue, White Plains, New York 10604. Starwood is qualified to do business in the State of Texas and has appointed CT Corporation System, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201 as its agent for service of process.

7. On information and belief, Defendant Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company (“Nationwide”) is an Ohio corporation with its principal place of business located at 1 Nationwide Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43215-2220. Nationwide has appointed Nationwide Office of General Counsel, Intellectual Property Department, 1 Nationwide Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43215-2220 as its agent for service of process.

8. On information and belief, Defendant Comparisonmarket Insurance Agency, Inc. (“Comparisonmarket”) is an Ohio corporation with its principal place of business located at 29001 Solon Road, Suite A, Solon, Ohio 44139-3440. Comparisonmarket is qualified to do business in the State of Texas and has appointed CT Corporation System, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201 as its agent for service of process.

9. On information and belief, Defendant eSurance, Inc. (“eSurance”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 747 Front Street, 4th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-1922. eSurance is qualified to do business in the State of Texas and

has appointed CT Corporation System, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201 as its agent for service of process.

10. On information and belief, Defendant Travelport, Inc. (“Travelport”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at Morris Corporate Center III, 400 Interpace Parkway, Building A, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Travelport has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

11. On information and belief, Defendant Orbitz, LLC (“Orbitz”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 200 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1900, Chicago, Illinois 60606-5857. Orbitz has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

12. On information and belief, Defendant Away.com, Inc. (“Away.com”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 702 H Street, N.W., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20001. Away.com has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

13. On information and belief, Defendant CheapTickets, Inc. (“CheapTickets”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 500 West Madison Avenue, Suite 1000, Chicago, Illinois 60661. CheapTickets has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

14. On information and belief, Defendant La Quinta Management, LLC (“La Quinta”) is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business located at 909 Hidden Ridge, Suite 600, Irving, Texas 75038. La Quinta is qualified to do business in the State of

Texas and has appointed Corporation Service Company, 701 Brazos Street, Suite 1050, Austin, Texas 78701 as its agent for service of process.

15. On information and belief, Defendant Galileo International, LLC (“Galileo”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 7 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054. Galileo has appointed Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808 as its agent for service of process.

16. On information and belief, Defendant AMR Corp. (“AMR”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Fort Worth, Texas. AMR has appointed The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its agent for service of process.

17. On information and belief, Defendant American Airlines, Inc. (“American Airlines”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Fort Worth, Texas. American Airlines has appointed The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its agent for service of process.

18. On information and belief, Defendant FedEx Corp. (“FedEx”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Memphis, Tennessee. FedEx has appointed The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its agent for service of process.

19. On information and belief, Defendant FedEx Corporate Services, Inc., (“FedEx Services”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Memphis, Tennessee. FedEx Services has appointed The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its agent for service of process.

20. On information and belief, Defendant The Charles Schwab Corp. (“Schwab Corp.”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in San Francisco,

California. Schwab Corp. has appointed The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its agent for service of process.

21. On information and belief, Defendant Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. (“Schwab & Co.”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in San Francisco, California. Schwab & Co. has appointed The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its agent for service of process.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

22. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

23. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant has a regular and established place of business in this district, has transacted business in this district, and/or has committed and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,453,302

24. Constellation is the owner by assignment of all rights and interest in United States Patent No. 6,453,302 (the “‘302 Patent”) titled “Computer Generated Presentation System.” The ‘302 Patent issued on September 17, 2002. A true and correct copy of the ‘302 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

25. Jerome D. Johnson and Dale A. Mehr are listed as the inventors on the ‘302 patent.

26. On information and belief, Defendant Avis has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the ‘302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the

United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.avis.com and www.budget.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Avis is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

27. On information and belief, Defendant Avis Rent A Car System has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.avis.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Avis Rent A Car System is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

28. On information and belief, Defendant Budget Rent A Car System has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.budget.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Budget Rent A Car System is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

29. On information and belief, Defendant Wyndham has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.wyndhamworldwide.com and www.rci.com) that practice one or more claims of

the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Wyndham is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

30. On information and belief, Defendant Starwood has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.starwoodhotels.com, www.starwood.com, www.starwoodgp.com, www.westin.com, www.stregis.com, www.whothels.com, www.aladdincasino.com, www.sheraton.com, and www.caesarspoconoresorts.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Starwood is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

31. On information and belief, Defendant Nationwide has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.nationwide.com and <http://quote.nationwide.com>) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Nationwide is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

32. On information and belief, Defendant Comparisonmarket has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.comparisonmarket.com and www.autoandhome.com) that practice one

or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Comparisonmarket is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

33. On information and belief, Defendant eSurance has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.esurance.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant eSurance is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

34. On information and belief, Defendant Travelport has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.travelport.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Travelport is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

35. On information and belief, Defendant Orbitz has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.orbitz.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Orbitz is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

36. On information and belief, Defendant Away.com has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.away.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Away.com is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

37. On information and belief, Defendant CheapTickets has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.cheaptickets.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant CheapTickets is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

38. On information and belief, Defendant La Quinta has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.lq.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant La Quinta is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

39. On information and belief, Defendant Galileo has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the

United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.galileo.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Galileo is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

40. On information and belief, Defendant AMR has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.americanairlines.com and www.aa.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant AMR is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

41. On information and belief, Defendant American Airlines has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.americanairlines.com and www.aa.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant American Airlines is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

42. On information and belief, Defendant FedEx has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.fedex.com and www.federalexpress.com) that practice one or more claims of

the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant FedEx is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

43. On information and belief, Defendant FedEx Services has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.fedex.com and www.fedexpress.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant FedEx Services is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

44. On information and belief, Defendant Schwab Corp. has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.schwab.com and www.charlesschwab.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Schwab Corp. is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

45. On information and belief, Defendant Schwab & Co. has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, methods practiced on various websites (including, but not limited to, www.schwab.com and www.charlesschwab.com) that practice one or more claims of the '302 Patent to the injury of Constellation. Defendant Schwab & Co. is thus liable for infringement of the '302 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

46. On information and belief, Defendants have actively induced and are actively inducing infringement of the '302 Patent and are liable for contributory and joint infringement of the '302 Patent.

47. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, all predecessors in interest to the '302 Patent complied with such requirements and Constellation is entitled to collect damages for acts of infringement of the '302 Patent occurring prior to the filing of the present Complaint.

48. On information and belief, at least after the filing of the present Complaint, the Defendants have infringed and continue to willfully infringe the '302 Patent.

49. As a result of these Defendants' infringement of the '302 Patent, Constellation has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

50. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining these Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting on their behalf from infringing the '302 patent, Constellation will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Constellation respectfully requests that this Court enter:

1. A judgment in favor of Constellation that Defendants Avis, Avis Rent A Car System, Budget Rent A Car System, Wyndham, Starwood, Nationwide, Comparisonmarket, eSurance, Travelport, Orbitz, Away.com, CheapTickets, La Quinta, Galileo, AMR, American Airlines, FedEx, FedEx Services, Schwab Corp. and Schwab & Co. have infringed, directly, jointly and indirectly, by way of inducing and/or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent, and that such infringement was willful;

2. A permanent injunction, enjoining Defendants Avis, Avis Rent A Car System, Budget Rent A Car System, Wyndham, Starwood, Nationwide, Comparisonmarket, eSurance, Travelport, Orbitz, Away.com, CheapTickets, La Quinta, Galileo, AMR, American Airlines, FedEx, FedEx Services, Schwab Corp. and Schwab & Co. and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert or privity with any of them from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the '302 Patent;

3. A judgment and order requiring Defendants Avis, Avis Rent A Car System, Budget Rent A Car System, Wyndham, Starwood, Nationwide, Comparisonmarket, eSurance, Travelport, Orbitz, Away.com, CheapTickets, La Quinta, Galileo, AMR, American Airlines, FedEx, FedEx Services, Schwab Corp. and Schwab & Co. to pay Constellation its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '302 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

4. An award to Constellation of restitution of the benefits Defendants have gained through their unfair, deceptive, or illegal acts;

5. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Constellation reasonable attorneys' fees; and

6. Any and all other relief to which Constellation may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues triable of right by a jury.

Dated: March 23, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

CONSTELLATION IP, LLC

By: /s/ John J. Edmonds

Danny L. Williams

LEAD ATTORNEY

Texas State Bar No. 21518050

J. Mike Amerson

Texas State Bar No. 01150025

Ruben S. Bains

Texas State Bar No. 24001678

Williams, Morgan & Amerson, P.C.

10333 Richmond, Suite 1100

Houston, Texas 77042

Telephone: (713) 934-4060

Facsimile: (713) 934-7011

E-mail: danny@wmalaw.com

E-mail: mike@wmalaw.com

E-mail: rbains@wmalaw.com

David M. Pridham

R.I. State Bar No. 6625

Intellectual Property Navigation Group, LLC

207 C North Washington Avenue

Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 234-0507

Facsimile: (903) 234-2519

E-mail: david@ipnav.com

Robert J. Garrey

Texas State Bar No. 07703420

The Law Offices of Robert J. Garrey

114 Salsbury Circle

Murphy, TX 75094

Telephone: (214) 478-9625

Facsimile: (903) 938-7404

E-mail: bgarrey@ipnav.com

John J. Edmonds

Texas State Bar No. 00789758

THE EDMONDS LAW FIRM

709 Sabine Street

Houston, Texas 77007

Telephone: (713) 858-3320

Facsimile: (832) 767-3111

E-mail: johnedmonds@edmondslegal.com

T. John Ward, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
Law Office of T. John Ward, Jr., P.C.
P.O. Box 1231
Longview, Texas 75606-1231
Telephone: (903) 757-6400
Facsimile: (903) 757-2323
E-mail: jw@jwfirm.com

Eric M. Albritton
Texas State Bar No. 00790215
J. Scott Hacker
Texas State Bar No. 24027065
ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 2649
Longview, Texas 75606
Telephone: (903) 757-8449
Facsimile: (903) 758-7397
Email: ema@emafirm.com
Email: jsh@emafirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
CONSTELLATION IP, LLC**