Case3:09-cv-01152-SI Document4 Filed05/21/09 Page1 of 5

```
David N. Kuhn - State Bar No. 73389
1
   Attorney-at-Law
   144 Hagar Avenue
2
   Piedmont, CA 94611
   Telephone: (510) 653-4983
3
   E-mail: dnkuhn@pacbell.net
   Attorney for plaintiff Gregory Bender
4
5
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 6
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7
8
                                     ) Case No. C 09-01152 MEJ
   GREGORY BENDER,
9
                          Plaintiff, ) AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
                                     ) INFRINGEMENT; AND
10
                                     ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
   vs.
11
   MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS,
   INC., a Delaware corporation,
12
                        Defendant.
13
14
         Plaintiff Gregory Bender, through counsel, hereby amends his
15
   complaint against Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. so that, as so
16
   amended, it alleges as follows:
17
                          JURISDICTION AND VENUE
18
         1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under
19
   the patent laws of the United State of America (Title 35 of the
20
   United States Code) and the Court has subject matter jurisdiction
21
   over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1338(a).
22
         2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28
23
   U.S.C. 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b).
24
    //
25
26
```

Case3:09-cv-01152-SI Document4 Filed05/21/09 Page2 of 5

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

3. This patent action is an excepted category pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c), Assignment to a Division, to be assigned on a district-wide basis.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Gregory Bender is an individual whose residence is in San Jose, California.
- 5. Defendant Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. ("Maxim") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business situated in Sunnyvale, California.

THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

6. On April 9, 1992, United States Patent Number 5,103,188

(the "'188 Patent") entitled "Buffered Transconductance

Amplifier" issued to plaintiff Gregory Bender and since that date the plaintiff has been and still is the owner of the '188 Patent and of all right of recovery for damages thereunder. A copy of the '188 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Infringement of the '188 Patent)

- 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference thereto the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6.
- 8. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. Section 271, et seq., Maxim has performed acts and performs acts that infringe, and induce others to infringe, one or more of the claims of the '188 Patent (including, without limitation, claims 8-14 and 29-46) by making, using, offering for sale, and/or

18 19

16

17

2021

22

2425

26

selling products that consist of, comprise, and/or contain at least one circuit, silicon or otherwise, which contains and/or utilizes at least one buffered transconductance amplifier (commonly known in the analog electronics industries as a "current feedback amplifier," a "high-gain current feedback amplifier," or a "voltage feedback amplifier" as the case may be) and/or by practicing related methods embodying inventions claimed therein, which such products include, without limitation, cell phones, computer equipment, network drivers, high definition television sets, ultrasound machines, MRI machines, lab equipment, arbitrary waveform generators, audio amplifiers, video amplifiers, hard disc drives, ADC/DAC converters, DVD-RW players, DSL modems, CCD cameras, satellite communication technology, and other products where high performance, high speed analog circuits are used, and/or components thereof; such specific Maxim products include, without limitation, part numbers MAX 4180-MAX 4187 and MAX 4223-MAX 4228 (and related families).

- 9. On information and belief, Maxim has known of the '188 Patent and has pursued its knowing and willful infringement thereof in flagrant disregard of the rights of the plaintiff thereunder.
- 10. On information and belief, such conduct described in paragraphs 8 and 9 constitutes willful infringement.
 - 11. Plaintiff has been damaged by such infringement.

Case3:09-cv-01152-SI Document4 Filed05/21/09 Page4 of 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows: A. For an accounting and award for damages resulting from the infringement by the defendant and a trebling of such damages because of the knowing, willful, and wanton nature of such infringement; B. For interest on the damages computed; C. For a determination that this is an exceptional case and an award of attorney's fees and costs and expenses in this action; and D. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. , 2009 Dated: May 20 David N. Kuhn, counsel for Plaintiff Piedmont, California

Case3:09-cv-01152-SI Document4 Filed05/21/09 Page5 of 5

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury trial as to all issues triable thereby. Dated: May 20 , 2009 /S/ _____/S/ David N. Kuhn, counsel