Case 2:05-cv-74544-BAF-VMM Document 1 Filed 11/30/05 Page 1 of 8

S34 948

ÖRIGINAL

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

8 pgs.

EDSCHA JACKSON INC. Plaintiff,

JUDGE: Friedman, Bernard A.
DECK: S. Division Civil Deck
DATE: 11/30/2005 @ 15:45:41
CASE NUMBER: 2:05CV74544
CIV EDSCHA JACKSON INC V
MULTIMATIC INC (EW)

v.

MULTIMATIC, INC. a Canadian Corporation

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & Kappel William C. Gehris 485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor New York, NY 10018 (212) 736-1940 Attorneys for Plaintiff Edscha Jackson Inc.

BODMAN LLP
Thomas J. Tallerico
Thomas J. Howlett
201 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 500
Troy, MI 48084
Telephone: (248)-743-6000
Attorneys for Plaintiff Edscha Jackson Inc.

MACIOTRATE JUDGE NORGAN

### COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Edscha Jackson Inc., for its complaint of patent infringement against defendant Multimatic, Inc., alleges as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. The plaintiff, Edscha Jackson Inc., ("Edscha") is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware and having its principal place of business at 2800 Centerpoint Parkway, Pontiac, Michigan 48341.
  - 2. Edscha produces automobile hinges, door checks and roof systems at various

plants in North America, and is the market leader for such door hinges and door checks.

3. On information and belief, the defendant, Multimatic, Inc. ("Multimatic") is a corporation organized under the laws of Canada having a principle place of business at 85 Valleywood Drive, Markham, Ontario L3R 5E5, Canada.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). Defendant Multimatic resides in this District, has transacted business and committed acts of infringement in this District, and this action arises from the transaction of that business and that infringement.

#### **FACTS**

- 6. U.S. Patent No. 6,629,337 ("the '337 patent"), entitled "Double-Pivot Resistance Hinge for Motor Vehicle Door" is valid and in full compliance with Title 35, United States Code, and all other pertinent laws. The '337 patent relates to double pivot door hinges of a motor vehicle for permitting a greater than ninety degree opening of a vehicle door. A true and correct copy of the '337 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7. U.S. Patent No. 6,817,063 ("the '063 patent"), entitled "Double-Pivot Hinge for Motor Vehicle Door" is valid and in full compliance with Title 35, United States Code, and all other pertinent laws. The '063 patent also relates to double pivot door hinges of a motor vehicle for permitting a greater than ninety degree opening of a vehicle door, but claims different subject matter as that claimed in the '337 patent. A true and correct copy of the '063 patent is attached

hereto as Exhibit B.

- 8. Upon information and belief, defendant Multimatic manufactures certain double pivot vehicle door hinges ("the accused hinges"), which include two pivots, and which are incorporated into certain Nissan Titan vehicles.
- 9. The accused hinges are incorporated at the rear doors of certain Nissan Titan vehicles, which are extended cab pick-up trucks. The accused hinges permit the rear doors of the extended cab pick-up trucks to open greater than ninety degrees wide.
- 10. The accused hinges infringe one or more claims of the '337 patent and one or more claims of the '063 patent.
- 11. Upon information and belief, defendant Multimatic also manufactures further double pivot hinges ("further accused hinges") embodying the patented invention of the '337 and '063 patent, including, without limitation, double pivot hinges sold/offered for sale to General Motors for incorporation into vehicles of the GMT-900 program.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the further accused hinges infringe one or more claims of the '337 patent and one or more claims of the '063 patent.

#### CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 13. On October 7, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued the '337 patent.
- 14. On November 16, 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued the '063 patent.
  - 15. Plaintiff Edscha is the owner of the '337 patent and the '063 patent.
- 16. Multimatic has infringed, directly and/or through acts of contributory infringement or inducement, and continues to infringe, one or more claims of the '337 patent and

one or more claims of the '063 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States the accused hinges and the further accused hinges.

- 17. Upon information and belief, defendant Multimatic's infringement has been and continues to be willful and deliberate.
- 18. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of the defendant, plaintiff Edscha has been, is being and, unless such acts and practices are enjoined by this Court, will continue to be injured in its business and property rights, and has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer injury and damages for which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S.C. §284.
- 19. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of the defendant, defendant Multimatic has also caused, is causing, and unless such acts and practices are enjoined by this Court, will continue to cause irreparable harm to plaintiff Edscha for which there is no adequate remedy at law, and for which plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Edscha requests that the Court:

- a. Adjudge that plaintiff Edscha is the owner of the '337 patent and with right to recover thereunder, and that the '337 patent is good and valid in law and enforceable;
- b. Adjudge that plaintiff Edscha is the owner of the '063 patent and with right to recover thereunder, and that the '063 patent is good and valid in law and enforceable;
- c. Adjudge that defendant Multimatic has directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '337 patent, and that defendant Multimatic has directly infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced

infringement of one or more claims of the '063 patent;

- d. Adjudge that defendant Multimatic has willfully infringed one or more claims of the '337 patent and that defendant Multimatic has willfully infringed one or more claims of the '063 patent.
- e. Adjudge this to be an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling plaintiff to an award of its reasonable attorney fees, expenses and costs in this action; and
- f. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin defendant Multimatic, its officers, directors, employees, licensees, successors, and assigns, and all persons in concert with them, from committing further acts of infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271 of any claims of the '337 and '063 patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
  - g. Award plaintiff Edscha damages in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
  - h. Treble the damages assessed;
  - i. Award plaintiff Edscha its costs in connection with this action; and
- j. Award plaintiff Edscha such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE

**BODMAN LLP** 

By:

Thomas J. Tallerico Thomas J. Howlett

201 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 500

Troy, MI 48084

Telephone: (248)-743-6000

Attorneys for Edscha Jackson, Inc.

Dated November 30, 2005

William C. Gehris
Davidson, Davidson & Kappel
485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10018
(212) 736-1940
Attorneys for Edscha Jackson, Inc.

Case 2:05-cv-74544 BAEOVER SHEET County in which this action arose Oakland

ctvil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as ed by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required by the use of the Clerk of Gurt for the purpose initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS BERNARD A. FRIEDMAN <sup>□</sup>dscha Jackson, Inc. Multimatic, Inc. County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff OAKLAND County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NQTE:, IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE WAR BONDING AND BEING MORGAN (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Thomas J. Tallerico **Bodman LLP** 201 W. Big Beaver, Troy, MI 48084 248-743-6073 BASIS OF JURISDICTION III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Select One Box for Plaintiff (Select One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) 3/Federal Question U.S. Government DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State ОΙ 0 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State 1.2 U.S. Government Diversity Citizen of Another State D 2 D 2 Incomorated and Principal Place 0 5 CD 5 Defendant p. of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a 3 CJ 3 Foreign Nation 0 6 0 6 Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Select One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES 13 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture 400 State Reapportionment 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 77 120 Marine 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury -620 Other Food & Drug 423 Withdrawal a. 410 Antitrust  $\Box$ 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Seizure 28 USC 157 430 Banks and Banking П 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 450 Commerce 150 Recovery of Overpayment | 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability 630 Liquor Laws ZŘOPERTÝ RIGITIS 460 Deportation & Enforcementof Judgment Slander 368 Asbestos Personal 640 R.R. & Truck C7 820 Copyrights 470 Racketeer Influenced and 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product 650 Airline Regs. 📕 830 Palent Corrupt Organizations 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Liability 660 Occupational □ 840 7 rademark 480 Consumer Credit Student Loans O 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERTY Safety/Health 490 Cable/Sat TV (Excl. Veterans) ٥ 690 Other 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud П 810 Selective Service 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 371 Truth in Lending LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 850 Securities/Commodities/ 350 Motor Vehicle of Veteran's Benefits 380 Other Personal 710 Fair Labor Standards 861 HIA (1395II) Exchange 460 Stockholders\* Suits 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage D 862 Black Lung (923) Act 875 Customer Challenge 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 385 Property Daniage 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 12 USC 3410 360 Other Personal 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting 195 Contract Product Liability Product Liability а O 864 SSID Title XVI ٥ 890 Other Statutory Actions 196 Franchise Injury D 865 RSI (405(g)) & Disclosure Act 891 Agricultural Acts PRISONER PETITIONS 892 Economic Stabilization REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS 740 Railway Labor Act FEDERAL TAX SUITS ٥ Act 441 Voting 210 Land Condemnation 510 Motions to Vacate 790 Other Labor Litigation D 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff ø 893 Environmental Matters 220 Forcelosure ٥ 442 Employment Sentence 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. or Defendant) ٥ 894 Energy Allocation Act J. 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ٥ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party Security Act 895 Freedom of Information (2) 240 Torts to Land Accommodations 530 General 26 USC 7609 Act 7 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty 900 Appeal of Fee 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer, w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other Ю Determination Under 550 Civil Rights Employment ٥ Access to Justice 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -555 Prison Condition 950 Constitutionality of Other State Statutes П 440 Other Civil Rights **ORÍGIN** (Select One Box Only) Appeal to District □ 2 Removed from Transferred from Judge from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5  $\Box$  3  $\Box$  6 7 රැiginal Remanded from another district Multidistrict Magistrate State Court Proceeding Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Title 35 of the United States Code **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: Patent infringement action II. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only indemanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 M Yor JURY DEMAND! □ No **"III. RELATED CASE(S)** (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE **DOCKET NUMBER** ATE / 30 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD November 2005 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

## PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, give the following information:		
Co u rt:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	Yes No
If yes, give the following information:		
Court:		
Judge:		
Notes :		