UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 Zimmerman & Weiser LLP 3 Jean-Marc Zimmerman **Anatoly Weiser** 226 St. Paul Street 4 Westfield, New Jersey 07090 5 Tel: (908) 654-8000 Fax: (908) 654-7207 6 jmz@iplcounsel.com aw@ iplcounsel.com 7 Attorneys for plaintiff Content Extraction and Transmission LLC 8 CONTENT EXTRACTION AND 9 TRANSMISSION LLC Case No. 10 Plaintiff, 11 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT **INFRINGEMENT** v. 12 WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL 13 ASSOCIATION, DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 14 Defendant. 15 16 Plaintiff Content Extraction and Transmission LLC ("CET") demands a jury trial and 17 complains against defendant Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("defendant"), as follows: 18 THE PARTIES 19 1. CET is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the 20 State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business at New Jersey. 21 2. Upon information and belief, defendant is a company with a principal place of 22 business located in Sioux Falls, South Dakota. 23 3. Defendant has bank branches throughout this judicial district in which it is 24 25 conducting business. 26 27 28

- 4. Upon information and belief, defendant is a banking subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Co., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business located in San Francisco, California.
- Defendant is a successor in interest to other banks which processed deposits made at
 ATMs during the six-year period immediately preceding the filing of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States of America, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. On information and belief, defendant is doing business and committing infringements in this judicial district and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.
 - 8. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 9. CET repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 above.
- 10. On November 2, 1993, U.S. Patent No. 5,258,855 (hereinafter referred to as "the '855 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Information Processing Methodology." A copy of the '855 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1.
- 11. On November 29, 1994, U.S. Patent No. 5,369,508 (hereinafter referred to as "the '508 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Information Processing Methodology." A copy of the '508 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 2.
- 12. On April 29, 1997, U.S. Patent No. 5,625,465 (hereinafter referred to as "the '465 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Information Processing Methodology." A copy of the '465 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 3.

2.1

- 13. On June 16, 1998, U.S. Patent No. 5,768,416 (hereinafter referred to as "the '416 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Information Processing Methodology." A copy of the '416 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 4.
- 14. On August 21, 2007, U.S. Patent No. 7,259,887 (hereinafter referred to as "the '887 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Information Processing Methodology."
- 15. On January 6, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,474,434 (hereinafter referred to as "the '434 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Information Processing Methodology."
- 16. CET is the owner by way of assignment of all right, title and interest in and to the '855, '508, '465, '416, '887 and '434 patents. The '855, '508, '465 and '416 patents will hereinafter be collectively referred to as the "Patents-in-Suit".

COUNT ONE

- 17. CET repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. Defendant infringed, actively induced the infringement of and contributorily infringed in this judicial district the '855 patent by processing check and cash deposits made by customers at its automatic teller machines ("ATMs") using its envelope-free deposit service, and by processing check deposits made from mobile electronic devices using its mobile deposit service.
- 19. Defendant's envelope-free deposit service extracts information from checks and cash deposited at its ATMs and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposits, in a manner defined by the claims of the '855 patent without permission from CET.
- 20. Defendant's mobile deposit service extracts information from images of checks taken using a mobile electronic device and then transmits such extracted information to an

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

application program to process the deposit, in a manner defined by the claims of the '855 patent without permission from CET.

21. CET has been damaged by such activities of the defendant which infringe the '855 patent.

COUNT TWO

- 22. CET repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. Defendant has infringe, actively induced the infringement of and contributorily infringed in this judicial district the '508 patent by processing check and cash deposits made by customers at its ATMs using its envelope-free deposit service, and by processing check deposits made from mobile electronic devices using its mobile deposit service.
- 24. Defendant's envelope-free deposit service extracts information from checks and cash deposited at its ATMs and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposits, in a manner defined by the claims of the '508 patent without permission from CET.
- 25. Defendant's mobile deposit service extracts information from images of checks taken using a mobile electronic device and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposit, in a manner defined by the claims of the '508 patent without permission from CET.
- 26. CET has been damaged by such activities of the defendant which infringe the '508 patent.

COUNT THREE

27. CET repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

28. Defendant has and still is infringing, actively inducing the infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district the '465 patent by processing check and cash deposits made by customers at its ATMs using its envelope-free deposit service, and by processing check deposits made from mobile electronic devices using its mobile deposit service.

- 29. Defendant's envelope-free deposit service extracts information from checks and cash deposited at its ATMs and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposits, in a manner defined by the claims of the '465 patent without permission from CET.
- 30. Defendant's mobile deposit service extracts information from images of checks taken using a mobile electronic device and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposit, in a manner defined by the claims of the '465 patent without permission from CET.
- 31. CET has been damaged by such activities of the defendant which infringe the '465 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

COUNT FOUR

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein the entirety of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 above.
- 33. Defendant has and still is infringing, actively inducing the infringement of and contributorily infringing in this judicial district the '416 patent by processing check and cash deposits made by customers at its ATMs using its envelope-free deposit service, and by processing check deposits made from mobile electronic devices using its mobile deposit service.
- 34. Defendant's envelope-free deposit service extracts information from checks and cash deposited at its ATMs and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposits, in a manner defined by the claims of the '416 patent without permission from CET.

- 35. Defendant's mobile deposit service extracts information from images of checks taken using a mobile electronic device and then transmits such extracted information to an application program to process the deposit, in a manner defined by the claims of the '416 patent without permission from CET.
- 36. CET has been damaged by such activities of the defendant which infringe the '416 patent and will be irreparably harmed unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff CET prays for judgment against the defendant on all the counts and for the following relief:

- A. Declaration that the CET is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit and has the right to sue and to recover for infringement thereof;
- B. Declaration that the defendant has infringed, actively induced the infringement of, and contributorily infringed the Patents-in-Suit;
- C. A preliminary and permanent injunction against the defendant, each of its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, all parent and subsidiary corporations, their assigns and successors in interest, and those persons acting in active concert or participation with them, including distributors and customers, enjoining them from continuing acts of infringement, active inducement of infringement, and contributory infringement of CET's '465 and '416 patents;
- D. An accounting for damages under 35 U.S.C. §284 for infringement of CET's '855, '508, '465 and '416 patents by the defendant and the award of damages so ascertained to the CET together with interest as provided by law;
- E. Award of CET's costs and expenses; and
- F. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper, just and equitable.

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff CET demands a trial by jury of all issues properly triable by jury in this action.
3	By:/s/Jean-Marc Zimmerman Zimmerman & Weiser LLP
4	Jean-Marc Zimmerman Anatoly Weiser
5	226 St. Paul Street Westfield, New Jersey 07090
6	Tel: (908) 654-8000 Fax: (908) 654-7207
7	jmz@iplcounsel.com aw@ iplcounsel.com
8	Attorneys for plaintiff Content Extraction and Transmission LLC
10	and Transmission LLC
11	Dated: April 26, 2012
12	Westfield, New Jersey
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	
20	