

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

MACROSOLVE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

(1) JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.,
(2) JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:12-CV-384

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR
PATENT INFRINGEMENT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff MacroSolve, Inc. (“MacroSolve”) files this original complaint against the above-named defendants, alleging, based on its own knowledge with respect to itself and its own actions and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

PARTIES

1. MacroSolve is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, with a principal place of business in Tulsa, Oklahoma.
2. Defendant JPMorgan Chase & Co. (“Company”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business in New York, NY. Company can be served with process by serving its registered agent: CT Corporation System; 350 N. St. Paul St., Ste. 2900, Dallas, TX 75201-4234.
3. Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“Nat’l Assn.”) is a nationally chartered bank, with a principal place of business in New York, NY. Nat’l Assn. can be served with process by serving its registered agent: CT Corporation System; 350 N. St. Paul St., Ste. 2900, Dallas, TX 75201-4234.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action for infringement of a United States patent arising under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284–85, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1338(a).

5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Upon information and belief, the defendants have transacted business in this district, and have committed and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district.

6. The defendants are subject to this Court’s specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to the defendants’ substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and/or (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this district.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,822,816

7. On October 26, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,822,816 (“the ’816 patent”) was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office for an invention entitled “System and Method for Data Management.” A true and correct copy of the ’816 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. MacroSolve is the owner of the ’816 patent with all substantive rights in and to that patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the ’816 patent against infringers, and to collect damages for all relevant times.

9. Company and Nat'l Assn. (collectively "JPMorgan Chase"), directly or through their customers and/or intermediaries, made, had made, used, imported, provided, supplied, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale products and/or systems (including at least the Chase mobile app product and/or service) that infringed one or more claims of the '816 patent).

JURY DEMAND

MacroSolve hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable by right.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

MacroSolve requests that the Court find in its favor and against the defendants, and that the Court grant MacroSolve the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '816 patent have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the defendants and/or by others acting in concert therewith;
- b. A permanent injunction enjoining the defendants and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringement of the '816 patent;
- c. Judgment that the defendants account for and pay to MacroSolve all damages to and costs incurred by MacroSolve because of the defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That MacroSolve be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by the defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- e. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award MacroSolve its

reasonable attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

f. That MacroSolve be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: June 18, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew J. Antonelli
Matthew J. Antonelli (lead attorney)
Texas Bar No. 24068432
matt@ahtlawfirm.com
Zachariah S. Harrington
Texas Bar No. 24057886
zac@ahtlawfirm.com
Larry D. Thompson, Jr.
Texas Bar No. 24051428
larry@ahtlawfirm.com
ANTONELLI, HARRINGTON &
THOMPSON LLP
4200 Montrose Blvd., Ste. 430
Houston, TX 77006
(713) 581-3000

Attorneys for MacroSolve, Inc.