## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

## INNOVA PATENT LICENSING, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

TREND MICRO, INC.,

Defendant.

# **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff InNova Patent Licensing, LLC for its Complaint against Defendant Trend Micro, Inc. hereby alleges as follows:

# THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff InNova Patent Licensing, LLC ("InNova") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Texas, having its principal place of business at 911 NW Loop 281, Suite 211-14, Longview, TX 75604.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro, Inc. ("Trend Micro") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business at 10101 N. De Anza Blvd., Cupertino, California 95014.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

4. This Court has specific and/or general personal jurisdiction over Defendant Trend Micro because it has committed acts giving rise to this action within this judicial district and/or

1

has established minimum contacts within Texas and within this judicial district such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant Trend Micro would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

5. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant Trend Micro has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and continues to conduct business in this district, and/or has committed acts of patent infringement within this District giving rise to this action.

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 6,018,761**

6. InNova re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

7. On January 25, 2000, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 6,018,761 ("the '761 patent") entitled "System for Adding to Electronic Mail Messages Information Obtained from Sources External to the Electronic Mail Transport Process." A true and correct copy of the '761 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

8. InNova is the owner and assignee of all right, title and interest in and to the '761 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.

9. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro has been and now is infringing the '761 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, using, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States electronicmessage filtering products and/or services that embody the inventions claimed in the '761 patent, including but not limited to InterScan Messaging Security Virtual Appliance, eMail ID, and all

2

reasonably similar products. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro indirectly infringes by contributing to its customers' infringing use of InterScan Messaging Security Virtual Appliance, eMail ID, and all reasonably similar products. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro's InterScan Messaging Security Virtual Appliance's and eMail ID's DKIM-authentication and all reasonably similar products' DKIM-authentication have no substantial non-infringing use and are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '761 patent. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro indirectly infringes by actively inducing its customers to use InterScan Messaging Security Virtual Appliance, eMail ID, and all reasonably similar products. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro advertises and instructs customers on how to use InterScan Messaging Security Virtual Appliance and eMail ID in a manner that infringes the '761 patent claims and thus encourages, and intends for its customers to use InterScan Messaging Security Virtual Appliance and eMail ID in a manner that infringes the claims of the '761 patent. From the service date of this Complaint forward, Defendant Trend Micro had knowledge of the '761 patent and knew its actions would induce and/or contribute to infringement of the '761 patent.

10. On information and belief, Defendant Trend Micro will continue to infringe the '761 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

11. Defendant Trend Micro's acts of infringement have damaged InNova in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. Defendant Trend Micro's infringement of InNova's rights under the '761 patent will continue to damage InNova causing irreparable harm, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

3

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

1. Wherefore, InNova respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendant Trend Micro as follows:

a. For judgment that Defendant Trend Micro have infringed and continues to infringe the claims of the '761 Patent;

b. For preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant Trend Micro and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '761 Patent;

c. For an accounting of all damages caused by Defendant Trend Micro's acts of infringement;

d. For damages to be paid by Defendant Trend Micro adequate to compensate InNova for Defendants' infringement, including interest, costs and disbursement as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

e. For such relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

### DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

InNova demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: June 20, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
	<u>/s/ Christopher D. Banys</u> Christopher D. Banys - <b>Lead</b>	l Attorney
	THE LANIER LAW FIRM, P.C.	
	Christopher D. Banys	SBN: 230038 (California)
	Daniel W. Bedell	SBN: 254912 (California)
	Carmen A. Aviles	SBN: 251993 (California)

SBN: 244839 (California)

Daniel M. Shafer The Lanier Law Firm, P.C. 2200 Geng Road, Suite 200 Palo Alto, CA 94303 Tel: (650) 332-9100 Fax: (650) 322-9103 cdb@lanierlawfirm.com dwb@lanierlawfirm.com dms@lanierlawfirm.com

## LOCAL COUNSEL:

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM Wesley Hill SBN: 24032294 111 W. Tyler Street Longview, TX 75601 Tel: (903) 757-6400 Fax: (903) 757-2323 wh@wsfirm.com

# ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF INNOVA PATENT LICENSING, LLC