Case5:09-cv-01251-RMW Document7 Filed07/12/09 Page1 of 5 David N. Kuhn - State Bar No. 73389 1 Attorney-at-Law 144 Hagar Avenue 2 Piedmont, CA 94611 Telephone: (510) 653-4983 3 E-mail: dnkuhn@pacbell.net Attorney for plaintiff Gregory Bender 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 8 9 GREGORY BENDER, ) Case No. C 09-01251 EMC ) 10 Plaintiff, ) AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT vs. ) INFRINGEMENT; AND 11 ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CIRRUS LOGIC, INC., a Delaware 12 corporation, 13 Defendant. 14 15 Plaintiff Gregory Bender, through counsel, hereby amends his 16 complaint against Cirrus Logic, Inc. so that, as so amended, it 17 alleges as follows: 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under 20 the patent laws of the United States of America (Title 35 of the 21 United States Code) and the Court has subject matter jurisdiction 22 over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1338(a). 23 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 24 U.S.C. Sections 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b). 25 Amended complaint 26

	Case5:09-cv-01251-RMW Document7 Filed07/12/09 Page2 of 5
1	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT
2	3. This patent action is an excepted category pursuant to
3	Local Rule 3-2(c), Assignment of a Division, to be assigned on a
4	district-wide basis.
5	THE PARTIES
6	4. Plaintiff Gregory Bender is an individual whose residence
7	is in San Jose, California.
8	5. Defendant Cirrus Logic, Inc. ("Cirrus") is a Delaware
9	corporation with its principal place of business situated in
10	Austen, Texas.
11	THE PATENT-IN-SUIT
12	6. On April 9, 1992, United States Patent Number 5,103,188
13	(the "'188 Patent") entitled "Buffered Transconductance
14	Amplifier" issued to plaintiff Gregory Bender and since that date
15	the plaintiff has been and still is the owner of the '188 Patent
16	and of all right of recovery for damages thereunder. A copy of
17	the '188 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
18 19	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Infringement of the '188 Patent)
20	7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference
21	thereto the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6.
22	8. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C.
23	Section 271, et seq., Cirrus has performed acts and performs acts
24	that infringe, and induce others to infringe, one or more of the
25	claims of the '188 Patent (including, without limitation, claims
26	Amended complaint

8-14 and 29-46) by making, using, offering for sale, and/or 1 selling high-precision analog and mixed-signal integrated circuit 2 products that consist of, comprise, and/or contain at least one 3 circuit, silicon or otherwise, which contains and/or utilizes at 4 least one buffered transconductance amplifier (commonly known in 5 6 the analog electronics industries as a "current feedback 7 amplifier," a "high-gain current feedback amplifier," or a 8 "voltage feedback amplifier" as the case may be) and/or by 9 practicing related methods embodying inventions claimed therein, 10 which such products include, without limitation, cell phones, 11 computer equipment, network drivers, high definition television 12 sets, ultrasound machines, MRI machines, lab equipment, arbitrary 13 waveform generators, audio amplifiers, video amplifiers, hard 14 disc drives, ADC/DAC converters, DVD-RW players, DSL modems, CCD 15 cameras, satellite communication technology, and other products 16 where high performance, high speed analog circuits are used, 17 and/or components thereof; such specific Cirrus products include, 18 by way of example and without limitation, parts PA85 and PA85A 19 High Voltage Power Operational Amplifiers.

9. On information and belief, Cirrus has known of the '188 Patent and has pursued its knowing and willful infringement thereof in flagrant disregard of the rights of the plaintiff thereunder.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10. On information and belief, such conduct described in Amended complaint

	Case5:09-cv-01251-RMW Document7 Filed07/12/09 Page4 of 5
1	paragraphs 8 and 9 constitutes willful infringement.
2	11. Plaintiff has been damaged by such infringement.
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:
4	A. For an accounting and award for damages resulting from
5	the infringement by the defendant and a trebling of such damages
6	because of the knowing, willful, and wanton nature of such
7	infringement;
8	B. For interest on the damages computed;
9	C. For a determination that this is an exceptional case and
10	an award of attorney's fees and costs and expenses in this
11	action; and
12	D. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just
13	and proper.
14	
15	Dated: July 12, 2009/S/
16	Piedmont, California David N. Kuhn, counsel for plaintiff
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	Amended complaint

	Case5:09-cv-01251-RMW Document7 Filed07/12/09 Page5 of 5
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury trial as to all
3	issues triable thereby.
4	Dated: July 12, 2009
5	Piedmont, California David N. Kuhn, counsel
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Amended complaint
	Page 5