Gordon & Rees LLP 2211 Michelson Drive Suite 400 Irvine, CA 92612	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	MATTHEW D. MURPHEY (SBN: 194111) LINDSAY J. HULLEY (SBN: 184924) GORDON & REES LLP 2211 Michelson Drive, Suite 400 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 255-6950 Facsimile: (949) 474-2060 Email: mmurphey@gordonrees.com Email: lhulley@gordonrees.com KIMBERLY D. HOWATT (SBN: 196921) GORDON & REES LLP 101 West Broadway, Suite 1600 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 696-6700 Facsimile: (619) 696-6700 Facsimile: (619) 696-7124 Email: khowatt@gordonrees.com Attorneys For Plaintiff, SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC. UNITED STATES DIS SOUTHERN DISTRICT SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC., a Utah corporation, Plaintiff, V. KOMBI LTD., a Connecticut corporation; KOMBI SPORTS, INC., a Canadian corporation, Defendants. 4. 5. 6. 7.	OF CALIFORNIA BLM
1		DE	MAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	Y	- 1 - COMPLA	INT

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

PARTIES

- 1. SEIRUS is a Utah corporation with its principal place of business at 13975 Danielson Street, Poway, California 92064. SEIRUS is engaged in the business of selling men's, women's, and children's action and outdoor apparel and accessories, including face masks.
- Upon information and belief, KOMBI LTD. ("KOMBI") is a Connecticut 2. corporation with a principal place of business at 6 Thompson Drive, Essex, Vermont, 05451. Upon information and belief, KOMBI is engaged in the business of selling men's, women's, and children's outdoor apparel and accessories, including cold weather head gear and face masks.
- 3. Upon information and belief, KOMBI SPORTS, INC. ("KOMBI CANADA") is an Canadian corporation with a principal place of business at 5711 Rue Ferrier, Mont-Royal, Ouebec, Canada. Upon information and belief, KOMBI CANADA is engaged in the business of selling men's, women's, and children's outdoor apparel and accessories, including cold weather head gear and face masks.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This civil action for infringement and unfair competition arises under the patent 4. laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et seq., under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seq., and under California state law governing unjust enrichment and unfair competition, specifically California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq..
- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 5. § 1121(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and (b), and supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

25

///

///

///

26

27 ///

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	6.	Upon information and belief, DEFENDANTS have either directly or through their
ager	nts transac	cted business in the State of California and within this judicial district, and expected
or re	asonably	should have expected their acts to have consequence in the State of California and
with	in this ju	dicial district, thus subjecting DEFENDANTS to the personal jurisdiction of this
Cou	rt.	

Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) as 7. DEFENDANTS are doing business in this judicial district and therefore may be found in this district, and/or a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred within this district.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT OF SEIRUS' PATENTS (A)

- SEIRUS is the owner of United States Letters Patent No. 5,214,804 (the "804 8. PATENT") which issued on June 1, 1993 and is titled "PROTECTIVE MASK WITH SCARF," and United States Letters Patent No. 6,272,690 (the "690 PATENT") which issued on August 14, 2001 and is titled "HEAD COVERING."
- DEFENDANTS are offering for sale and, upon information and belief, have sold in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, the following products that fall within the scope of at least one claim of the '804 PATENT: BALACLAVA WITH FACE MASK ("804 PATENT ACCUSED PRODUCTS").
- DEFENDANTS are also offering for sale and, upon information belief, have sold 10. in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, the following products that fall within the scope of at least one claim of the '690 PATENT: BALACLAVA WITH FACE MASK ("'690 PATENT ACCUSED PRODUCTS").

DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT OF SEIRUS' TRADE DRESS IN PRODUCTS (B) AND PACKAGING

(i) **SEIRUS' PRODUCT TRADE DRESS**

By virtue of the extensive use, sale and advertising by SEIRUS and others on 11. behalf of SEIRUS, the shape, form and appearance of SEIRUS products (hereinafter the

5

9

26

27 28

///

"SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS"), are inherently distinctive and have acquired distinctiveness and secondary meaning to signify SEIRUS as the manufacturer and the source of these goods.

(ii) SEIRUS' PACKAGING TRADE DRESS

- 12. By virtue of the extensive use, sale and advertising by SEIRUS and others on behalf of SEIRUS, the shape, form and appearance in use of the packaging of SEIRUS products (hereinafter the "SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS"), has acquired secondary meaning in the market for cold-weather headgear.
- DEFENDANTS are offering for sale and, upon information and belief, have sold 13. in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, the following products, that copy, imitate, palm off as, and pass off their products as members of the family of products that contain the SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS and SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS: BALACLAVA WITH FACE MASK (the "ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS").

THE INVALIDITY OF DEFENDANT'S PATENT NO. D **(C)**

14. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT is the assignee and owner of United States Design Patent No. D566,339, entitled "Combined Nose and Mouth Guard" (the "339 PATENT"). The application (No. 29/278,839) for the '339 PATENT was filed on or about April 11, 2007, and the patent issued on or about April 8, 2008. However, the invention in the '339 PATENT was known or used by others prior to the invention thereof by the applicant for the '339 PATENT. The Claim of the '339 PATENT is invalid for failure to satisfy the statutory criteria for patentability under the patent laws of the United States, including without limitation 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, and 171.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Patent Infringement)

15. SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.

Michelson Drive	uite 400	, CA 92612
1 Miche	Suite	vine, C

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	16.	As alleged herein, DEFENDANTS are infringing at least one claim of the	SU
and/or	'690 P	ATENTS literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.	

- As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' infringement of the '804 17. and/or '690 PATENTS, SEIRUS has been damaged in an amount to be proved at trial, but in an amount not less than a reasonable royalty, and includes lost sales, and/or lost profits.
- 18. Based upon their prior knowledge of SEIRUS' patent rights, and other facts to be proved at trial, DEFENDANTS know and have known of their infringement of the '804 and/or '690 PATENTS. Based on these facts and those to be proved at trial, DEFENDANTS' infringement is willful and done with intentional disregard of SEIRUS' rights in the '804 and/or '690 PATENTS, so as to render this case exceptional within the purview of 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, and 285, such that SEIRUS is entitled to enhanced damages, costs, and an award of attorneys' fees.
- 19. SEIRUS has been and continues to be damaged by the unlawful infringing activities of DEFENDANTS and will be irreparably harmed unless the unlawful infringing activities are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 283.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Inducing Patent Infringement)

- SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and 20. every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANTS have been and are now unlawfully inducing others to infringe and/or contributorily infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the claim of the '804 and/or '690 PATENTS by using, offering to sell, advertising for sale and selling DEFENDANTS' products in this judicial district and throughout the United States as follows: BALACLAVA WITH FACE MASK.
- 22. SEIRUS is marking its products that fall within the scope of the '804 and '690 PATENTS as required by 35 U.S.C. § 287; DEFENDANTS have otherwise had knowledge and notice of the '804 and/or '690 PATENTS and their activities constitute knowing and willful

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

patent infringement. SEIRUS has been and continues to be damaged by the unlawful infringing
activities of DEFENDANTS and will be irreparably harmed unless the unlawful infringing
activities are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court as provided by 35 U.S.C. §
271(b).

- 23. Upon information and belief, SEIRUS has suffered and continues to suffer lost sales and in turn damages as a direct result of the unlawful infringement of the '804 and/or '690 PATENTS by DEFENDANTS. Under 35 U.S.C. § 284, SEIRUS is entitled to damages to be established at trial or upon an accounting adequate to compensate for the infringement, including lost profits, but not less than a reasonable royalty.
- Upon information and belief, DEFENDANTS' infringement of the '804 and '690 24. PATENTS is willful and done with an intent to harm SEIRUS or in reckless disregard for the rights of SEIRUS. Therefore, this is an exceptional case and SEIRUS is entitled to enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 25. This is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling SEIRUS to its reasonable attorneys' fees.
- SEIRUS has been and continues to be damaged by the unlawful infringing 26. activities of the DEFENDANTS and will be irreparably harmed unless the unlawful infringing activities are preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 283.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Federal Trade Dress Infringement) [15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)]

- 27. SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- DEFENDANTS' actions in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution 28. or advertising of the ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS in interstate commerce, without the consent of SEIRUS, constitute willful, deliberate and intentional infringement of the SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS and SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS, and have caused and continue to cause a likelihood of confusion, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29. By reason of the foregoing, SEIRUS has been injured in an amount to be proven								
In addition, as a result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful acts, SEIRUS has suffered and will continue								
to suffer irreparable harm, and SEIRUS has no adequate remedy at law with respect to this								
injury. Unless the acts of trademark infringement are enjoined by this Court, SEIRUS wil								
continue to suffer a risk of irreparable harm. DEFENDANTS' actions have been knowing,								
intentional, wanton, and willful, entitling SEIRUS to damages, treble damages, profits								
attorneys' fees, statutory damages, and the costs of this action.								

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF [15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)]

- SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and 30. every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- DEFENDANTS' actions in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution 31. or advertising of the ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS in interstate commerce, without SEIRUS' consent, is a false designation of origin, and have caused and continue to cause a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception as to source, sponsorship, affiliation, and/or connection in the minds of the public.
- DEFENDANTS' false designation of origin is in violation of §43(a) of the 32. Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a)(1).
- By reason of the foregoing, SEIRUS has been injured in an amount not yet fully 33. determined, but believed to be in excess of \$75,000. In addition, as a result of DEFENDANTS' acts of infringement, SEIRUS has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, and SEIRUS has no adequate remedy at law with respect to this injury. Unless DEFENDANTS' acts of infringement are further enjoined by this Court, SEIRUS will continue to suffer a risk of irreparable harm.
- DEFENDANTS' actions have been knowing, intentional, wanton, and willful, 34. entitling SEIRUS to damages, treble damages, profits, attorney's fees, and the costs of this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 in this Court's discretion.

///

Irvine, CA 92612

Gordon & Rees LLP 2211 Michelson Drive

///

///

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unfair Competition) [15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)]

- 35. SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. SEIRUS manufactures and sells products and lines of products, and by virtue of the extensive, use, sale and advertising by SEIRUS, the associated SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS and SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS have become inherently distinctive and have acquired distinctiveness, secondary meaning, and sufficient fame to signify SEIRUS as the manufacturer and source of said products and lines of products.
- 37. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANTS have unlawfully and without license or right, copied, imitated, and otherwise created a collection of products and lines of products including the ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS, all of which emulate, imitate, palm off as, pass off as and copy the SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS and SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS to thereby emulate, imitate, palm off as, and pass off their products as SEIRUS products.
- 38. The activities of DEFENDANTS in advertising, selling and offering to sell each of the ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS, separately and together, is likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source and origin thereof so that purchasers thereof and others will likely be confused and believe the ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS emanate from SEIRUS. In turn, DEFENDANTS are unfairly competing and misrepresenting their products to be those of SEIRUS in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 39. The activities of DEFENDANTS in advertising for sale, offering for sale, and selling the ACCUSED TRADE DRESS PRODUCTS constitute unlawful and tortious unfair competition, palming off and passing off, and misrepresentation as to the source of goods in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1).

- 8 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	40.	SEIRUS believes it has suffered and continues to suffer lost sales and, in turn,
damag	es as a c	lirect result of the unlawful and unfair competition of DEFENDANTS. Under 15
U.S.C	. § 1117	, SEIRUS is entitled to damages, including lost profits and the costs of this action
to be s	hown at	trial or upon an accounting.

- On information and belief, DEFENDANTS' unfair competition in violation of 15 41. U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1) is willful and done with an intent to harm SEIRUS or in reckless disregard for the rights of SEIRUS such that SEIRUS is entitled to triple damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b).
- Under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b), this is an exceptional case and SEIRUS is entitled to 42. recover its attorneys' fees.
- SEIRUS has been and continues to be damaged by the unlawful unfair 43. competition of DEFENDANTS and will be irreparably harmed unless the unlawful infringing activities are permanently enjoined by this Court under the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1116. SEIRUS is entitled to an injunction enjoining and restraining DEFENDANTS from further acts of unfair competition.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.]

- SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and 44. every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. provides that unfair 45. competition means and includes "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
- 46. By and through DEFENDANTS' conduct, including the conduct detailed above, DEFENDANTS have engaged in activities that constitute unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices prohibited by Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq.
- DEFENDANTS' acts of intentional and willful trade dress infringement as 47. alleged above constitute unfair competition actionable under the laws of the State of California as unlawful business acts or practices in that, inter alia, said acts violate the federal Lanham Act.

Specifically, and without limitation, DEFENDANTS' actions of designing, manufacturing,
packaging, selling, distributing, and/or offering for sale in interstate commerce products bearing
the SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS and SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS, without
consent of SEIRUS, have caused and continue to cause a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and
deception in the minds of the public. Furthermore, said actions have a significant negative
impact on the commercial value of and market for SEIRUS' products under the SEIRUS
PRODUCT TRADE DRESS and SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS, as well as the value
of and market for other products bearing the SEIRUS name.

- 48. DEFENDANTS' acts of infringement as alleged above constitute unfair competition actionable under the laws of the State of California as fraudulent business acts or practices, in that, *inter alia*, said acts are likely to confuse the public as to the origin of the products.
- 49. DEFENDANTS' acts of infringement as alleged above constitute unfair competition actionable under the laws of the State of California as deceptive and false advertising, in that, *inter alia*, said acts are likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception.
- 50. Such acts and omissions described above are unlawful, unfair, fraudulent, deceptive, misleading, and untrue and constitute a violation of Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. SEIRUS reserves the right to identify additional violations by DEFENDANTS as may be established through discovery.
- 51. As a result of DEFENDANTS' said acts of unfair competition, SEIRUS has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, and SEIRUS has no adequate remedy at law with respect to this injury. Unless the acts of unfair competition are enjoined by this Court, SEIRUS will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
- 52. As a direct and legal result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent conduct described above, DEFENDANTS have been and will continue to be unjustly enriched with ill-gotten gains.

28 | | ///

///

8

15

Irvine, CA 92612

Gordon & Rees LLP 2211 Michelson Drive Suite 400

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Unjust Enrichment

- 53. SEIRUS refers to, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by this reference, each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. DEFENDANTS' acts of misappropriation and illegal use of SEIRUS' respective intellectual property rights and valuable goodwill associated with the rights have resulted in DEFENDANTS being unjustly enriched at SEIRUS' expense.
- 55. SEIRUS has invested heavily in the advertisement, promotion and building of goodwill related to the aforementioned intellectual property.
- 56. SEIRUS is therefore entitled to restitution of all ill-gotten profits related to the aforementioned intellectual property rights that have been retained by DEFENDANTS.

WHEREFORE, SEIRUS requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor, and against DEFENDANTS as follows:

- 1. For judgment that DEFENDANTS have infringed, contributorily infringed and/or induced the infringement of, at least one claim of the '804 PATENT and/or '690 PATENT;
- 2. That SEIRUS recover damages against DEFENDANTS under 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be determined at trial or by accounting for the lost profits, but no less than a reasonable royalty, on all sales of each of the infringing products alleged above and any others that are subsequently discovered in the course of this proceeding, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- 3. That the damages awarded pursuant to the preceding paragraph be increased to three times the amount awarded because this is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. That the Court declare this is an exceptional case and SEIRUS be awarded all of its attorneys' fees in connection with this matter under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- 5. That the Court preliminarily and/or permanently enjoin and restrain DEFENDANTS, their officers, agents, servants, employees and those persons in active concert or participation with DEFENDANTS, from further acts of infringement for the remaining life of the '804 PATENT and '690 PATENT under 35 U.S.C. § 283;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	6.	That the Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS and their
emplo	yees, ser	vants, agents, affiliates, distributors, dealers, attorneys, successors and/or assigns,
and al	l persons	in active concert or participation with DEFENDANTS, from manufacturing,
using,	selling,	offering to sell, importing for sale, advertising, displaying, or using any of the
ACCI	JSED TI	RADE DRESS PRODUCTS, or any products likely to cause confusion, mistake,
and de	eception,	or to misappropriate SEIRUS' intellectual property;

- 7. That the Court award SEIRUS damages for lost profits, loss of goodwill or other damages as appropriate;
- For restitution of all ill-gotten profits related to the intellectual property rights at 8. issue herein that have been retained by DEFENDANTS.
- For judgment that SEIRUS be awarded damages under U.S.C. § 1117 for all of its 9. lost profits, the profits of DEFENDANTS, and the costs of this action;
- That the damages awarded under the preceding paragraph are to be increased to 10. three times the amount awarded under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);
- 11. That DEFENDANTS, their officers, agents, servants, employees and those persons in active concert of participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from further acts of unfair competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1);
- That the Court direct the destruction of DEFENDANTS' current advertising, 12. promotional and related materials and products, as they relate to such activity in the United States, that bear the SEIRUS PRODUCT TRADE DRESS and/or SEIRUS PACKAGING TRADE DRESS and/or any other confusingly similar trade dress, and DEFENDANTS' current inventory of products pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 and other applicable laws;
 - That the Court award punitive damages for intentional and willful acts; 13.
- That the Court award SEIRUS its costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees in this 14. action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 35 U.S.C. § 235, and other applicable laws; and ///

28 ///

///

e- 1	,	Case 3:10-cv-01217-H -WMC Document 1 Filed 06/08/10 Page 13 of 15
	1 2	15. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.
	3 4 5 6 7	Dated: June 8, 2010 By: Matthew D. Murphey Kimberly D. Howatt Lindsay J. Hulley Attorneys for Plaintiff SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC.
	8	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	10	Plaintiff SEIRUS hereby demands a jury trial on all issues as to which a jury is available,
	11	as provided by Rule 38 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
	12	
LP ive	13	Dated: June 8, 2010 GORDON & REES LLP
Gordon & Rees LLP 2211 Michelson Drive Suite 400 Irvine, CA 92612	14	By:
ordon & Rec 11 Michelson Suite 400 Irvine, CA 9	15	Matthew D. Murphey Kimberly D. Howatt
Gord 2211 Irvi	16	ll Lindsav J. Hullev
	17	Attorneys for Plaintiff SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC.
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22 23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
SRUS/1059000/802017	74v.1	- 13 -
		COLONY A TANK

COMPLAINT

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS014350 Cashier ID: bhartman

Transaction Date: 06/08/2010

Payer Name: AMERICAN MESSENGER SERVICE

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: SEIRUS INNOVATIVE V KOMBI LTD Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-001217-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 5745 Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due:

\$350.00

Total Tendered: \$350.00 Change Amt:

\$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form the civil docket sheet. (SEE INST	e information contained here approved by the Judicial C	in neither replace no onference of the Un	or supplen ited States	nent the filing and se	rvice of p	6/08/10 Page 15 learnings or other papers as reced for the use of the Clerk of	quired by law, except as provided
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SEIRUS INNOVATIVE A	`		DEFENDANTS KOMBI LTD., a Connecticut corporation; KOMBI SPORTS, INC., a Canadian corporation				
(b) County of Residence of (EXCEP) (c) Attorney's (Firm Nam)	T IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF CALIFORNIA LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
Matthew D. Murphey, Esq Lindsay J. Hulley, Esq., Sl Gordon & Rees LLP	., SBN 194111; 3N 184924	. Tumber,			,	8Y(DIM
2211 Michelson Drive, Su Newport Beach, CA 9266 (949) 255-6950	-7			10	CV 3	.217WQH	BLM
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP C (For Diversity Cas		•	lace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defending) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	a Party)		zen of This State	_ ı	1 Incorporated or Princip of Business In Thi	s State
U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)		zen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 3	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In An	-
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Foreign Country			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL IN. 362 Personal Injumed. Malprice Med. Malprice Malprice Med. Med. Malprice Med. Med. Malprice Med. Med. Med. Med. Med. Med. Med. Med	JURY JURY	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & 625 Drug Related of Property 2 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Sta Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. F 40 Railway Labo 790 Other Labor L 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATI 462 Naturalization A 463 Habeas Corpu Alien Detaine 465 Other Immigra Actions	Drug Seizure I USC 881 Indards Relations Reporting Act r Act citigation Control Contr	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395f) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes Appeal to District
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Remo	Court Appell	ate Court	Reinstat Reopen	ed or	er distric ify)	t 6 Multidistrict Litigation	☐ 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 U.S.C. §§ 10:	51 <i>et. seq.</i> , 15 Ucause: Patent Inf	J.S.C. §	§ 1125 et. seq., ent, Federal Trac	and 35	onal statutes unless diversity U.S.C. §§ 271 et. seq. s Infringement, False D	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	A CLASS ACTION		DEMAND \$		CHECK YES JURY DEMA	only if demanded in complaint: AND: ⊠ Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	UDGE	IE ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD	- X	DOCKET NUMBER	
June 8, 2010 FOR ORFACE USE ONLY	. (-	18/10 B4	ATIOK	MET OF RECORD	KX	AND WAT	
REGENT 14350 A		APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDGE	American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com