















BJR 12/15/04 7:55

3:04-CV-02478 DIRECTED ELECTRONICS V. ETRONICS INC

\*1\*

\*CMP.\*

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

ORIGINAL

Case 3:04-cv-02478-JM-AJB Document 1 Filed 12/14/04 Page 2 of 25

Plaintiff DIRECTED ELECTRONICS, INC., ("DIRECTED") alleges as follows:

### THE PARTIES

- 1. DIRECTED is a California corporation with its principal place of business at One Viper Way, Vista, California 92083. DIRECTED is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing and selling, through non-exclusive authorized dealers, vehicle security and remote start systems including the VIPER®, CLIFFORD®, AVITAL® and PYTHON® systems.
- 2. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT ETRONICS, INC. (hereinafter "DEFENDANT") is a New York corporation doing business as Supreme Video and also as Etronics and is engaged in the business of selling goods in California and throughout the United States through the website address etronics.com and at the physical addresses of 31 Essex Street, New York, New York 10002 and/or 216 Maspeth Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11211.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1331 and 1338(a), this Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction in this matter over each of the following claims:
  - a. Patent infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. section 27;
  - b. Trademark infringement pursuant to 15 U.S.C. sections 1051, et. seq.; and
  - c. False designation of origin, false description or representation, and dilution in violation of the Lanham Act section 43(a), 15 U.S.C. section 1125(a).
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1331 and 1338(b), this Court has original jurisdiction in this matter over each of the following claims:
  - a. Unfair competition; and
  - Unfair business practices in violation of California's Business and Professions Code section 17200.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1367, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction in this matter over each of the following claims:

28 | ///

- State Trademark Infringement in violation of California Business &
   Professions Code section 14335;
- State dilution and injury to business reputation in violation of
   California Business & Professions Code section 14330; and
- c. Interference with contract.
- 6. Venue properly lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1391(a)(2). DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT is selling and/or offering to sell within this judicial district vehicle security and remote start systems which infringe one or more of the patents identified herein.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. DIRECTED has been engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing vehicle security systems for more than 14 years and was a pioneer in the field of manufacturing and distributing vehicle remote start products. DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, PYTHON®, VIPER® and other vehicle security/remote start products have a reputation in the industry for being of extremely high quality.
- 8. DIRECTED also is engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing vehicle audio systems and components under the trademarks DIRECTED®, PRECISION POWER<sup>TM</sup>, ADS®, and ORION®. DIRECTED's car audio systems and components have a reputation in the industry for being of extremely high quality.

## **Trademarks**

- 9. DIRECTED is the owner of and is using the following federally registered trademarks to promote, distinguish and sell its vehicle security systems:
  - CLIFFORD®, United States Trademark Registration No. 1,674,046, issued on February 4, 1992, with first use in commerce claimed as of June 1979.
  - AVITAL®, United States Trademark Registration No. 1717916
     issued on September 22, 1992.

- PYTHON®, United States Trademark Registration No. 1,822,606, issued on February 22, 1994, with first use in commerce claimed as of April 16, 1986.
- VIPER®, United States Trademark Registration No. 1,756,693, issued on March 9, 1993.
- ORION®, United States Trademark Registration No. 2,763,024 issued on September 16, 2003, with first use in commerce claimed as of January 1, 1991.
- ADS®, United States Trademark Registration No. 1,231,758 issued on March 22, 1983, with first use in commerce claimed as of December 31, 1969.
- DIRECTED®, United States Trademark Registration No. 2,740,977 issued on July 29, 2003, with first use in commerce claimed as of May 28, 1999.
- DEI®, United States Trademark Registration No. 1,873,747, issued on January 17, 1995.
- 10. DIRECTED additionally is the owner and uses the trademark MATRIX in connection with its vehicle security systems and the mark PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL in connection with its vehicle audio products. Federal registrations of these marks are pending.
- 11. Hereinafter, DIRECTED's trademarks identified above shall be referred to collectively as "DIRECTED's trademarks."
- 12. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DIRECTED, either itself or its predecessor-in-interest, has continually promoted the sale, through interstate commerce, of its vehicle security, remote start and vehicle audio products bearing some or all of the above trademarks since the claimed first use of such marks. Among other things, DIRECTED's trademarks are placed on its product packaging, on its product brochures and pamphlets, on banners and window decals, and on miscellaneous promotional merchandise including cups,

8

9

13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

///

coffee mugs, posters, t-shirts, etc. DIRECTED also uses its trademarks in television, newspaper and magazine advertisements.

13. As a result of DIRECTED's advertising, marketing and other promotional efforts, DIRECTED's trademarks have become widely known and extremely valuable goodwill has developed in each. By virtue of this advertising, marketing and promotion, and the extensive use of these marks, the DIRECTED trademarks also have become distinctive of DIRECTED's goods, and are closely identified with DIRECTED's goodwill and reputation.

#### **Patents**

- 14. Many of the components of DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® lines of vehicle security and remote start systems are covered by utility patents issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- 15. DIRECTED owns or is a licensee of the following active patents, among others, relating to vehicle security and/or remote start systems:
  - Electronically programmable remote control for vehicle security system, United States Patent No. 5,146,215 issued September 8, 1992.
  - Electronically programmable remote control access system, United
     States Patent No. 5,650,774 issued July 22, 1997.
  - Multi-Featured Security System With Self-Diagnostic Capability,
     United States Patent No. 4,887,064 issued December 12, 1989.
  - Electronic Vehicle Security System, United States Patent No.
     5,157,375 issued October 20, 1992.
  - Advanced Automotive Automation and Security System, United
     States Patent No. 5,534,845 issued July 9, 1996.
  - Advanced Method of Indicating Incoming Threat Level to an Electronically Secured Vehicle and Apparatus Therefore, United States Patent No. 5,646,591 issued July 8, 1997.

- Vehicle Alarm Case Module, United States Patent No. Des. 345,711
   issued April 5, 1994.
- Motion Sensitive Security System, United States Patent No.
   4,584,569 issued April 22, 1986 (Reexamination No. B1 4,584,569 issued June 19, 1990).
- Method of Indicating the Threat Level of an Incoming Shock to an Electronically Secured Vehicle and Apparatus Therefore, United States Patent No. 5,532,670 issued July 2, 1996.
- Remote Control Transmitter, United States Patent No. Des. 419,474
   issued January 25, 2000.
- Siren, United States Patent No. 345,317 issued March 22, 1994.
- Car Alarm Having A Soft Chirp Arming Signal, United States Patent
   No. 5,572,185 issued November 5, 1996.
- User-Programmable Voice Notification Device for Security Alarm Systems, United States Patent No. 5,245,694 issued September 14, 1993.
- Advanced Embedded Code Hopping System, United States Patent
   No. 5,872,519 issued February 16, 1999.
- Alarm Sensor Multiplexing, United States Patent No. 5,783,989
   issued July 21, 1998.
- 16. DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® products are covered by one or more claims of the above listed patents.
- DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® products have actual or constructive notice of the applicable patents. For example, DIRECTED gives notice to the public of the patents covering its vehicle security systems by placing a card or piece of paper in each product box which lists all of DIRECTED's patents by number and states that "This product is covered by one or more of the following U.S. patents..."

## **Authorized Distribution Only**

ļ

- 18. DIRECTED permits its CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems to be advertised, sold and installed only by its contractually authorized dealers. DIRECTED's dealers are trained, supported and monitored by DIRECTED and its representatives. DIRECTED's dealers are chosen, in part, because they have appropriate facilities and installation equipment and because they have skilled and trained vehicle security system installers.
- and other resources in an effort to control the quality of the installation of its vehicle security and remote start products. In addition to being highly selective when choosing its dealers, DIRECTED spends significant time, effort and money educating its dealers with respect to DIRECTED's products, and training its dealers with respect to the installation of its vehicle security systems. DIRECTED, at considerable expense, further provides its dealers with "real-time" telephone support and access to computerized information regarding the detailed electrical systems of, and installation and wiring requirements for, numerous domestic and foreign automobiles sold in the United States.
- 20. DIRECTED also visually monitors its authorized dealers from time to time to make sure they are maintaining the necessary quality standards for the sale and installation of DIRECTED's products.
- 21. DIRECTED often terminates authorized dealers if it finds they have violated the terms of their agreement.
- 22. If a CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® or VIPER® vehicle security system is not installed properly, it will not adequately protect against theft of the vehicle.
- 23. If a CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® or VIPER® vehicle remote start system is not installed properly, it will not properly turn the vehicle on and off.
- 24. More importantly, faulty installation of security or remote start systems may interfere with the proper functioning of the vehicle and, as a result, pose a safety risk to the customer and others, including creating a fire hazard. It is for these reasons, among others, that

8

11

13

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

DIRECTED spends considerable time, effort and resources educating, training and supporting its authorized dealers with respect to the sale and installation of its CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems.

- 25. Maintaining control over the quality of the installation of CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems is further crucial to DIRECTED because the failure of the system to operate properly -- even though that failure is due to faulty installation as opposed to a defect in the product itself -- will cause the consumer to believe that the product itself is defective. The goodwill and reputation, that DIRECTED has spent substantial time, effort and money developing, will thereby be tarnished and damaged, particularly where the failure of the system to operate properly causes a theft of either the consumer's vehicle or personal property located inside the vehicle. It is for this additional reason, as well as those stated above, that in addition to providing extensive training for its authorized dealers, DIRECTED contractually obligates its authorized dealers to install the vehicle security and remote start systems on the dealer's premises, occasionally visits its authorized dealers to observe the quality of installation of its products, makes available to its authorized dealers, by telephone, the technical representatives capable of assisting the dealers with installation problems as they arise, and provides the computer software to its authorized dealers containing the electronic circuitry for numerous domestic and foreign vehicles sold in the United States and abroad.
- 26. To further maintain control over the quality of its product installation,
  DIRECTED does not permit its authorized dealers to sell DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®,
  AVITAL®, PYTHON® or VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems to anyone who is
  not an ultimate consumer, and they are not permitted to sell CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®,
  PYTHON® or VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems to an ultimate consumer
  unless the alarm/remote start is installed by the authorized dealer on the authorized dealer's
  premises.
- 27. Like its vehicle security and remote start products, DIRECTED uses only contractually authorized dealers to distribute its vehicle audio products, including its ADS®,

ORION® and PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL™ lines. By contract, these dealers are permitted to sell DIRECTED's vehicle audio products only to end use consumers, but the products may be sold with or without installation.

28. At the time of the actions complained of herein, DEFENDANT was not an authorized dealer of any DIRECTED products.

## Warranty

- 29. As one of its primary marketing tools, DIRECTED includes with each CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security/remote start system its limited lifetime consumer warranty. DIRECTED will not honor its limited lifetime warranty on products purchased from unauthorized dealers.
- 30. DIRECTED's vehicle security/remote start warranty specifically states in the warranty that it is valid if "the unit was professionally installed and serviced by an authorized Directed dealer." Thus, anyone purchasing a CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON®, or VIPER® vehicle security/remote start system from an unauthorized dealer or an authorized dealer who sold the product without installation does not receive any warranty or guarantee of the product from DIRECTED.
- 31. DIRECTED's ongoing business depends heavily upon the proper functioning of its CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security/remote start systems in vehicles in which it is installed, on the valuable reputation it has developed as a result of the quality of its product and its installation, and on the goodwill it has developed through the sale, promotion and marketing of its products and its trademarks. The limited lifetime warranty has further contributed to the excellent reputation DIRECTED enjoys with the general public with respect to its vehicle security and remote start products.
- 32. On its ADS®, ORION®, and PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL™ vehicle audio products, DIRECTED provides a two year limited consumer warranty if the consumer purchased the product with installation from an authorized dealer. If the consumer purchases the DIRECTED vehicle audio product without installation, DIRECTED provides a ///

one year limited consumer warranty. DIRECTED will not honor its two year or one year limited warranties on vehicle audio products purchased from unauthorized dealers.

33. DIRECTED has expended substantial time and money in making the general public aware of the benefits of its warranties.

### **Defendant's Conduct**

- 34. DIRECTED is informed and believes that, subsequent to the adoption and use by DIRECTED of its DIRECTED trademarks, DEFENDANT, who is not a DIRECTED authorized dealer, commenced advertising and marketing vehicle security and remote start systems by using the trademarks to draw in customers. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT is continuing to advertise using DIRECTED's registered trademarks.
- 35. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT has falsely represented to the public through advertising with the CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® marks that DEFENDANT is authorized by DIRECTED to sell and/or advertise CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® products.
- 36. DIRECTED is informed and believes that, despite not being an authorized dealer, DEFENDANT has sold and offered for sale CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and/or VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems to end user customers and/or to distributors, including within California.
- 37. DEFENDANT has sold CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and/or VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems uninstalled and, thus, without any knowledge, concern or control as to whether the vehicle security or remote start system is ever installed, and, if so, whether the system is installed correctly.
- 38. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT knows or by the exercise of reasonable care should know that DIRECTED sells its CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems through authorized dealers only and they are permitted to resell only with installation. This fact is generally known in the vehicle security industry. And, DIRECTED expressly informed DEFENDANT that DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote

start systems are sold only through authorized dealers and only to end users in an installed

condition. DIRECTED has demanded that DEFENDANT stop selling DIRECTED's

CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems and using its registered trademarks to promote the sale of products. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT has refused to stop his improper activities and continues to use DIRECTED's registered trademarks and sell its products without DIRECTED's authority or consent.

39. The unauthorized promotion and sale of DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®,

AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems by

- AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems by DEFENDANT, his failure to maintain control over the quality of the installation of the systems, and his use of DIRECTED's registered trademarks and patented materials without DIRECTED's authorization or consent, have caused damage to DIRECTED's reputation and goodwill and to the value of DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON®, VIPER®, and other trademarks and its patents.
- ORION®, ADS®, and PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL™ vehicle audio products without authorization, including using DIRECTED's trademarks to advertise such products.

  DIRECTED is further informed and believes that DEFENDANT is not informing consumers purchasing DIRECTED's audio products from DEFENDANT that such products are not covered by warranty, but rather is fostering the false belief by consumers that products purchased from DEFENDANT are covered by DIRECTED's warranty, which they are not.

## **First Cause of Action**

## Federal Patent Infringement

## 35 U.S.C. Section 271

- 41. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs I through 40 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 42. DIRECTED sells its CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems only through authorized dealers who have entered

into a written agreement with DIRECTED which expressly prohibits the authorized dealer from reselling CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® products to anyone other than the end user in an installed condition. DIRECTED is informed and believes that the terms and conditions of DIRECTED's authorized dealer agreements, including the resale restrictions, are known in the car alarm retail industry and are or should in the exercise of reasonable care be known to DEFENDANT. True and correct copies of DIRECTED's form authorized dealer agreement, as it currently exists, is incorporated herein by reference and attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- d3. DEFENDANT has infringed and is believed to be directly infringing, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, Patent Nos. 5,146,215 (electronically programmable remote control for vehicle security system); 5,650,774 (electronically programmable remote control access system); 4,887,064 (multi-featured security system with self-diagnostic capability); 5,157,375 (electronic vehicle security system); 5,534,845 (advanced automotive and security system); and 5,646,591 (advanced method of indicating threat), within the United States in violation of 35 U.S.C. section 271(a) by selling and/or offering for sale within this judicial district, without license from DIRECTED, products which incorporate and utilize the inventions and/or designs claimed in the patents listed previously in this paragraph.
- A4. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT has contributed to and is contributing to the infringement of Patent Nos. 5,146,215 (electronically programmable remote control for vehicle security system); 5,650,774 (electronically programmable remote control access system); 4,887,064 (multi-featured security system with self-diagnostic capability); 5,157,375 (electronic vehicle security system); 5,534,845 (advanced automotive and security system); and 5,646,591 (advanced method of indicating threat) in violation of 35 U.S.C. section 271(c). DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT has induced and is continuing to induce one or more of DIRECTED's authorized dealers and/or end users to infringe the patents listed previously in this paragraph in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

- 45. DIRECTED has no adequate remedy at law and is, therefore, entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting further infringement by DEFENDANT.
- 46. DEFENDANT's infringing activities have been and are willful and deliberate. DIRECTED is entitled to recover treble damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. section 284, reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses of litigation pursuant to 35 U.S.C. section 285, and prejudgment interest pursuant to 35 U.S.C. section 284.
- 47. As a result of DEFENDANT's infringing activities, DIRECTED has been damaged in an amount to be proved at trial, but believed to be in excess of \$100,000. At a minimum, DIRECTED is entitled to recover a reasonable royalty for the acts of infringement by DEFENDANT.

## **Second Cause of Action**

# Federal Trademark Infringement

## 15 U.S.C. Section 1114

- 48. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 49. DEFENDANT has been using, in interstate commerce, DIRECTED's registered trademarks, including CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, VIPER®, and PYTHON®, without DIRECTED's consent, in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution and/or advertising of vehicle security and remote start systems, including the unauthorized sale and offering for sale of vehicle security and remote start systems manufactured by DIRECTED.
- 50. The use of DIRECTED's trademarks in connection with the promotion, sale, offering for sale and advertising of vehicle security and remote start systems by DEFENDANT likely causes confusion, mistake and/or deception, and has caused confusion, mistake and/or deception, in that such use is likely to, and does, deceive the public into believing that there is an association between DIRECTED and DEFENDANT, that the CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, VIPER®, or PYTHON® product the consumer purchases is a complete product with all of its component parts and literature, that the

control DIRECTED exercises over the quality of the installation of the CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, VIPER®, and PYTHON® vehicle security and remote start systems is being exercised in connection with the purchase and installation of such a security/remote start system from DEFENDANT, and that DIRECTED's standard warranties and guarantees apply, when in fact there is no such association, there is no quality control of the product by DEFENDANT, there is no such exercise of control by DIRECTED over the installation of the vehicle security/remote start system, and DIRECTED's limited lifetime warranty does not apply.

- 51. To further the confusion, mistake and/or deception caused by DEFENDANT's unauthorized use of DIRECTED's registered trademarks, DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT, when selling CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, VIPER®, and PYTHON® products, includes therewith DIRECTED's standard limited lifetime warranty. In fact, the limited lifetime warranty is not applicable because the product is not being sold and/or installed by a DIRECTED authorized dealer.
- 52. DEFENDANT has been using, in interstate commerce, DIRECTED's registered trademarks, including ADS® and ORION®, without DIRECTED's consent, in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution and/or advertising of vehicle audio components manufactured by DIRECTED.
- 53. The use of DIRECTED's trademarks in connection with the promotion, sale, offering for sale and advertising of vehicle audio components by DEFENDANT likely causes confusion, mistake and/or deception, and has caused confusion, mistake and/or deception, in that such use is likely to, and does, deceive the public into believing that there is an association between DIRECTED and DEFENDANT, and that DIRECTED's standard warranties and guarantees apply, when in fact there is no such association and DIRECTED's limited warranty does not apply.
- 54. To further the confusion, mistake and/or deception caused by DEFENDANT's unauthorized use of DIRECTED's registered trademarks, DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT, when selling ADS® and ORION® products,

includes therewith DIRECTED's standard limited warranty. In fact, the limited warranty is not applicable because the product is not being sold and/or installed by a DIRECTED authorized dealer.

- 55. DEFENDANT's unauthorized use of DIRECTED's trademarks in the advertising of vehicle security, remote start and vehicle audio products constitutes unlawful infringement under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1114.
- 56. DEFENDANT's acts herein alleged were willful, entitling DIRECTED to recover DEFENDANT's profits, damages sustained by DIRECTED, treble damages and costs.
- 57. As a result of DEFENDANT's improper and unauthorized activities,
  DIRECTED has suffered, and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at
  trial, but believed to be in excess of \$100,000.
- 58. DIRECTED has incurred and will continue to incur attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this action and is entitled to recover such fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. section 1117(a).
- 59. Unless and until this Court restrains and enjoins DEFENDANT from using DIRECTED's trademarks and from selling, offering for sale and advertising DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, VIPER® and PYTHON® vehicle security and remote start systems, DEFENDANT will continue his unauthorized and improper activities.

## **Third Cause of Action**

# Federal Unfair Competition/False Designation of Origin 15 U.S.C. Section 1125(a)

- 60. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 59 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 61. DEFENDANT's unauthorized use of DIRECTED's trademarks and patented technology, and DEFENDANT's express or implied misrepresentations concerning his affiliation with DIRECTED and/or the applicability of DIRECTED's warranties in connection with the promotion, offering for sale and sale of vehicle security systems,

///

remote start systems, and/or audio components constitutes a false designation of origin and/or false and misleading representations, works and symbols in violation of section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1125(a).

- 62. As a result of DEFENDANT's improper and unauthorized activities, DIRECTED has suffered and will suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial, but believed to be in excess of \$100,000.
- 63. DIRECTED has incurred and will continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs in the prosecution of this lawsuit.

## **Fourth Cause of Action**

## **Tortious Interference with Contract**

- 64. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 63 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 65. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT knows, or by the exercise of reasonable care should know, that DIRECTED sells CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, VIPER®, and PYTHON® products only to authorized dealers who are contractually obligated to resell the products in an installed condition, to ultimate consumers, and over whom DIRECTED can exercise control with respect to the content and installation of its products.
- 66. DIRECTED is informed and believes that DEFENDANT knows, or by the exercise of reasonable care should know, that DIRECTED sells ORION®, ADS®, and PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL<sup>TM</sup> products only to authorized dealers who are contractually obligated to resell the products to ultimate consumers, and over whom DIRECTED can exercise control with respect to the content and installation of its products.
- 67. DIRECTED is informed and believes that by purchasing DIRECTED products for resale from parties other than DIRECTED, DEFENDANT intentionally, recklessly, or negligently committed acts designed to cause and encourage the breach of contract by one of more of DIRECTED's authorized dealers.

DEFENDANT's sale of CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and

VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems, which are not installed by an authorized

authorized dealer, in addition to interfering with DIRECTED's contracts with its authorized

dealers, will injure the goodwill associated with DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®,

PYTHON®, VIPER® and related trademarks, and the valuable reputation DIRECTED has

DEFENDANT's sale of ORION®, ADS®, and PRECISION POWER

developed with respect to vehicle security and remote start systems, all to DIRECTED's

INTERNATIONAL<sup>TM</sup> audio components, which carry no warranty as a result of not being

sold by an authorized dealer, in addition to interfering with DIRECTED's contracts with its

authorized dealers, will injure the goodwill associated with DIRECTED's trademarks, and

damage in an amount to be proved at trial but believed to be in excess of \$100,000.

dealer and which carry no warranty as a result of not being sold and installed by an

68.

69.

4

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

2526

2728

the valuable reputation DIRECTED has developed with respect to vehicle audio components, all to DIRECTED's damage in an amount to be proved at trial but believed to be in excess of \$100,000.

70. DIRECTED is informed and believes that each of the acts and omissions by DEFENDANT complained of in this cause of action constitutes an act done willfully and with malice, thereby supporting the award of exemplary damages.

Fifth Cause of Action

# <u>Unfair Competition/Unfair Business Practices</u>

## Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200

- 71. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 70 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 72. DEFENDANT's unauthorized sale of DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®,
  AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems and
  ADS®, ORION®, and PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL™ audio components,
  DEFENDANT's representations, express or implied, concerning any affiliation with
  DIRECTED, and/or DEFENDANT's express or implied representations concerning the

applicability of DIRECTED's limited warranties in connection with the sale of DIRECTED's products constitute unfair and fraudulent business practices within the meaning of California's Unfair Trade Practices Act, California Business & Professions Code sections 17200 et. seq.

- 73. DEFENDANT's unfair and deceptive business practices have damaged DIRECTED in an amount to be proved at trial, but believed to be in excess of \$100,000.
- 74. DEFENDANT's unfair and deceptive business practices have and will continue to injure DIRECTED, its authorized dealers and the public unless and until they are enjoined by this Court.

## Sixth Cause of Action

## **State Trademark Infringement**

## Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 14335

- 75. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs I through 74 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 76. DEFENDANT, without DIRECTED's authority or consent, has used, and continues to use, DIRECTED's registered and common law trademarks for the purpose of enhancing the commercial value of, selling and soliciting the sale of products, merchandise and goods in California, in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 14335.
- 77. DEFENDANT's acts and omissions will continue unless and until enjoined by this Court.

## **Seventh Cause of Action**

# **Dilution and Injury to Business Reputation**

## Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 14330

- 78. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 77 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 79. DIRECTED's registration under Title 15 of the United States Code and extensive, prominent and continued promotion and use of its CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®,

PYTHON®, ADS®, ORION®, and VIPER®, trademarks have caused these trademarks to become distinctive in the mind of the public, and have further caused DIRECTED's products, including the high quality of those products, to be distinguished from the products of others.

- 80. DEFENDANT's unauthorized use of DIRECTED's registered trademarks and/or unauthorized sale of DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems and DIRECTED's ADS® and ORION® audio components, dilutes the distinctive quality, and tarnishes the valuable image, of DIRECTED's trademarks, and, further, creates a likelihood of injury to the business reputation of DIRECTED all in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 14330.
- 81. DEFENDANT's acts have harmed DIRECTED in an amount to be proved at trial, but believed to be in excess of \$100,000.
- 82. DEFENDANT's acts and omissions will continue unless and until enjoined by this Court.

## **Eighth Cause of Action**

# Common Law Unfair Competition

- 83. DIRECTED refers to and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 82 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 84. DEFENDANT has attempted to and has obtained economic benefit from, and has further competed with DIRECTED's authorized dealers acting within the scope of such authorization and taken business away from them, and consequently from DIRECTED, by trading upon the goodwill and reputation that DIRECTED has established through the expenditure of substantial sums of time, effort and money. DEFENDANT has, without authorization, used DIRECTED's registered trademarks and patented material to promote the sale of vehicle security systems, and/or he has made misrepresentations to customers with respect to his affiliation and/or association with DIRECTED, and/or he has made misrepresentations to customers concerning the applicability of DIRECTED's limited

 vehicle security and/or remote start systems which he has sold, and/or he has made misrepresentations to customers concerning the applicability of DIRECTED's limited consumer warranty on DIRECTED's ADS®, ORION® and PRECISION POWER INTERNATIONAL stereo components which he has sold.

- As a result of the acts and omissions of DEFENDANT as alleged herein, DIRECTED has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary damages in an amount to be proved at trial, but believed to be in excess of \$100,000. Additionally, DIRECTED has incurred, and will incur, further attorney's fees and costs in connection with the prosecution of this lawsuit against DEFENDANT.
- 86. DIRECTED is informed and believes that each of the acts and omissions by DEFENDANT complained of in this cause of action constitutes an act done willfully and with malice, thereby supporting the award of exemplary damages.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, DIRECTED prays for relief as follows:

## As to the first, second, third, fifth, sixth, and seventh causes of action:

- 87. For an injunction enjoining DEFENDANT, his agents, affiliates, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation or privity with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from infringing DIRECTED's patents, including but not limited to the following: Patent Nos. 5,146,215 (electronically programmable remote control for vehicle security system); 5,650,774 (electronically programmable remote control access system); 4,887,064 (multi-featured security system with self-diagnostic capability); 5,157,375 (electronic vehicle security system); 5,534,845 (advanced automotive and security system); and 5,646,591 (advanced method of indicating threat);
- 88. For an injunction enjoining DEFENDANT, his agents, affiliates, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation or privity with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from selling or advertising DIRECTED's vehicle security and/or remote start systems and/or audio components without authorization, or using

28 | ///

///

DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITA	AL®, PYTHON®, A	DS®, ORION®,	VIPER® or other
trademarks;			

- 89. For an order requiring DEFENDANT to immediately cease all advertising containing DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON®, ADS®, ORION® and VIPER® trademarks and immediately deliver such items to DIRECTED;
- 90. For an order requiring DEFENDANT to deliver to DIRECTED all CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON® and VIPER® vehicle security and remote start systems within his possession;
- 91. For an order precluding DEFENDANT from using any false designation of origin or false description, including DIRECTED's CLIFFORD®, AVITAL®, PYTHON®, ADS®, ORION® and VIPER® trademarks, that can, or is likely, to lead the consuming public, or individual members thereof, to believe that any product manufactured, distributed or sold by DEFENDANT is in any manner associated or connected with DIRECTED, or is sold, licensed, warranted, sponsored, approved or authorized by DIRECTED;
- 92. For a judgment and order that DEFENDANT be required to supply DIRECTED with a complete record of all transactions, agreement, and other activities involving or connected with the purchase, making, using, or selling of infringing devices or activities;
- 93. For an order directing DEFENDANT to file with the Court and serve upon DIRECTED's counsel within thirty days after entry of the order of injunction, a report setting forth the manner and form in which the DEFENDANT has complied with the above specified terms of injunction; and
- 94. For an order awarding to DIRECTED all of DEFENDANT's profits or gains of any kind resulting from DEFENDANT's unauthorized sale and/or advertising of DIRECTED's products, and/or DIRECTED's lost profits and other damages as may be proven, and/or a reasonable royalty for DEFENDANT's unauthorized sales and/or advertising of DIRECTED's products.

AO 120 (3/85)

# TO: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

# REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT

In compliance with the Act of July 19, 1952 (66 Stat. 814; 35 U.S.C. 290) you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed on the following patent(s) in the U.S. District Court:

that a court action has been filed on the following patent(s) in the U.S. District Court:							
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COUR	Т				
04cv2478JM(AJB)	12/14/04	United States Dis	strict Court, Southern Distr	ict of California			
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT					
Directed Electronics, Inc		Etronics, Inc					
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE				
1 See attached complaint							
2							
3							
4							
5							
In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:							
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY Amendment	Answer	Cross Bill	Other Pleading			
			Cross Bill	Other reading			
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE	Onici i leading			
PATENT NO.				Other Freatung			
				Outer Freatung			
1				Outer reading			
2				Outer reading			
2 3				Outer reading			
1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT						
1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE				
1 2 3 4 5 In the above	DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE				
1 2 3 4 5 In the above	DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE				
1 2 3 4 5 In the above	DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE				
1 2 3 4 5 In the above	DATE OF PATENT	wing decision has been re	PATENTEE				

Copy 1 - Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3 - Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 2 - Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4 - Case file copy

# Case 3:04-cv-02478 MAJE CONFIRSH FET iled 12/14/04 Page 25 of 25

The IS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS Directed Electronics, Inc.  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			DEFENDANTS							
				Etronic	Etronics, Inc.			FILE		
			<del></del>	County of Residence of First Listed  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE-THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  OUTHER COSTRICT COUR					9:57	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kristen E. Caverly Henderson & Caverly LLP P.O. Box 9144			Attorneys (If K	.nown)	78 JM		Λ	DEPUT		
- ,	nta Fe, CA 92	2067		04 0	<b>V</b>	700	مصرأ	•		
I. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	" in One Box Only)		IZENSHIP OF F		L PARTIES(				
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	№ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern	ı ment Not a Party)	•	Diversity Cases Only) en of This State E	DEF	Incorporated or of Business In	and One Box for Principal Place n This State		nt) DEF	
] 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz in Item III)	zenship of Parties		en of Another State   E	3 0 3		d Principal Place n Another State	□ 5 □ 6	□ 5 □ 6	
		<u> </u>		reign Country		- Torcigii Matton				
V. NATURE OF SUI		One Box Only) ORTS	FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	V RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER ST	CATIITE	25	
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of   150 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUF    362 Personal Injury—   Med. Malpractic   365 Personal Injury -   Product Liability   368 Asbestos Person   Injury Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPEF   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal   Property Damage   Product Liability   PRISONER PETITIC   510 Motions to Vaca Sentence   Habeas Corpus:   530 General   535 Death Penalty   540 Mandamus & Ot   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition	RY	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other  LABOR  10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relation: 30 Labor/Mgmt. Relation: 40 Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 App   423 Witt 28 U   PROPE   820 Cop   830 Pate   840 Trac   SOCIA   861 HIA   862 Blac   863 DIW   864 SSII   865 RSI   FEDERA   870 Taxe   or D.   871 IRS-	hdrawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS syrights ent demark  L SECURITY  (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	400 State Reap 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and 450 Commerce 460 Deportatio 470 Racketeer Corrupt Or 810 Selective S Exchange 875 Customer ( 12 USC 34 891 Agricultur 892 Economic 893 Environme 894 Energy All 895 Freedom o Informatio 900 Appeal of Determinat Access to 950 Constitutio State State	Banking 2/ICC Rate Influences Gervice Commodi Challenge 31 Acts Stabilizati mtal Matte location A f m Act Fee ion Under Justice mality of utes	es/etc.  d and ns ties/ tion Act ers cct	
7. ORIGIN  1 1 Original	ate Court /	X ONLY)  Remanded from Cappellate Court  State under which you are fi	Rcop	another tated or 5 (specienced	• /	□ 6 Multidistr Litigation	Jud io• □ 7 Ma	peal to D ge from gistrate Igment	istrict	
(15 U.S.C.		nal statutes unless diversity 5 U.S.C. Se	ction	1 271); Tra	ıdemar)		·			
II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THE UNDER F.R.C.I	S IS A CLASS ACTIO P. 23		MANDS than \$100,		HECK YES only I URY DEMAND:		omplaint: No		
IF ANY See N	(See E(S) instructions): otice of Rel	JUDG ated Cases				T NUMBER				
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	10 2004	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF	RECORD						
RECEIPT * 109474 A	MOUN 150,00	APPLYING IFP	•	JUDGE		MAG, JUD	GE	<del></del>		