















BJR 2/16/01 14:37

3:01-CV-00278 INVITROGEN CORP V. DISPLAY SYS BIOTECH

1

CMP.

	Case 3:01-cv-00278-J-LAB Document	1 Filed 02/15/01 Page 2 of 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MAZZARELLA, DUNWOODY & CALDARI William J. Caldarelli (California State Bar No. Cynthia G. Iliff (California State Bar No. 550 West "C" Street, Suite 700 San Diego, CA 92101-8575 Telephone: (619) 238-4900 Facsimile: (619) 238-4959 Attorneys for Plaintiff INVITROGEN CORPO	149373) APM 4: 06 COUNT COURT OF CAMERICAN
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT
9	FOR THE SOUTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	INVITROGEN CORPORATION,	Civil Child Colo 278 R LAB
11	 Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT OF INVITROGEN
12	Vs.) CORPORATION FOR: (1) DIRECT) PATENT INFRINGEMENT; AND (2)
13	DISPLAY SYSTEMS BIOTECH, INC,) INDUCEMENT TO INFRINGE)
14	Defendant.) Jury Demanded)
15 16		
17	COMP	LAINT
18	Plaintiff Invitrogen Corporation ("Invitr	rogen"), for its complaint against Defendant
19	Display Systems Biotech, Inc. ("DSB"), alleges	as follows:
20	<u>PAR</u>	<u>TIES</u>
21	1. Plaintiff Invitrogen is a corporat	ion organized and existing under the laws of
22	the State of Delaware, with its principle place of	of business at 1600 Faraday Ave., Carlsbad, CA
23	92008	
24	2. On information and belief, Defe	ndant DSB is a corporation organized and
25	existing under the laws of the State of Californi	a, with its principal place of business located
26	at 1260 Liberty Way, Vista, CA 92083.	
 הר		

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, and more particularly under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 271, et seq.
- 4. Jurisdiction of this cause is conferred on this Court by Title 28, U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 5. Venue properly lies in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § § 1391 and 1400(b) in that Defendant DSB resides and conducts business in this judicial district.
 - 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant DSB.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 7. The following United States Patents (these patents are hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents") were duly and legally issued to Michael L. Kotewicz and Gary F. Gerard on the dates indicated:
 - United States Letters Patent No. 5,668,005 entitled, "CLONED GENES ENCODING REVERSE TRANSCRIPTASE LACKING RNASE H ACTIVITY", issued on September 16, 1997, and
 - United States Letters Patent No. 5,224,797 entitled, "CLONED GENES ENCODING REVERSE TRANSCRIPTASE LACKING RNASE H ACTIVITY", issued on September 14, 1993.
- 8. Plaintiff Invitrogen is the record owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents with the right to sue and recover for past, present and future infringement thereof.
- 9. Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents describe and claim certain polypeptides having DNA polymerase activity with reduced, substantially reduced, or substantially no RNase H activity.
- 10. Plaintiff Invitrogen sells and distributes products styled SuperScript and SuperScript II, which are manufactured under one or more of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents, and marks said products with one or more of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents.

- 11. Defendant DSB has manufactured and sold a product styled "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase".
- 12. Defendant DSB has manufactured and sold a product styled "displayPROFILE", which contains as a component Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase".
- 13. Defendant DSB has manufactured and sold a product styled "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit", which contains as a component Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase".
- 14. On information and belief, Defendant DSB has manufactured and/or sold "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries", which was manufactured using Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" and/or any other reverse transcriptase product covered by one or more claims of Invitrogen's Patents.
- 15. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide having DNA polymerase activity and reduced RNase H activity.
- 16. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide having DNA polymerase activity and substantially reduced RNase H activity.
- 17. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide having DNA polymerase activity and substantially no RNase H activity.
- 18. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product may be used in the preparation of full length cDNA.
- 19. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product can synthesize full length cDNA from an mRNA template.
- 20. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product does not significantly degrade an mRNA template during first strand cDNA synthesis.

- 21. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product allows an mRNA template to remain intact during cDNA synthesis.
- 22. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide encoded by a modified reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence.
- 23. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide encoded by a modified reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that encodes a modified amino acid sequence with the result that the polypeptide has substantially reduced RNase H activity.
- 24. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a modified reverse transcriptase that has been modified in the region corresponding to amino acids 498-611 of M-MLV reverse transcriptase.
- 25. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a modified reverse transcriptase that has been modified within the RNase H domain.
- 26. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide encoded by a modified reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that encodes an amino acid sequence modified within the RNase H domain.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide encoded by a modified reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence derived from a retrovirus.
- 28. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is a polypeptide encoded by a modified reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence derived from Moloney murine leukemia virus.
- 29. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product is obtained by modifying a nucleotide sequence coding for a reverse transcriptase, transforming a host cell with the modified nucleotide sequence, culturing the

transformed host cell under conditions which produces the reverse transcriptase, and isolating the reverse transcriptase.

- 30. On information and belief, Defendant "DSB's M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase, RNase H" product is used for the preparation of cDNA of which at least 34% is full length.
- 31. On information and belief, Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product can synthesize at least 42% more full length cDNA than the amount of full length cDNA that can be synthesized by a reverse transcriptase that has not been modified to have reduced RNase H activity.
- 32. On information and belief, Defendant DSB has made, obtained, and/or used a DNA molecule_having a Moloney murine leukemia virus ("M-MLV") reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that has been modified in the RNase H domain.
- 33. On information and belief, Defendant DSB has made, obtained, and/or used a vector containing a DNA molecule having an M-MLV reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that has been modified in the RNase H domain.
- 34. On information and belief, Defendant DSB has made, obtained, and/or used a host cell containing a DNA molecule having an M-MLV reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that has been modified in the RNasc H domain.
- 35. On information and belief, Defendant DSB has made, obtained, and/or used a host cell containing a vector, which contains a DNA molecule having an M-MLV reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that has been modified in the RNase H domain.
- 36. On information and belief, Defendant and/or Defendant's customers use and/or have used Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product to make cDNA molecules by mixing the "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product with an mRNA template and incubating the mixture under conditions sufficient to make a cDNA molecule that is complementary to the mRNA template.
- 37. On information and belief, Defendant DSB has made the "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product by culturing a host cell containing a DNA molecule having an M-MLV reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that has been modified in the

RNase H domain under conditions sufficient to produce the reverse transcriptase, and by isolating the reverse transcriptase.

- 38. On information and belief, Defendant has made the "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product by culturing a host cell containing a vector, which contains a DNA molecule having an M-MLV reverse transcriptase nucleotide sequence that has been modified in the RNase H domain under conditions sufficient to produce the reverse transcriptase, and by isolating the reverse transcriptase.
- 39. Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product falls squarely within the scope of one or more claims of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents.
- 40. On information and belief, Defendant DSB had and has actual and/or constructive notice of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents.
- 41. At no time has Plaintiff Invitrogen granted to Defendant DSB a license to make, sell or use its "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product, "displayPROFILE" product, "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit" product, or "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries" product.
- 42. Defendant DSB did not and does not have a license under any one of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents to make, sell or use its "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product, "displayPROFILE" product, "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit" product, or "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries" product.
- 43. Defendant DSB has advertised its "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product, "displayPROFILE" product, "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit" product, and "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries" product, in its websites and/or product catalogues which it distributed, free of charge, to customers and potential customers throughout the world.
- 44. Defendant DSB has advertised its "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product as a reverse transcriptase with a reduced RNase H activity.
- 45. Defendant DSB has advertised its "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product as a reverse transcriptase having a very low RNase H activity.

l

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2

(Direct Patent Infringement)

4

5

3

46. Plaintiff Invitrogen repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 45 above as if fully repeated herein.

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

- 47. Defendant DSB has infringed one or more claims of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents by making, using, selling, and/or causing to be used in this Judicial District and elsewhere in the United States, for commercial purposes and without authority one or more of a polypeptide, reverse transcriptase, nucleotide sequence, vector, cDNA, cDNA library, and/or host cell as described in Paragraphs 1 through 45 above, including Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product, "displayPROFILE" product, "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit" product, and "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries" product.
- 48. Plaintiff Invitrogen has been damaged by the aforesaid infringing acts of Defendant DSB.
 - 49. Defendant DSB's infringing acts will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 50. Upon information and belief, the acts of infringement complained of herein have been carried out willfully and with full knowledge by Defendant DSB of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Inducement to Infringe)

- 51. Plaintiff Invitrogen repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 50 above as if fully repeated herein.
- 52. Defendant DSB has actively induced others to infringe one or more claims of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents by publishing advertisements and instructions intended to persuade others to use and/or make without authority one or more of a polypeptide, reverse transcriptase, nucleotide sequence, vector, cDNA, cDNA library, and/or host cell as described in Paragraphs 1 through 45 above, including Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT

Reverse Transcriptase", "displayPROFILE" product, "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit" product, and "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries" product.

- 53. Defendant DSB's customers who purchased and are using and/or have used Defendant DSB's "displayTHERMO-RT Reverse Transcriptase" product, "displayPROFILE" product, "displayGREEN cDNA Library Construction Kit" product, and/or "displayGREEN Pre-made Libraries" product, are directly infringing and/or have directly infringed one or more claims of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents.
- 54. Plaintiff Invitrogen has been damaged and will continue to be damaged by the aforesaid infringing acts of Defendant DSB.
 - 55. Defendant DSB's infringing acts will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 56. On information and belief, the acts of infringement complained of herein have been carried out willfully and with full knowledge by Defendant DSB of Plaintiff Invitrogen's Patents.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Invitrogen prays for the following:

- (a) A permanent injunction against continued patent infringement, either by direct infringement or by inducing the infringement of others, by Defendant DSB, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and upon those persons in active concert or participation with them;
- (b) An accounting for profits and damages, or general damages to be assessed by or under the Court's discretion, occasioned by the acts of Defendant DSB of which Complaint is made, together with pre- and post-judgment interest;
- (c) An increase of said damages not to exceed three times the amount found or assessed;
 - (d) An award of Plaintiff Invitrogen's costs herein:
 - (e) An award of Plaintiff Invitrogen's reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- (f) Judgment for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Case 3:01-cv-00278-J-LAB Document 1 Filed 02/15/01 Page 10 of 12

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY Pursuant to Rule 38, Fed. R. Civ. P., Plaintiff Invitrogen hereby demands a jury trial of the issues raised in the foregoing Complaint Dated: February 15, 2001 MAZZARELLA, DUNWOODY, & CALDAKELLI LLP By: WILLIAM J. CALDARELLI CYNTHIA G. ILIFF Attorneys for Plaintiffs INVITROGEN CORPORATION

AO 120 (3/85)

TO: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN **ACTION REGARDING A PATENT**

In compliance with the Act of July 19, 1952 (66 Stat. 814; 35 U.S.C. 290) you are hereby advised

that a court action has been filed on the following patent(s) in the U.S. District Court:					
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
01cv278R(LAB) 02/15/01		United States District Court, Southern District of California			
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT			
Invitrogen Corporation		Display Systems Biotech, Inc.			
PATENT NO. DATE OF PATENT		PATENTEE			
1 5,668,005	09/16/1997	Michael Kotevicz and Gary Gerard			
2 5,224,797	09/14/1993	Michael Kotewicz and Gary Gerard			
3					
4					
5					
Iı	n the above-entitled case,	the following patent(s) have been included:			
DATE INCLUDED	DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading				
	1				
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE			
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE			
	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE			
1	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE			
2	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE			
2 3	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE			
1 2 3 4 5		PATENTEE wing decision has been rendered or judgment issued:			
1 2 3 4 5					
1 2 3 4 5 In the above					
1 2 3 4 5 In the above					
1 2 3 4 5 In the above					
1 2 3 4 5 In the above		wing decision has been rendered or judgment issued:			

Copy 1 - Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3 - Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 2 - Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4 - Case file copy

(Rev 05.50)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the fibring and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Indicad Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

- (-)		
Invitrogen	Corporation	

DEFENDANTS

av Systems Biotech, Inc.

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED San Diego

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT

San Diego

PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(IN U.S. PLAINTHEF CASES ONLY)

NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CRYSTS THE LOCATION OF THE FRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) William J. Caldarelli MAZZARELLA, DUNWOODY & CALDARELLI 550 West "C" Street, Suite 700 92101 (619) 238-4 San Diego, CA

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

01 CV 00278 R

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN A IN ONE BOX ONLY) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT (For Diversity Cases Only) XX3Federal Question * 1U.S. Government Plaintiff -facorporated or Principal Place of Business $= old X_4 = old X_4$ Citizen of Tlas State (U.S. Government Not a Party) XX • 1 • 1 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business 👵 🕫 💿 Citizen of Another State 4Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in 2U.S. Government Detendant in Another State Hem HI Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Fereign Nation • 6 • 6

900

1V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE US CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY).

35 U.S.C. § 271 - Patent Infringement

CONTRACT	FORTS		FORFEITUREZPENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATE (E.S.
(16 haunove	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	nitt Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 1-9C 158	400) State Reappointment
120 Marine	310 Airplane	 162 Personal Injury- 	620 Other Food & Oraș	423 Walatawa (28 LSC 157	410 Antiquist
130 Miller Act	115 Applane Hoodinet Lookslav Medical Malproetice		625 Drug Related Service	PROPERTY RIGIDS	450 Banks and Bankovs
140 Negatiable historiadai	(20 Assault, Libel & Stander	• 365 Personal Immy -	of Property 21 USC 881	Suff copyrights	450 Commerce ICC Rates etc.
150 Recovery at Onerpoyment	330 Ecdetal Employees	Product Crability	Gratiquartous	830 Patent XX	460 Departation
&Ent-regiment of Audgment	hidgment Liability • 168 Ast		640 P.R. & Louck	840 Trademark	470 Racketeer billiong of and
121 Medieuse Act	330 Marine	Product Lability	500 Auime Regs	SOCIAL SECURITY	Cocorpt Organizations
157 Recovery of Definited Student	545 Manne Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY	eell Decquational Safety Healt .	201 HIA (1988)	3th Selective Survey
Loans (Excl. Vateraus)	Lubitas	 № Other Legal 	cor (Jdse:		850 Securities Commencents
15 Recovery of Overpayment	350 Motor Veincte	 371 Froth in Canding 	LABOR	SOLDIM CIDIM W. Fellolffor	I schange
of Veterias Benefits	Suits State Product Liability	680 Other Personal Property Damage 388 Property Damage Product Falsitiv PRISONER PERTITIONS	719Lau Eabor Standards Act	864 88(D Lue XV)	878 Costonier Chrillinge (24)8
Left Stockholders Suits			720 Labor Mgmi. Relations	\$65 8514405(5n)	893 Agricolland Acts
190 Other Contract			730 Labor Mgost Reporting & Disclosure Act	FEDURAL PAYSURS	892 Leonoime Standieseion Aci
195 Contract Product Liability				870 taxes of S. Place off	893 For troublebul Margri
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIE RIGHTS		740 Railway Labos Act	or Defendanti	894 Fistegs, Allikation Act
210 Land Condennations	441 Voraig	510 Mations to Vacine Sentence 799. Other Labor Litig		871 IRS - Ibird itsely	\$18 Freedom of Julyanian A.
200 Percolosure	442 Employment	Habeas Corpus	791 Laupt Ret Inc	26 1,50 7604	900 Appeal of Lee Determination
200 Rent Lease & Electronist	Lease & Electronist 443 Howsing Accommodations 530 General		Society Act		Under Equal Access to Justice
240 force to fland	444 Welflag	535 Death Henatry)	1	- 50 i circulationality of State
245 From Product Lighthay	430 Other Cavil Rights	540 Maodaniay & Other			89 (Other Stradors Actions
200 All Orbot Rea: Property		550 Cost Rights	}		}
		553 Phisaner Canditions			

VE ORIGIN (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)

COMPLAINT:

DATE

1 Original Proceeding XX	• 2 Removal from State Court	3 Remanded from Appetate Court	• 4 Renistated or Reopened	• 5 Transferred from another district (specif	t Enigation (** / Appeal to District Judge tree Magistrate Judgment
VII. REQUESTED IN	• CHEC	K IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO	DE	MANO S	 Check YES only if demanded in complaint.

UNDER fire p. 23

Permanent Injunction JURY DEMAND: XX ES * NO

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions)

::ODMA/PCDOCS/WORDPERFECT/22816/1 January 24, 2000 (3:10pm)

#68500 \$150 KSK-

February 15, 2001