DLA PIPER LLP (US)
SAN DIEGO

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- contractors to simply snap into place electrical receptacles, switches, dimmers and other electrical components during the final stages of industrial, commercial or residential construction while traditional installation previously required time-consuming direct wiring of the devices to electrical leads. The result is that these innovative products provide a quicker and safer installation, which in turn results in significant labor cost savings and increased quality and reliability.
- 3. ProtectConnect brought to market the first modular wiring device in 2003 and in 2004 brought to market its modular wiring systems. In addition, beginning in the year 2000, ProtectConnect sought patent protection for its groundbreaking modular wiring innovations and inventions and has since been granted a number of patents relating to this technology.
- 4. ProtectConnect and Defendants are competitors in the electrical wiring device and system industry. For decades, Defendants marketed more traditional electrical wiring devices and receptacles. Following ProtectConnect's introduction of its modular wiring devices, Defendants subsequently began making and selling their own lines of modular wiring devices and systems, each of which utilize ProtectConnect's patented technology.
- 5. Because Defendants are not in any way licensed to ProtectConnect's intellectual property, Defendants are all infringing ProtectConnect's intellectual property rights. ProtectConnect is entitled to compensation from Defendants for their patent infringement and to an injunction against Defendants and their affiliates to halt their continued infringement and unauthorized use of ProtectConnect's patented technology. Specifically, ProtectConnect alleges that the Defendants infringe the following patents: U.S. Patent No. 6,341,981, U.S. Patent No. 7,052,313 and U.S. Patent No. 7,762,838 (collectively, the "patents in suit").
- 6. ProtectConnect is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business located in San Diego, California and its corporate mailing address at PO Box 5605, Oceanside, CA 92052.
- 7. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc. ("Leviton") is a company incorporated under the laws of Delaware with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 201 N. Service Road,

Melville, New York 11747. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Leviton is an affiliate of EZE Rough System, Inc.

- 8. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant EZE Rough System, Inc. ("EZE Rough") is a company incorporated under the laws of Florida with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 12400 SW 134th Court, Ste. 1, Miami, Florida 33186. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that EZE Rough is an affiliate of Leviton.
- 9. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant Pass & Seymour, Inc. ("Pass & Seymour") is a company incorporated under the laws of New York with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 50 Boyd Ave., Solvay, New York 13209. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Pass & Seymour is a subsidiary of parent Legrand (Limoges, France) and is an affiliate of Cablofil, Inc.
- 10. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant Cablofil, Inc. ("Cablofil") is a company incorporated under the laws of Texas with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 8319 State Route 4, Mascoutah, Illinois, 62258. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cablofil is a subsidiary of parent Legrand (Limoges, France) and is an affiliate of Pass & Seymour.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants each transact business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by at least selling and/or offering to sell their infringing products, including modular wiring devices and systems, and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. ProtectConnect is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this judicial district, sells competing modular wiring devices and systems in this district, and has been harmed by Defendants' conduct, business transactions and sales here.
- 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 since this is a civil action arising under the laws of the United States, specifically, the Patent and Trademark Act, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendants each transact substantial, continuous and systematic business within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because, on information and belief, this lawsuit arises out of each Defendant's forum related activities including without limitation each Defendant's making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because, on information and belief, each Defendant has made, used, sold and offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of California.
- 14. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to ProtectConnect's claims occurred in this district, Defendants each have committed acts of infringement to the harm of ProtectConnect in this district and Defendants each are subject to personal jurisdiction at the time of commencement of this action in this district.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,341,981)

- 15. ProtectConnect incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1-14 as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. On January 29, 2002, United States Patent No. 6,341,981 (the '981 patent'), entitled "Safety Electrical Outlet and Switch System" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The named inventor is Michael P. Gorman. ProtectConnect is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '981 patent.

 Accordingly, ProtectConnect has the right to bring this suit for damages and injunctive relief. A
- Accordingly, ProtectConnect has the right to bring this suit for damages and injunctive relief. A true and correct copy of the '981 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

27

24

25

26

28

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

SAN DIEGO

8

/////

/////

A. The Leviton Defendants.

- 17. On information and belief, Defendants Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc. and EZE Rough System, Inc. (the "Leviton Defendants"), and their agents, are infringing the '981 patent by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling within the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '981 patent, and/or by importing into the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '981 patent. On information and belief, at least the following Leviton Defendants' products, alone and/or in combination with other products, directly infringe the claims of the '981 patent: Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products.
- 18. By way of example and not limitation, the Leviton Defendants' Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products, alone and/or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of at least independent claim 34 of the '981 patent. Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported by the Leviton Defendants in the United States without authorization from ProtectConnect. Therefore, Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products infringe said claim.
- 19. In addition to direct infringement, ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Leviton Defendants have induced and contributed to infringement by others of the '981 patent. By way of example and not limitation, the Leviton Defendants offer to sell and/or sell within the United States to their customers or import into the United States components of patented devices knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '981 patent. Such components are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. On information and belief, the Leviton Defendants also actively induce infringement of the '981 patent by their customers and/or others.
- 20. Based upon information and belief, the Leviton Defendants have notice of the '981 patent. In addition, the Leviton Defendants were provided constructive notice of the '981 patent by virtue of ProtectConnect's marking of its products.

WEST\21966136.5

- 21. On information and belief, the Leviton Defendants' infringement of the '981 patent has been willful.
- 22. As a result of the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement, ProtectConnect has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 23. ProtectConnect has been irreparably harmed by the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement, and will continue to be harmed unless and until the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement are enjoined and restrained by order of this Court. ProtectConnect has no adequate remedy at law and is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against the Leviton Defendants and their infringing products.
 - 24. This case is an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

B. The Pass& Seymour Defendants.

- 25. On information and belief, Defendants Pass & Seymour, Inc. and Cablofil, Inc. (the "Pass & Seymour Defendants"), and their agents, are infringing the '981 patent by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling within the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '981 patent, and/or by importing into the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '981 patent. On information and belief, at least the following Pass & Seymour Defendants' products, alone and/or in combination with other products, directly infringe the claims of the '981 patent: PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems, and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies.
- 26. By way of example and not limitation, Pass & Seymour Defendants' PlugTail
 Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems, and/or FAS
 Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies, alone and/or in combination with other products,
 practice each of the limitations of at least independent claim 34 of the '981 patent. PlugTail
 Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems and/or FAS
 Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported
 by the Pass & Seymour Defendants in the United States without authorization from
 ProtectConnect. Therefore, PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring

 -6-

28

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

SAN DIEGO

/////

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,052,313)

3 4

5

33. herein.

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

ProtectConnect incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1-14 as if fully set forth

34. On May 30, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,052,313 (the '313 patent'), entitled "Electrical Distribution Wiring Module" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The named inventor is Michael P. Gorman. ProtectConnect is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '313 patent. Accordingly, ProtectConnect has the right to bring this suit for damages and injunctive relief. A true and correct copy of the '313 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

35. United States Patent Application Serial No. 11/110,351 was published by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on December 8, 2005 as United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2005/0272304 (the "Published '304 Application"), and issued as the '313 patent with at least one claim in substantially identical form to the claims as published. A copy of the Published '304 Application is attached as Exhibit C.

A. The Leviton Defendants.

- 36. On information and belief, Defendants Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc. and EZE Rough System, Inc. (the "Leviton Defendants"), and their agents, are infringing the '313 patent by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling within the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '313 patent, and/or by importing into the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '313 patent. On information and belief, at least the following Leviton Defendants' products, alone and/or in combination with other products, directly infringe the claims of the '313 patent: Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products.
- 37. By way of example and not limitation, the Leviton Defendants' Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products, alone and/or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of at least independent claim 3 of the '313 patent. Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products are made, used, -8-

3

6

10

9

12

13

11

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

28

WEST\21966136.5

27

sold, offered for sale, and/or imported by the Leviton Defendants in the United States without authorization from ProtectConnect. Therefore, Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products infringe said claim.

- 38. In addition to direct infringement, ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Leviton Defendants have induced and contributed to infringement by others of the '313 patent. By way of example and not limitation, the Leviton Defendants offer to sell and/or sell within the United States to their customers or import into the United States components of patented devices knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '313 patent. Such components are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. On information and belief, the Leviton Defendants also actively induce infringement of the '313 patent by their customers and/or others.
- 39. Based upon information and belief, the Leviton Defendants have notice of the '313 patent.
- 40. On information and belief, the Leviton Defendants' infringement of the '313 patent has been willful.
- 41. As a result of the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement, ProtectConnect has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 42. ProtectConnect has been irreparably harmed by the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement, and will continue to be harmed unless and until the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement are enjoined and restrained by order of this Court. ProtectConnect has no adequate remedy at law and is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against the Leviton Defendants and their infringing products.
 - 43. This case is an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

В. The Pass& Seymour Defendants.

44. On information and belief, Defendants Pass & Seymour, Inc. and Cablofil, Inc. (the "Pass & Seymour Defendants"), and their agents, are infringing the '313 patent by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling within the United States devices that embody the

1	111
2	de
3	be
4	W.
5	Pl
6	fa

inventions disclosed and claimed in the '313 patent, and/or by importing into the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '313 patent. On information and belief, at least the following Pass & Seymour Defendants' products, alone and/or in combination with other products, directly infringe the claims of the '313 patent: PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems, and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies.

45. By way of example and not limitation, Pass & Seymour Defendants' PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems, and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies, alone and/or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of at least independent claim 3 of the '313 patent. PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported by the Pass & Seymour Defendants in the United States without authorization from ProtectConnect. Therefore, PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies infringe said claim.

- 46. In addition to direct infringement, ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Pass & Seymour Defendants have induced and contributed to infringement by others of the '313 patent. By way of example and not limitation, the Pass & Seymour Defendants offer to sell and/or sell within the United States to their customers or import into the United States components of patented devices knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '313 patent. Such components are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. On information and belief, the Pass & Seymour Defendants also actively induce infringement of the '313 patent by their customers and/or others.
- 47. Based upon information and belief, the Pass & Seymour Defendants have notice of the '313 patent.

/////

1	48. On information and belief, the Pass & Seymour Defendants' infringement of the				
2	'313 patent has been willful.				
3	49. As a result of the Pass & Seymour Defendants' acts of infringement,				
4	ProtectConnect has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at				
5	trial.				
6	50. ProtectConnect has been irreparably harmed by the Pass & Seymour Defendants'				
7	acts of infringement, and will continue to be harmed unless and until the Pass & Seymour				
8	Defendants' acts of infringement are enjoined and restrained by order of this Court.				
9	ProtectConnect has no adequate remedy at law and is entitled to a preliminary and permanent				
10	injunction against the Pass & Seymour Defendants and their infringing products.				
11	51. This case is an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and				
12	ProtectConnect is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees.				
13	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
14	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,762,838)				
15	52. ProtectConnect incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1-14 as if fully set forth				
16	herein.				
17	53. On July 27, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,762,838 (the '838 patent"), entitled				
18	"Safety Module Electrical Distribution System" was duly and legally issued by the United States				
19	Patent and Trademark Office. The named inventor is Michael P. Gorman. ProtectConnect is the				
20	assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '838 patent. Accordingly,				
21	ProtectConnect has the right to bring this suit for damages and injunctive relief. A true and				
22	correct copy of the '838 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.				
23	54. United States Patent Application Serial No. 12/106,984 was published by the				
24	United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 14, 2008 as United States Patent				
25	Application Publication No. US 2008-0190640 A1 (the "Published '640 Application"), and				
26	issued as the '838 patent with at least one claim in substantially identical form to the claims as				
27	published. A copy of the Published '640 Application is attached as Exhibit E.				
28					

A. The Leviton Defendants.

- Some of the states devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '838 patent, and belief, at least the following Leviton Defendants' products, alone and/or in combination with other products, directly infringe the claims of the '838 patent: Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products.
- 56. By way of example and not limitation, the Leviton Defendants' Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products, alone and/or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of at least independent claim 1 of the '838 patent. Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported by the Leviton Defendants in the United States without authorization from ProtectConnect. Therefore, Lev-Lok Modular Receptacle System and/or PowerMount with Lev-Lok products infringe said claim.
- 57. In addition to direct infringement, ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Leviton Defendants have induced and contributed to infringement by others of the '838 patent. By way of example and not limitation, the Leviton Defendants offer to sell and/or sell within the United States to their customers or import into the United States components of patented devices knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '838 patent. Such components are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. On information and belief, the Leviton Defendants also actively induce infringement of the '838 patent by their customers and/or others.
- 58. As a result of the Leviton Defendants' acts of infringement, ProtectConnect has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

-12-

28 //

59.

10 11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

infringement, and will continue to be harmed unless and until the Leviton Defendants' acts of

ProtectConnect has been irreparably harmed by the Leviton Defendants' acts of

В. The Pass& Seymour Defendants.

- 61. On information and belief, Defendants Pass & Seymour, Inc. and Cablofil, Inc. (the "Pass & Seymour Defendants"), and their agents, are infringing the '838 patent by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling within the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '838 patent, and/or by importing into the United States devices that embody the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '838 patent. On information and belief, at least the following Pass & Seymour Defendants' products, alone and/or in combination with other products, directly infringe the claims of the '838 patent: PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems, and/or FAS Power Prefabricated Wiring Assemblies.
- 62. By way of example and not limitation, the Pass & Seymour Defendants' PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems, and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies, alone and/or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of at least independent claim 1 of the '838 patent. PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported by the Pass & Seymour Defendants in the United States without authorization from ProtectConnect. Therefore, PlugTail Wiring Devices, PlugTail Power Pre-Fabricated Wiring Assemblies and Systems and/or FAS Power Pre-fabricated Wiring Assemblies infringe said claim.

-13-

/////

- 63. In addition to direct infringement, ProtectConnect is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Pass & Seymour Defendants have induced and contributed to infringement by others of the '838 patent. By way of example and not limitation, the Pass & Seymour Defendants offer to sell and/or sell within the United States to their customers or import into the United States components of patented devices knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '838 patent. Such components are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. On information and belief, the Pass & Seymour Defendants also actively induce infringement of the '838 patent by their customers and/or others.
- As a result of the Pass & Seymour Defendants' acts of infringement, 64. ProtectConnect has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 65. ProtectConnect has been irreparably harmed by the Pass & Seymour Defendants' acts of infringement, and will continue to be harmed unless and until the Pass & Seymour Defendants' acts of infringement are enjoined and restrained by order of this Court. ProtectConnect has no adequate remedy at law and is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against the Pass & Seymour Defendants and their infringing products.
- This case is an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and 66. ProtectConnect is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE: ProtectConnect prays for judgment against each Defendant as follows:

- 1. A judgment that each Defendant has infringed, induced others to infringe, and/or committed acts of contributory infringement with respect to the claims of the patents in suit;
- 2. A judgment that each Defendant's infringement of the '981 patent and '313 patent has been deliberate and willful;
- 3. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining each Defendant and its subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, licensees and all other persons acting or attempting to act in active concert or participation with it or acting on its behalf, from further -14-

1	infringement, inducement of infringement, or contributory infringement of each of the patents in					
2	suit;					
3	4. An order directing each Defendant to account for and pay to ProtectConnect all					
4	damages caused to ProtectConnect by reason of Defendants' patent infringement, pursuant to 35					
5	U.S.C. § 284, including increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;					
6	5. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to					
7	ProtectConnect by each Defendant;					
8	6. A finding that this is an "exceptional" case and an order directing each Defendant					
9	to pay ProtectConnect's costs, expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §					
10	285; and					
11	7. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.					
12	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
13	ProtectConnect demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable pursuant to Federal Rule of					
14	Civil Procedure 38(b).					
15	Dated: February 9, 2011					
16	DLA PIPER LLP (US)					
17	Ry /s/ Iossa Hindman					
18	By /s/ Jesse Hindman John Allcock (Bar No. 098895)					
19	Rick Mulloy (Bar No. 199278) Ed Sikorski (Bar No. 208576) Losse Hindman (Bar No. 222025)					
20	Jesse Hindman (Bar No. 222935) DLA Piper LLP (US) 401 B Street, Suite 1700					
21	San Diego, CA 92101-4297 Tel: 619.699.2700					
22	Fax: 619.699.2701					
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff ProtectConnect, Inc.					
24	Trotecteomicet, me.					
25						
26						
27						
28 US)	-15-					
20)	WEST\21966136.5 3:10 CV 0758 MMA (BGS)					

DLA PIPER LLP (U SAN DIEGO

3:10 CV 0758 MMA (BGS)

Case 3:10-cv-00758-AJB-BGS Document 117 Filed 02/09/11 Page 16 of 16

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2	<u>EXHIBITS</u>				
3	Exhibit	Description	<u>Pages</u>		
4	A	US Patent No. 6,341,981	17-78		
5	В	US Patent No. 7,052,313	79-132		
6	C	Published US Patent Application No. US 2005/0272304	133-187		
7	D	US Patent No. 7,762,838	188-238		
8	E	Published US Patent Application No. US 2008/0190640	239-288		
9					
10 11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
' (US)	WEST\21966136.5	-16-	3:10 CV 0758 MMA (BGS)		

DLA PIPER LLP