UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Th

CO SEP 28 FH 3: 26

Case No. <u>00-3639</u>

REGENT OPTICALS, INC.,

CIV-SEITZ

Plaintiff,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE GARBER

vs.

ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Regent Opticals, Inc. ("Regent"), plaintiff in the above-captioned action, alleges the following facts and bases for its Complaint against defendant Aspex Eyewear Inc. ("Aspex").

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. In this action brought under the Declaratory Judgments Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202, this Court is being asked to consider and compare Regent's Clippers eyeglass frame system with any valid claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,568,207 ("the '207 patent") and 5,882,101 ("the '101 patent"), in the context of applicable patent laws, 35 U.S.C. §§100, et seq., and to declare that Regent has not infringed either the '207 patent or the '101 patent in any way.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties based on Aspex's contacts with the forum, in that Aspex has a facility in this district located at 3950 Executive Way,



Miramar, Florida 33025, and that Aspex has been authorized by the State of Florida to transact business in the state and has a Florida registered agent, and thereby has purposefully availed itself of the benefits and protections this forum affords.

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1338, 2201 & 2202.
 - 4. This Court is a proper venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391 & 1400.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Regent is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Florida, with a principal place of business at 2200 NW 102 Ave., Unit 2, Miami, Florida 33172.
- 6. Defendant Aspex is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 3950 Executive Way, Miramar, Florida 33025, which conducts business throughout the United States and in this judicial district. On information and belief, Aspex's registered agent for service of process is Arie Mrejen, P.A., 701 W. Cypress Creek Rd., #302, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309, upon whom Regent will serve these pleadings.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Regent has been and currently is in the business of designing, importing and distributing for retail sale optical products, including a recently designed eyeglass frame

system incorporating a standard eyeglass frame and a magnetically held matching "clip-on" sunglass portion, which is sold under the trademark Clippers (the "Clippers product").

- 8. Upon information and belief, Aspex also is engaged in the business of selling eyeglass frame systems incorporating magnetic "clip-on" elements.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Aspex owns by assignment the '207 patent, which is entitled *Auxiliary Lenses for Eyeglasses* and which issued on October 22, 1996, and the '101 patent, which is entitled *Auxiliary Frames with Ears and Lateral Projections* and which issued on March 16, 1999.
- 10. Regent displayed the Clippers product in a trade show in Las Vegas, Nevada, on September 14-17, 2000.
- 11. During the Las Vegas trade show, representatives of Aspex came to the Regent booth and examined the Clippers product.
- 12. On Sunday, September 17, 2000, the president of Aspex, Nonu Ifergan, came to the Regent booth and stated that the Clippers product "is a violation of my patent."
- 13. In addition, at least one of Regent's customers has been threatened with an infringement suit if it purchased and sold the Clippers product. Specifically, Mike L. Y. Lo of Standard Optical had placed an order with Regent for the Clippers product, but advised Regent of the threat of lawsuit by Aspex and, as a result, withdrew the purchase order.

- 14. Upon information and belief, although Aspex owns a number of patents, Regent believes that either the '207 patent or the '101 patent is the patent on which Aspex was basing its threats.
- 15. Regent is being harmed by the threats of Aspex and will continue to be harmed unless the threat of a lawsuit under either the '207 patent or the '101 patent, or any other patent that Aspex may raise, is eliminated.

COUNT ONE

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT

- 16. Regent incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1-15 above as if each allegation in those paragraphs were set forth fully herein.
 - 17. Regent has not infringed any of the claims of the '207 patent or the '101 patent.
- 18. An actual controversy exists between the parties with respect to the infringement of the claims of the '207 patent and the '101 patent.

19. Regent, therefore, is entitled to a declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201 stating that none of the claims of the '207 patent and the '101 patent is or has been infringed.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS:

- 1. That the Court declare that Regent has not infringed the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,568,207 and 5,882,101;
- 2. That Regent recover such other and further relief as the Court may deem just; and
- 3. That Regent recover the costs and fees for this action and any applicable prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Regent requests a trial by jury on all issues triable of right by a jury.

Dated: This 29 day of September, 2000.

Respectfully submitted

Brian F. Spector (Florida Bar No. 261254)

Pamela I. Perry (Florida Bar No. 455271)

KENNY NACHWALTER SEYMOUR ARNOLD

CRITCHLOW & SPECTOR, P.A.

1100 Miami Center

201 South Biscayne Boulevard

Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 373-1000 Facsimile: (305) 372-1861 e-mail: <u>bspector@knsacs.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff Regent Opticals, Inc.

OF COUNSEL:

Sumner Rosenberg
Nagendra Setty
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.
1200 The Candler Building
127 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1811
Telephone: (404) 688-0770

Telephone: (404) 688-0770 Facsimile: (404) 688-9880

e-mail: sumner@needlepatent.com

129302.1

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling this service of pleudings or other har ers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Child Galactic in September 1944 is replaced for the use of the Clark of Court for the purpose of initialing the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE BEVERSE OF THE FORM.)

by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Ju of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS 1. (a) PLAINTIFFS				udicial Conference of the STRUCTIONS ON THE F	REVERSE (of the FORM.	er 1943 s recontrec)	ircruneuse	
Regent Opticals, Inc.				Aspex Eyewe		CIV -	SEITZ	_	
Acide Ocu- (c) ATTORNES (FIRM NAME A Kenny Nachwalt & Spector, P.A	ADDRESS AND THE PHONE N. er Seymour Arn. 201 S. Bisc. 31. (305–373–10)	UMBER Critchlo ayne Blvd., 00) MONROE, BROWARD, x'IN ONE BOX ONLY)	#1100 PALME III. CI	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) DEACH, MARTIN, ST. LUCIE TIZENSHIP OF PRI Or Diversity Cases Only) Tizen of This State	NDEMNATIC AND INVOL	D DEMAGISTA CASES. USE OVED. SUPPLY OF BUSINESS PARTIES 14 ACT OF Business	TRATE JUDGI	FOR PLAINTIFF DANT) PTF DEF	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizer in Item III)	nship of Parties		tizen or Subject of a E Foreign Country	3 🗆 3	of Business Foreign Nation	: In Another State n	□6 □6	
Proceeding State	e Court Appe	anded from 4 ellate Court		ONE BOX ONLY) Transfer ed or 5 another	district	□ 6 Multidistric Litigation	Judge	trate	
V. NATURE OF SUIT A CONTRACT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON		1.		d A DAN	KRUPTCY	A OTHER STA	TUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Manne 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans Excl Veterans 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran senefits 160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Lability 362 Personal Injury Med Malpractic Med Malpractic Stander 365 Personal Injury Product Lability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Lability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damac Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 371 Truth in Lending		JRY I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	FORFEITURE/PENALTY B 610 Agriculture B 520 Other Food & Drug G 625 Drug Related Selzure of Property 21 USC 881 G 630 Liquor Laws G 630 Liquor Laws G 640 R R & Truck G 650 Airline Regs. G 650 Occupational Safety/Health G 690 Other A LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	422 Apr 28 A PROPE 520 Co 630 Pat 540 Tra 561 HIA 562 Bra 563 DW	Appeal 28 USC 158		onment king Rates/etc rations e modifies/ enge	
A REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	A CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voling 442 Employment A3 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETI* B 510 Motions to Vac Sentence HABEAS CORPUS B 530 General A 535 Death Penaity B 540 Mandamus & C B 550 Civil Rights B 555 Prison Conditi	ate : Other	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Rallway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation a☐ 791 Empl. Ret Inc Security Act	□ 864 SSI □ 865 RSI FEDERA A□ 870 Tax or (A□ 871 IRS	D Title XVI	S95 Freedom of information Act S900 Appeal of Fee D Under Equal Act Statutes	 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions 	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO Declarate LENGTH OF TRIAL via 7 days estimated (for both sides	ory Judgment Ac 28 U.S.C	TIONAL STATUTES UNLES	ss divers g dec	ng and write brief stateme (TY) Laration of not 11 and 1400.			irsuant to		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<u></u>:	S A CLASS ACTI	ON	DEMAND \$	7	CHECK YES OF	nly if demanded in o	complaint:	
VIII.RELATED CASE(S	S) (See instructions): Ju	JDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	ATTORN	EY OF RECORD					
September 28,	2000			5//					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<i>4</i>	5 1	/ .	P. Y	7				
BEGEINT \$39205	1/50	9/3	281	(UZ)	•	\$44C #	IDGE		