UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS PEORIA DIVISION

No.		
MAUI JIM'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT		
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. DES. PAT.		
NO. D481,059 AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
EQUITABLE RELIEF SOUGHT		

MAUI JIM'S COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Maui Jim, Inc. files this Complaint and Jury Demand against Defendant

Dynamic Technology Software, Inc. d/b/a Designer-Sunglasses-City.com upon personal

knowledge as to its own actions and upon information and belief as to all other matters.

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Maui Jim, Inc. ("Maui Jim") is an Illinois Corporation with its

principal place of business located in Peoria, Illinois.

2. Defendant Dynamic Technology Software, Inc. d/b/a Designer-

Sunglasses-City.com ("Dynamic") is a Pennsylvania corporation with a principal place of business at 309 Main Avenue, Hawley, Pennsylvania 18428.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under
28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. Based upon 28 U.S.C. § 1391, venue is proper in this district because Dynamic is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Dynamic because, *inter alia*, Dynamic has conducted business in this District and has taken other actions that result in jurisdiction over it being proper in this District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

6. On August 14, 2002, Walter Hester and Jennifer Egbert filed for patent protection on a new and unique design for sunglasses. Mr. Hester and Ms. Egbert assigned all rights in the design to Maui Jim, Inc.

7. The United States Patent and Trademark Office evaluated Mr. Hester's and Ms. Egbert's new eyewear design and, after considering many prior eyewear designs, determined that Mr. Hester's and Ms. Egbert's design was new and original. Accordingly, the United States Patent Office awarded United States Design Patent No. D481,059 (the '059 Patent) on October 21, 2003 to Maui Jim, Inc. A copy of the '059 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

8. By assignment from Mr. Hester and Ms. Egbert, Maui Jim owns all rights and title to the '059 patent, including full rights in and to the claims and causes of action in this suit.

- 2 -

1:06-cv-01168-MMM-BGC #1 Page 3 of 15


9. Maui Jim markets Mr. Hester and Ms. Egbert's design in its popular MJ Sport® line of sunglasses under the name Ho'okipa.

10. Maui Jim has promoted the unique design of the Ho'okipa sunglasses in print and electronic media. The Ho'okipa sunglasses have enjoyed great commercial success.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF -

DYNAMIC'S INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. DES. PAT. NO. D481,059

11. Defendant Dynamic has been and is infringing the '059 patent. In so doing, Dynamic is capitalizing on the success of the Maui Jim Ho'okipa sunglasses by making, selling, offering for sale and/or importing into the United States sunglasses under the name "MJ-3029BL" that are substantially the same as the novel design claimed in the '059 patent. Figure 1 of the '059 patent is show below next to a photograph of the MJ-3029BL sunglasses from Dynamic's website.



12. On its website (http://www.designer-sunglasses-city.com), Dynamics states that the design for its MJ-302BL sunglasses were "inspired" by Maui Jim's

1:06-cv-01168-MMM-BGC #1 Page 4 of 15

design, and it states that Dynamics' sunglasses are "knockoff versions" of designer sunglasses. (See Dynamic webpage print-out attached as Exhibit 2).

13. Maui Jim's Ho'okipa sunglasses are marked under the '059 patent in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 287(a).

14. Dynamic has willfully infringed the '059 patent, and will continue to willfully infringe the patent until it ceases all of its infringing acts, thus warranting an assessment of increased damages and attorneys fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

15. Maui Jim has been damaged by Dynamic's infringement and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless the Court enjoins Dynamic from continuing its wrongful conduct.

16. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283, Maui Jim requests that the Court enjoin Dynamic from continuing to infringe the '059 patent.

17. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284, Maui Jim seeks an award of damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

18. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 289, Maui Jim seeks an award of statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Maui Jim respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Dynamic and award Maui Jim the following relief:

a. Declaration that the '059 patent is valid, enforceable, and infringed by Dynamic;

- 4 -

1:06-cv-01168-MMM-BGC #1 Page 5 of 15

b. Temporary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Dynamic, its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting on its behalf, in privity or concert with it, or within its control from making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing, or otherwise infringing the '059 patent, and requiring it to deliver all infringing sunglasses in its possession, custody or control to Maui Jim for destruction;

c. Damages for infringement of Maui Jim's '059 patent, including at least statutory damages under 35 U.S.C. § 289;

d. Increased damages and attorneys fees;

e. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rates authorized by law; and

f. Costs of court.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 and CDIL-LR 38.1, Maui Jim demands a jury trial.

Dated: June 28, 2006

By:

James R. Sobieraj (Lead Counsel CDIL-LR 11.2) Jason C. White (*Admission Pending*) Trevor K. Copeland

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE NBC Tower - Suite 3600 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive Chicago, Illinois 60611 Telephone: (312) 321-4200 Facsimile: (312) 321-4299

Attorneys for Plaintiff MAUI JIM, INC.

EXHIBIT 1

1:06-cv-01168-MMM-BGC #1



US00D481059S

(12) United States Design Patent (10) Patent No.: Egbert et al. (45) Date of Patent

(10) Patent No.: US D481,059 S (45) Date of Patent: ** Oct. 21, 2003

(54) SUNGLASSES

- (75) Inventors: Jennifer Egbert, Lahaina, HI (US); Walter Hester, Lahaina, HI (US)
- (73) Assignee: Maui Jim, Inc., Peoria, IL (US)
- (**) Term: 14 Years
- (21) Appl. No.: 29/165,676
- (22) Filed: Aug. 14, 2002
- (52) U.S. Cl. D16/315; D16/316
- (58) **Field of Search** D16/101, 300–330, D16/335; D29/109, 110; D24/110.2; 351/41, 44, 51, 52, 103–109, 158; 2/447–449

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D199,933 S	*	12/1964	Shindler	D16/316
D221,480 S	*	8/1971	Tagnon	D16/315

D247,440	S	*	3/1978	Bloch D16/316
6,196,681	B1	*	3/2001	Canavan 351/106
D443,633	S	*	6/2001	Lazarides D16/327
D464,982	S	*	10/2002	Thixton et al D16/316

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner-Raphael Barkai

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Marshall, Gerstein & Borun

(57) CLAIM

The ornamental design for sunglasses, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

- FIG. 1 is a perspective view of the sunglasses;
- FIG. 2 is a front view of the sunglasses;


FIG. 3 is a rear view of the sunglasses;

FIG. 4 is a side view, the opposite side view being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 5 is a top view; and,

FIG. 6 is a bottom view.

1 Claim, 5 Drawing Sheets



U.S. Patent	Oct. 21, 2003	Sheet 1 of 5	US D481,059 S
-------------	---------------	--------------	---------------






FIG. 2




FIG. 3








FIG. 4



U.S. Patent Oct. 21, 2003



U.S. Patent Oct. 21, 2003

FIG. 6





EXHIBIT 2

We sell quality designer inspired sunglasses or knockoff, replica, imitati... http://www.designer-sunglasses-city.com/scripts/prodView.asp?idprodu...

1:06-cv-01168-MMM-BGC #1 Page 14 of 15



1:06-cv-01168-MMM-BGC #1 Page 15 of 15

Designer names such as Gucci, Dolce & Gabbana, Christian Dior, Liz Clairborne, Prada, Addidas, Nike, Versace, Diesel, DKNY, Armani and others are only used to compare our sunglasses. In no way do we state that we are affiliated with these companies. Reference is only for comparision purposes of our imitation, inspired by, knockoff versions.