Case 3:03-cv-02876-B Document 1 Filed 11/26/03 Page 1 of 7 PageID 1 CIVIL COVEPSHEET

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

RECEIPT#\_

AMOUN

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

t (a) DI AINTEEC		`	DEFENDANTS		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
TBAC INVESTMENT TRUST			SWANK, INC., TARGET CORPORATION, SAKS, INC. and SAKS DEPARTMENT STORE GROUP		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Clark			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
	Paul Fields Darby & Darby				
5400 Renaissance Tower, 1201 Elm Street			805 Third Avenue		
Dallas, Texas 75270 (214) 745-5400			New York, New Y (212) 527-7700	York 10022-7513	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box O	only) III	. CITI		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint
		(For Di	versity Cases Only) PTF	DEF	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a P	Party)	Citizen			Principal Place   4   4
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State			
		Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □ 6			
			gn Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)	Leoner	TANK AND IN AND IN A POST A	B A NY DUBECK	OTHER OF STREET
CONTRACT TORTS  □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSO	NAT INTIDY	1	EITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Pers	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury—		Agriculture Other Food & Drug	□422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust
	d. Malpractice sonal Injury —	625	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health		☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Proc	duct Liability estos Personal			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ Isdamedicare Act ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Inju	ry Product	☐ 650		□820 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations
	oility AL PROPERTY			<b>28</b> 30 Patent □840 Trademark	□ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 350 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lendin ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal		□ 690	Other		Exchange  By 875 Customer Challenge
			LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410
☐ 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 385 Pro	perty Damage	710	Fair Labor Standards Act	□861 HIA (1395ff) □862 Black Lung (923)	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Ac
Injury	duct Liability			□863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □864 SSID Title XVI	□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act
	R PETITIONS	☐ 730	Labor/Mgmt.Reporting		☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
	tions to Vacate tence	□ 740	& Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee
□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 443 Housing/ Habeas © 240 Torts to Land □ Accommodations □ 530 Gen		790	Other Labor Litigation	□370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Dea	th Penalty		· ·	or Defendant)	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□ 550 Civi	ndamus & Other il Rights on Condition	791	Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<b>1371</b> IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions
V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)			Transf	erred from	Appeal to District
		Reinsta Reoper	anothe ated or $\Box$ 5 (specif	r district	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under wh		and write	brief statement of cause		
35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq. Patent Infringement					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEM	1AND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   Y Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):					
IF ANY JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE SIGNAT	URE OF ATTOR	XEY OF	RECORD //		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	janet	<u>\                                    </u>	ettell		

APPLYING IFP

MAG. JUDGE

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff TBAC Investment Trust ("TBAC"), hereby complains of Defendants, Swank, Inc. ("Swank"), Target Corporation ("Target"), Saks, Inc. ("Saks") and Saks Department Store Group ("SDSG") and alleges as follows:

### **PARTIES**

- 2. TBAC is a business trust organized and existing under the laws of Pennsylvania and has it principle place of business at 3993 Howard Hughes, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Swank is a Delaware corporation having its principle place of business located at 6 Hazel Street, Attleboro Massachusetts 02703. Upon information and belief, Swank is regularly engaged, inter alia, in the sale and distribution of personal accessory items and other products to retailers located in the State of Texas. Upon further information and belief, Swank has sold and distributed wallets that infringe one or more claims of the '622 patent to one or more retailers located in the State of Texas and to at least one retailer located in this judicial district. Swank may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Prentice Hall System, 800 Brazos, Austin, Texas 78701.

Defendants.

- 4. Upon information and belief, Target is a Minnesota corporation having its principle place of business located at 1000 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, MN 55403. Upon information and belief, Target is regularly engaged, *inter alia*, in the distribution and sale of personal accessory items and other products through retail locations in the State of Texas. Upon further information and belief, Target has distributed and sold wallets that infringe one or more claims of the '622 patent in the State of Texas and at least one retailer location in this judicial district. Target may be served with process by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Saks is a corporation having its principle place of business located at 750 Lakeshore Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35242. Upon information and belief, Saks is regularly engaged, *inter alia*, in the sale and distribution of personal accessory items and other products to retailers located in the State of Texas. Upon further information and belief, Saks has sold and distributed wallets that infringe one or more claims of the '622 patent to one or more retailers located in the State of Texas and to at least one retailer located in this judicial district. Saks may be served with process pursuant to Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 17.044 through the Secretary of State's office for the State of Texas, who shall mail process to Saks's registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 2908 Poston Avenue, Nashville, TN 37203.
- 6. Upon information and belief, SDSG is an entity having its principle place of business located at 750 Lakeshore Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35211. Upon information and belief, SDSG is regularly engaged, *inter alia*, in the sale and distribution of personal accessory items and other products to retail locations in the State of Texas. Upon further information and belief, SDSG has sold and distributed wallets that infringe one or more claims of the '622 patent

through one or more retail locations in the State of Texas and through at least one retail location in this judicial district. SDSG may be served with process pursuant to Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 17.044 through the Secretary of State's office for the State of Texas, who shall mail process to Mr. George L. Jones its President and CEO at 750 Lakeshore Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35211.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 7. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), granting the United States district courts exclusive original jurisdiction of any civil action arising under an Act of Congress relating to patents.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendants Swank, Target and Saks are corporations subject to the personal jurisdiction of the Court, and thus deemed to reside in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and as to all Defendants because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

## FACTS GIVING RISE TO THE CAUSE OF ACTION

- 9. On December 18, 2001 Raymond Wallace Young applied for a patent on a Personal Accessory with Quick-Access. United States Patent No. 6,601,622 B1 ("the '622 patent") issued on August 5, 2003 and has been assigned to TBAC. A true and correct copy of the '622 patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 10. On September 18, 2003, TBAC sent a letter to Target, notifying Target of the '622 patent.
- 11. On September 18, 2003, TBAC sent a letter to SDSG, notifying SDSG of the '622 patent.

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Swank, either directly or by and through its parents, subsidiaries and/or affiliates, manufactures, imports offers for sale, and sells personal accessories. Further, upon information and belief, Swank imports personal accessories into the United States.
- 13. In particular, Defendant Swank has manufactured or imported and then sold and distributed one or more wallets, which is covered by one or more claims of the '622 patent, to Target, Saks and/or SDSG who in turn distributed and sold such one or more wallets at retail locations in Dallas, Texas.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Target, either directly or by and through its parents, subsidiaries and/or affiliates, distributed, offered for sale, and sold one or more wallets, which is covered by one or more claims of the '622 patent, at retail locations in Dallas, Texas.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Saks, either directly or by and through its parents, subsidiaries and/or affiliates, distributed, offered for sale, and sold one or more wallets, which is covered by one or more claims of the '622 patent, at retail locations in Dallas, Texas.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant SDSG, either directly or by and through its parents, subsidiaries and/or affiliates, distributed, offered for sale, and sold one or more wallets, which is covered by one or more claims of the '622 patent, at retail locations in Dallas, Texas.

# COUNT ONE CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

17. TBAC herein incorporates each averment contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16 above.

- 18. Defendants have infringed the '622 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, importing, offering to sell and/or selling wallets that infringe one or more of the claims of the '622 patent.
- 19. Defendant Swank has infringed the '622 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing others to infringe one or more claims of the '622 patent.
- 20. Defendants' acts constitute willful and deliberate infringement of the '622 patent because Defendants have had notice of the '622 patent at least since September 19, 2003 but have continued to offer its wallets for sale and encourage its retail distribution channels to continue to sell the infringing wallets to end consumers.
- 21. Defendants' infringement of the '622 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants' will continue its infringement if not enjoined by this Court.
- 23. Unless the acts complained of above are restrained by the Court, they will continue to occur and they will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

### **JURY DEMAND**

24. Plaintiff TBAC demands trial by jury for all claims so triable.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Court grant judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that Defendants have infringed the '622 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);
- (b) Declaring that Defendant Swank has actively induced others to infringe the '622 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 (b);

- (c) Declaring that Defendants have willfully infringed the '622 patent and therefore trebling the damages found or assessed as a result of such willful infringement;
- (d) Enjoining the Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, employees and/or servants and all those acting in concert therewith, from further acts of infringement of the '622 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- (e) Ordering an accounting and an award of damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with prejudgment interest and costs as fixed by the Court;
- Declaring that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and therefore awarding to Plaintiff its costs and counsel fees incurred herein, or reasonable attorney's fees and costs as otherwise permitted by law;
- Awarding post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate; and (g)
- (h) Any other or further relief that this Court deems proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

Diane K. Lettelleir Jonathan N. Geld

SBN 12241525 SBN 00796620

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.

5400 Renaissance Tower

1201 Elm Street

Dallas, Texas 75270-2199

Telephone: (214) 745-5400

Facsimile: (214) 745-5390

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DALLAS 1\3898504\1 13171-5 11/26/2003