IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

INNOVA PATENT LICENSING, LLC, Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:12-cv-00365-MHS-CMC
1 14111411,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
V.	
FMR LLC,	
Defendant.	

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff InNova Patent Licensing, LLC for its Amended Complaint against Defendant FMR LLC, hereby alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff InNova Patent Licensing, LLC ("InNova") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Texas, having its principal place of business at 911 NW Loop 281, Suite 211-14, Longview, TX 75604.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant FMR LLC. ("Fidelity Management and Research" or "Fidelity") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

- 4. This Court has specific and/or general personal jurisdiction over Defendant Fidelity because it has committed acts giving rise to this action within this judicial district and/or has established minimum contacts within Texas and within this judicial district such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant Fidelity would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 5. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant Fidelity has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and continues to conduct business in this district, and/or has committed acts of patent infringement within this District giving rise to this action.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 6,018,761

- 6. InNova re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. On January 25, 2000, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 6,018,761 ("the '761 patent") entitled "System for Adding to Electronic Mail Messages Information Obtained from Sources External to the Electronic Mail Transport Process." A true and correct copy of the '761 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 8. InNova is the owner and assignee of all right, title and interest in and to the '761 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.
- 9. On information and belief, Defendant Fidelity has been and now is infringing the '761 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, using in the United States electronic-message filtering products and/or

services that embody the inventions claimed in the '761 patent, including but not limited to Fidelity's email programs using DKIM and all reasonably similar products.

- 10. On information and belief, Defendant Fidelity will continue to infringe the '761 patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 11. Defendant Fidelity's acts of infringement have damaged InNova in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. Defendant Fidelity's infringement of InNova's rights under the '761 patent will continue to damage InNova causing irreparable harm, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. Wherefore, InNova respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendant Fidelity as follows:
 - a. For judgment that Defendant Fidelity has infringed and continues to infringe the claims of the '761 Patent;
 - b. For preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant Fidelity and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '761 Patent;
 - c. For an accounting of all damages caused by Defendant Fidelity's acts of infringement;
 - d. For damages to be paid by Defendant Fidelity adequate to compensate InNova for Defendants' infringement, including interest, costs and disbursement as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
 - e. For such relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

InNova demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: July 2, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher D. Banys

Christopher D. Banys - *Lead Attorney*

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, P.C.

Christopher D. Banys
Daniel W. Bedell
Carmen A. Aviles
Daniel M. Shafer
SBN: 230038 (California)
SBN: 254912 (California)
SBN: 251993 (California)
SBN: 244839 (California)

The Lanier Law Firm, P.C. 2200 Geng Road, Suite 200

Palo Alto, CA 94303 Tel: (650) 322-9100 Fax: (650) 322-9103 cdb@lanierlawfirm.com dwb@lanierlawfirm.com cma@lanierlawfirm.com dms@lanierlawfirm.com

LOCAL COUNSEL:

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

Wesley Hill SBN: 24032294

111 W. Tyler Street Longview, TX 75601 Tel: (903) 757-6400 Fax: (903) 757-2323 wh@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF INNOVA PATENT LICENSING, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). Therefore, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A) on this the 2^{nd} day of July, 2012.

/s/ Georgia Perivoliotis Georgia Perivoliotis