	Case 2:12-cv-00618-RAJ Document 10) Filed 05/29/12 Page 1 of 8
1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7		
8		
9	Eagle View Technologies, Inc., a Washington) Civil Action No. 12cv618 RAJ
10	corporation,))
11	Plaintiff,) AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR) PATENT INFRINGEMENT
12	V.	
13	Aerialogics, LLC, a New Hampshire corporation,)) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14	Defendant.)
15		
16)
17 18	Plaintiff Eagle View Technologies, Inc. for its Complaint herein, alleges as follows:	
19	NATURE OF ACTION	
20	1. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 <i>et</i>	
21	seq.	
22		
23	THE PARTIES	
24	2. Plaintiff Eagle View Technologies, Inc., also known as EagleView	
25	Technologies, Inc., ("Plaintiff" or "EagleView") is a Washington corporation having a	
26	principal place of business at 2525 220th Street SE, Suite 203, Bothell, Washington 98021.	
27		
	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT (Case No.12cv618 RAJ)1	SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-7092 (206) 622-4900

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aerialogics, LLC ("Aerialogics") is a New Hampshire corporation having a registered office address at 39 Simon Street, #12, Nashua, NH 03060.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The Court has original jurisdiction of the federal claims raised herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338(a).

5. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), (d), and/or 1400(b). Defendant is currently doing business in this Judicial District. On information and belief, Defendant has sold, continues to sell and offers to sell infringing products and services in this Judicial District. In addition, Defendant sells products into this Judicial District that were made by an infringing method. Events giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this district. Further, substantial damage to Plaintiff EagleView has occurred and continues to occur in this judicial district, which is their home district and the location of their company headquarters.

PLAINTIFF AND ITS RIGHTS

6. On December 13, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued United States Patent No. 8,078,436 (hereinafter "the '436 Patent"), entitled "Aerial Roof Estimation Systems and Methods." The '436 patent has been assigned to and is fully owned by Plaintiff. A true and correct copy of the '436 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The '436 Patent is valid, enforceable, and subsisting.

7. On March 27, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued United States Patent No. 8,145,578 (hereinafter "the '578 Patent"), entitled "Aerial Roof Estimation Systems and Methods." The '578 patent has been assigned to and is fully owned by Plaintiff. A true and correct copy of the '578 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The '578 Patent is valid, enforceable, and subsisting.

8. On May 1, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued United States Patent No. 8,170,840 (hereinafter "the '840 Patent"), entitled "Pitch Determination Systems and Methods for Aerial Roof Estimation." The '840 patent has been assigned to and is fully owned by Plaintiff. A true and correct copy of the '840 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C. The '840 Patent is valid, enforceable, and subsisting.

9. In 2006, Chris Pershing and David P. Carlson, the inventors of the asserted patents, created an entirely new product that did not previously exist: roof estimation software. They founded a company, Eagle View Technologies, based in Bothell, Washington, that has now grown to over 100 employees employed in the state of Washington. They applied for and received the '436 Patent, '578 Patent and the '840 Patent on their invention, which they assigned to EagleView, making EagleView the sole owner of the two issued U.S. patents of this action. EagleView, the owner of the patents, is an active technology company that makes use of both patents as part of its daily operations in Bothell, Washington and in the products it supplies to its customers. The use of the '436, '578 and '840 Patents is at the core of EagleView's business.

10. Eagle View Technologies' products, such as roof estimation reports, were marked patent pending before the present patents issued and the patent numbers were applied to the products after the patents issued.

I

FIRST COUNT - PATENT INFRINGEMENT - U.S. Patent 8,078,436

11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 9 of this Complaint.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been, and are directly and indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '436 Patent by using, offering to sell, selling, and/or causing to be used, offered for sale or sold, infringing aerial roof estimation products, including reports and software, and services in this judicial district.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant offers on its website at <u>www.aerialogics.com</u> in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, aerial roof estimation reports that have been made by infringing one or more claims of the '436 Patent.

14. On November 11, 2010 Plaintiff sent Defendant a letter informing it that patent applications were pending and requesting Defendant cease its infringing behavior, copy attached as Exhibit D.

15. On March 29, 2011 Plaintiff sent Defendant another letter reasserting it had patent applications pending and requesting Defendant cease its infringing behavior, copy attached as Exhibit E.

16. On February 28, 2012, Plaintiff sent Defendant a letter informing it that EagleView's '436 patent had been granted and requesting them to stop all infringing activities, copy attached as Exhibit F. In this letter, EagleView offered to settle the matter and not file an infringement law suit if Aerialogics agreed to stop all infringing activities and make an accounting of past infringement. Aerialogics did not cease infringement and continues to infringe the '436 Patent, taking away customers of EagleView by their actions.

17. The letter of February 28, 2012 was a reminder of prior letters which had been sent informing Aerialogics of EagleView's pending patent applications and that patents covering Aerialogic's products were expected to issue shortly. For example, on November 11, 2010, Plaintiff sent Aerialogics a letter informing it of Plaintiff's published patent applications, 2008/0262789 A1, and 2009/0132436 A1 entitled "Aerial Roof Estimation Systems and Method," and officially notifying Aerialogics of Plaintiff's provisional patent rights, attached as Exhibit D. On March 29, 2011, Plaintiff sent another letter to Aerialogics again informing it of Plaintiff's published patents 2008/0262789 A1, and 2009/0132436 A1, attached as Exhibit E.

On information and belief, Defendant's infringement has been willful.
Defendant's products were made as an attempt to imitate EagleView's product line. Even after

knowledge of the existence of the patent and knowing that they had imitated and copied significant portions of the EagleView material, Defendant continued to infringe the '436 patent.

19. Defendant offers its products and services at a lower price than EagleView in direct competition with EagleView to take away customers, reducing the price at which EagleView could sell their reports. Defendant's sale of reports also reduced EagleView's market share, number of products sold and the price at which EagleView could sell their own products. Each of these caused loss of revenue to EagleView. Defendant's infringing actions have caused economic harm to EagleView in this judicial district in which EagleView's headquarters are located and in which they carry out their business.

20. Attached as Exhibit G are samples of roof estimation reports of the type provided by EagleView.

21. Attached as Exhibit H are samples of roof estimation reports of the type provided by Aerialogics.

22. Plaintiff EagleView has been, and will continue to be, damaged by such infringement in an amount to be proven at trial in excess of the amount for diversity jurisdiction, and in a manner and amount that cannot be fully measured or compensated in economic terms and for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The patent infringement actions of Defendant have damaged, and will continue to damage, Plaintiff's business, market, reputation, and goodwill unless Defendant's acts of patent infringement complained of herein are enjoined.

SECOND COUNT - PATENT INFRINGEMENT - U.S. Patent 8,145,578

23. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint.

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been, and are directly and indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '578 Patent by using, offering to sell, selling,

and/or causing to be used, offered for sale or sold, infringing aerial roof estimation products, including reports and software, and services in this judicial district.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant offers on its website at www.aerialogics.com, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, aerial roof estimation reports that have been made by infringing one or more claims of the '578 Patent.

THIRD COUNT - PATENT INFRINGEMENT - U.S. Patent 8,170,840

26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been, and are directly and indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '840 Patent by using, offering to sell, selling, and/or causing to be used, offered for sale or sold, infringing aerial roof estimation products, including reports and software, and services in this judicial district.

28. Upon information and belief, Defendant offers on its website at www.aerialogics.com, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, aerial roof estimation reports that have been made by infringing one or more claims of the '840 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff EagleView respectfully demands judgment:

1. That Defendant, and its respective officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be enjoined and restrained and permanently thereafter from all acts that infringe either the '436, '578 or the '840 Patents directly, indirectly, contributorily, or by inducement, including being enjoined from manufacturing, importing, using, offering for sale and/or selling aerial roof estimation reports, products, or software that infringe either the '436, '578 or the '840 patents or that have been made by an infringing process covered by the '436, '578 or the '840 patents.

2. That Defendant be required to prepare and deliver to the Court a complete list of entities to whom such Defendant has sold aerial roof estimation reports.

3. That Defendant be required to prepare and deliver to the Court a full accounting of all aerial roof estimation reports sold, including the price at which they were sold, the date sold and a profit and loss statement for each year in which any infringing activities took place.

4. That Defendant be required to prepare and deliver to the Court a complete list of entities to whom such Defendant has sold aerial roof estimation software.

5. That Defendant be required to prepare and deliver to the Court a full accounting of all aerial roof estimation software sold, including the price at which such software was sold, the date sold and a profit and loss statement for each year in which any infringing activities took place.

6. That Defendant, within thirty days after receiving notice of entry of judgment, be required to file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff's counsel a written report under oath setting forth in detail the manner in which Defendant has complied with Paragraphs 1 through 5, immediately above.

7. That Defendant account for and pay over to Plaintiff damages sustained by Plaintiff, directly and indirectly, by reason of Defendant's patent infringement.

8. That Defendant's infringement of the '436 patent be found willful and that treble damages, together with interest and costs, be awarded under 35 U.S.C. § 284, or as otherwise permitted by law.

9. That Defendant's infringement of the '578 patent be found willful and that treble damages, together with interest and costs, be awarded under 35 U.S.C. § 284, or as otherwise permitted by law.

10. That Defendant's infringement of the '840 patent be found willful and that treble damages, together with interest and costs, be awarded under 35 U.S.C. § 284, or as otherwise permitted by law.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT (Case No.12cv618 RAJ)......7 That Plaintiff be awarded all costs and expenses in this action under 28 U.S.C. §
1920 and under Fed. Rules of Civil Procedure 54(d).

12. That the present case be found exceptional and that attorney fees be awarded to Plaintiff under 35 U.S.C. § 285, or as otherwise permitted by law.

13. That Plaintiff have such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues in this case.

DATED this 29th day of May 2012,

SEED IP Law Group PLLC /s/David V. Carlson David V. Carlson, WSBA No. 17643 DavidC@Seedip.com William O. Ferron, Jr., WSBA No. 11813 BillF@Seedip.com 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5400 Seattle, Washington 98104-7092

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for Plaintiff EAGLE VIEW, INC.

Telephone: (206) 622-4900