

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

ANU IP, LLC	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:12-cv-00217
v.	§	
	§	
	§	
COBY ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	§	
Defendant	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF’S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Anu IP LLC respectfully files this Second Amended Complaint for patent infringement against Defendant Coby Electronics Corporation.

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Anu IP LLC (“Anu”) is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business at 3301 W. Marshall Ave., Suite 303, Longview, Texas 75601.

2. Defendant Coby Electronics Corporation (“Coby”) is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 1991 Marcus Ave., Lake Success, New York 11042.

3. Defendant may be served with process through its chief executive officer, Young D. Lee, CEO, at 1991 Marcus Ave., Lake Success, New York 11042.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b).
7. Defendant has engaged in acts of direct infringement in this judicial district.

FACTS

8. Plaintiff is a Marshall, Texas-based company that owns U.S. Patent Nos. 6,979,210 (“the ‘210 patent”) and 7,090,515 (“the ‘515 patent”). The ‘210 and ‘515 patents are attached as *Exhibits A and B*.

9. The ‘210 and ‘515 patents relate to memory storage devices that contain retractable USB (universal serial bus) connectors. The ‘210 and ‘515 patents are valid and enforceable.

10. Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, and offers for sale products that infringe the ‘210 and ‘515 patents, including but not limited to the Snapp CAM5002 camcorder.

11. Defendant’s infringement is willful. In August 2008, for example, Defendant requested a license to Plaintiff’s patents and Defendant has not asserted any reasons why its products fall outside Plaintiff’s patents.

12. Yet, despite this knowledge, Defendant has continued to infringe.

CAUSE OF ACTION

A. Infringement of the ‘210 Patent

13. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth here.

14. Defendant has been, and is now, directly infringing the ‘210 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, selling, offering for sale, or importing products and services covered by one or more claims of the ‘210 patent, all to the injury of Plaintiff.

15. Defendant's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's patent rights, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

16. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's infringement of the '210 patent in an amount to be determined at trial, and has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and injury unless Defendant is permanently enjoined from infringing the '210 patent.

B. Infringement of the '515 Patent

17. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth here.

18. Defendant has been, and is now, directly infringing and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '515 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, selling, offering for sale, or importing products and services covered by one or more claims of the '515 patent, all to the injury of Plaintiff.

19. Defendant's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's patent rights, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

20. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's infringement of the '515 patent in an amount to be determined at trial, and has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable loss and injury unless Defendant is permanently enjoined from infringing the '515 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Anu IP LLC respectfully prays for the following relief against Defendant General Imaging Company.

A. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed the '210 and '515 patents;

B. A permanent injunction, enjoining Defendant, along with its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, and parents from infringing, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the '210 and '515 patents;

C. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff damages for its infringement of the '210 and '515 patents, together with interest (both pre- and post-judgment), costs and disbursements as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

D. A judgment and order finding Defendant's infringement willful and awarding treble the amount of damages and losses sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

E. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees; and

F. Such other and further relief in law or in equity to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues triable of right before a jury.

Respectfully submitted,

AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI & MENSING,
P.C.

/s/ Demetrios Anaipakos

Demetrios Anaipakos
danaipakos@azalaw.com
Fed. I.D. No. 20323
State Bar No. 00793258

Amir Alavi
aalavi@azalaw.com
Fed. I.D. No. 00919
State Bar No. 00793239

Steven J. Mitby
smitby@azalaw.com
Fed. I.D. No. 33591
State Bar No. 24037123
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 3460
Houston, Texas 77010

Telephone: 713-655-1101
Facsimile: 713-655-0062

/s/ Andrew W. Spangler

Andrew W. Spangler
SPANGLER LAW P.C.
208 N. Green Street, Suite 300
Longview, Texas 75601
(903) 753-9300
(903) 553-0403 (facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF