

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

| | | |
|--|---|------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| ANVIK CORPORATION, | : | |
| | : | |
| Plaintiff, | : | |
| v. | : | |
| | : | |
| NIKON PRECISION, INC., et al., | : | Civ. No. 05-7891 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD., et al., | : | Civ. No. 07-0816 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., et al., | : | Civ. No. 07-0818 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS, et al., | : | Civ. No. 07-0821 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| AU OPTRONICS CORP., et al., | : | Civ. No. 07-0822 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| SHARP CORP., et al., | : | Civ. No. 07-0825 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| INNOLUX DISPLAY CORP., | : | Civ. No. 07-0826 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORP., | : | Civ. No. 07-0827 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| AFPD PTE LTD., and | : | Civ. No. 07-0828 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| IPS ALPHA TECHNOLOGY, LTD, et al. | : | Civ. No. 08-4036 (AKH) |
| | : | |
| Defendants. | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff Anvik Corporation in each of the above-named cases hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the judgments and orders listed below, which are identical in all of the above-named cases:

- (1) the final Judgment entered in each of the above-named cases on April 6, 2012;
- (2) the Order Dismissing Plaintiff's Claims with Prejudice entered in each of the above-named cases except *Anvik Corp. v. IPS Alpha Technology, Ltd., et al.*, Civ. No. 08-4036 (AKH)

(the “*IPS Alpha* case”) on April 5, 2012 and entered in the *IPS Alpha* case on April 6, 2012 (the “Dismissal Order”);

(3) the Court’s oral rulings on Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,924,257, 5,285,236, and 5,291,240 for Failure to Disclose Best Mode Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, which are recorded in the transcript of the hearing held on March 30, 2012 that is attached as Appendix A to the Dismissal Order;

(4) the Summary Order granting Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,924,257, 5,285,236, and 5,291,240 for Failure to Disclose Best Mode Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 that is attached as Appendix B to the Dismissal Order;

(5) the Summary Order Memorializing Court’s Patent Claim Term Constructions After a Markman Hearing entered in each of the above-named cases on September 28, 2011; and

(6) the Court’s oral rulings on claims-construction issues recorded in the transcript of the hearing held on September 26, 2011, which was filed in the above-named case *Anvik Corp. v. Nikon Precision, Inc., et al.*, Civ. No. 05-7891 (AKH) (S.D.N.Y.) on October 4, 2011.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Chad Johnson

Chad Johnson

Joshua L. Raskin

Jai K. Chandrasekhar

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER

& GROSSMANN LLP

1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

Tel.: (212) 554-1400

Fax: (212) 554-1444

Counsel for Plaintiff Anvik Corporation