IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 2:10-cv-00248-RAJ-DEM
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC.,)
VERIZON SERVICES CORP., VERIZON VIRGINIA INC., and)
VERIZON SOUTH INC.,)
Defendants.)
	_)

DEFENDANTS' AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

Defendants Verizon Communications Inc., Verizon Services Corp., Verizon Virginia
Inc., and Verizon South Inc., (collectively, "Verizon") hereby amend their notice of appeal to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the Notice of Appeal and the Amended
Notice of Appeal including the Final Judgment entered by the district court on August 2, 2011,
Dkt. No. 930, the Judgment Regarding Interest entered by the Court on October 13, 2011, Dkt.
No. 1150, the Amended Judgment entered by the district court on October 14, 2011, Dkt. No.
1155, and any and all other judgments, rulings, findings, orders, and/or conclusions of any kind
decided adversely to Verizon, in whole or in part, at any time, including, but not limited to, the
following: the March 21, 2011, Order Denying Verizon's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt.
No. 394); the March 23, 2011, Order Denying the Parties' Request to Construe 22 Terms
(Markman I Order) (Dkt. No. 398); the April 7, 2011, Memorandum Opinion and Order
Regarding Claim Construction (Markman II Order) (Dkt. No. 408); the May 10, 2011,

Memorandum Opinion and Order Granting ActiveVideo's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Invalidity (Dkt. No. 442); the June 30, 2011, Memorandum Order Denying Verizon's Motion for Partial Reconsideration Regarding the Validity of the '748 Patent (Dkt. No. 757); the July 1, 2011, Order Regarding Motions in Limine (Dkt. No. 763); the July 1, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 1, 2011, Denying Verizon's Motion for Reconsideration Based on Newly Discover Evidence (Dkt. No. 776); the July 11, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 1, 2011, Denying Verizon's Emergency Motion for Sanctions, to Continue the Trial Date, and to Permit Additional Discovery (Dkt. No. 777); the July 15, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 11, 2011, Denying Verizon's Motion to Exclude the Expert Reports and Testimony of Gary Arlen and Michael J. Wagner, and Granting in Part and Denying in Part Verizon's Motion to Exclude the Expert Reports and Testimony of Dr. Diana Hawkins Manuelian (Dkt. No. 785); the July 27, 2011, Order Granting ActiveVideo's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Regarding Validity of U.S. Patent No. 5,526,034 (Dkt. No. 883); the August 2, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 29, 2011, Denying Verizon's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Laches (Dkt. No. 932); the August 2, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 29, 2011, Denying Verizon's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law of No Direct Infringement (Dkt. No. 933); the August 2, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 29, 2011, Denying Verizon's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Regarding Damage (Dkt. No. 937); the August 8, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 28, 2011, Granting ActiveVideo's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Regarding the Integration Agreement and Denying Verizon's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Regarding License and Release (Dkt. No. 945); the August 16, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 29, 2011, on Motions Regarding the Validity and Invention Dates of ActiveVideo's Asserted Patents (Dkt. No. 983); the August 17, 2011, Order nun pro tunc July 29, 2011, on Motions Regarding Inequitable

Conduct as to ActiveVideo's Asserted Patents (Dkt. No. 985); the October 12, 2011, Order Denying Verizon's Renewed Judgment as a Matter of Law Regarding Pre-Suit Damages (Dkt. No. 1147); the October 13, 2011, Memorandum Opinion and Order Granting ActiveVideo's Motion for Prejudgment Interest, Post-Judgment Interest, and Continuing Damages (Dkt. No. 1149); the October 13, 2011, Order Denying Verizon's Motion for New Trial Due to Prejudice (Dkt. No. 1152); the October 13, 2011, Order Denying Verizon's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Regarding Damages, or for a New Trial, or to Modify the Judgment and for Remittitur (Dkt. No. 1153); the October 4, 2011, Amended Memorandum Opinion and Order Regarding Prejudgment Interest, Post-Judgment Interest, and Continuing Damages (Dkt. No. 1154); the November 6, 2011, Memorandum Opinion and Order Denying Verizon's Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment Based on Newly Discovered Evidence (Dkt. No. 1185); the November 23, 2011, Memorandum Opinion and Order Granting an Injunction (Dkt. No. 1209); the Jury Instructions and Verdict Form provided to the jury; and any and all oral orders adverse to Verizon rendered before, during, and after the trial held in this matter, including but not limited to all oral orders regarding motion in limine, motions for judgment as a matter of law, evidentiary objections before and during trial, jury instructions, and verdict forms.

Dated: November 28, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC., VERIZON SERVICES CORP., VERIZON VIRGINIA INC. and VERIZON SOUTH INC.

/s

Gregory N. Stillman (VSB #14308) Brent L. VanNorman (VSB #45956) **HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP**

500 East Main Street, Suite 1000

Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 640-5300 Facsimile: (757) 625-7720 gstillman@hunton.com byannorman@hunton.com

Brian M. Buroker (VSB # 39581) Bradley T. Lennie (*Pro Hac Vice*) Justin T. Arbes (*Pro Hac Vice*)

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP

2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20037 Telephone: (202) 955-1500 Facsimile: (202) 778-2201 bburoker@hunton.com blennie@hunton.com jarbes@hunton.com

Henry B. Gutman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Patrick E. King (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Noah M. Leibowitz (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Jason M. Bussey (*Pro Hac Vice*)

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

425 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017-3954 Telephone: (212) 455-2000 Facsimile: (212) 455-2502 hgutman@stblaw.com pking@stblaw.com nleibowitz@stblaw.com jbussey@stblaw.com John Thorne (*Pro Hac Vice*) Leonard C. Suchyta (*Pro Hac Vice*) John P. Frantz (VSB #41450) Caren K. Khoo (*Pro Hac Vice*)

VERIZON CORPORATE RESOURCES GROUP LLC

One Verizon Way
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920
Telephone: (908) 559-5623
Facsimile: (908) 766-6974
leonard.suchyta@verizon.com
john.frantz@verizon.com
caren.khoo@verizon.com

Michael K. Kellogg (*Pro Hac Vice*) Mark C. Hansen (*Pro Hac Vice*) **KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD, EVANS & FIGEL, P.L.L.C.** 1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: (202) 326-7900 Facsimile: (202) 326-7999 mkellogg@khhte.com mhansen@khhte.com

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 28, 2011, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing to the following counsel of record:

Stephen E. Noona (VSB #25367)

KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C.

150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23514 Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169

senoona@kaufcan.com

Nathan W. McCutcheon (VSB #36308)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 739-3000 Facsimile: (202) 739-3001 nmccutcheon@morganlewis.com

Daniel Johnson, Jr.
Michael J. Lyons
Dion M. Bregman
Ahren C. Hoffman
Michael F. Carr
Lorraine M. Casto
Jason Gettleman

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP

2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122
Telephone: (650) 843-4000
Facsimile: (650) 843-4001
djjohnson@morganlewis.com
mlyons@morganlewis.com
dbregman@morganlewis.com
ahoffman@morganlewis.com
ncarr@morganlewis.com
lcasto@morganlewis.com
jgettleman@morganlewis.com

Brett M. Schuman MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP

One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001 bschuman@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/

Gregory N. Stillman (VSB #14308) **HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP**

500 East Main Street, Suite 1000

Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 640-5300 Facsimile: (757) 625-7720 gstillman@hunton.com

Counsel for Defendants