

1 Patrick F. Bright (State Bar SBN68709)
2 WAGNER, ANDERSON & BRIGHT, P. C.
3 3541 Ocean View Boulevard
4 Glendale, CA 91208
(818) 249-9300
(818) 249-9335 (fax)
E-Mail: pbright@patentattorney.us

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
6 K TECH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **WESTERN DIVISION**

11 K-TECH
12 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a
13 Delaware corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 DIRECTV., a Delaware corporation

17 Defendant.
18
19

CASE NO. CV11-09370 RGK
(RZx)

NOTICE OF APPEAL


20
21 **PLAINTIFF K TECH TELECOMMUNICATION INC.'S NOTICE OF**
22 **APPEAL**

23 Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff K Tech Telecommunications, Inc.
24 appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from this
25 Court's Order dated May 9, 2012.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 25, 2012

by:  _____

Patrick F. Bright
WAGNER, ANDERSON & BRIGHT, P.C.
3541 Ocean View Blvd.
Glendale, CA 91208
Telephone: (818) 249-9300
Facsimile: (818) 249-9335
pbright@patentattorney.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff
K Tech Telecommunications, Inc.

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV11-09370-RGK (RZx) Date May 9, 2012
Title K TECH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. DIRECTV

Present: The R. GARY KLAUSNER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Honorable

<u>Sharon L. Williams</u>	<u>Not Reported</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (DE 21)

On February 21, 2012, the Court granted Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for patent infringement on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to allege sufficient factual detail regarding Defendant's accused product and the manner in which it is infringing Plaintiff's patents. The First Amended Complaint ("FAC") Plaintiff filed on February 28, 2012 does not cure the deficiencies identified in the Court's prior order. Plaintiff has still failed to allege facts sufficient to state a plausible claim for patent infringement under the standards articulated in *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007) and *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009).

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the Court's February 21st Order, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's FAC.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of
Preparer

slw

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was filed via the Court's CM/ECF on May 25, 2012, and therefore a copy was electronically served on the following:

Mr. Darin W. Snyder (SBN 136003)
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3823
E-mail: dsnyder@omm.com

Ryan K. Yagura (SBN 197619)
Brian M. Cook (SBN 266181)
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
400 S. Hope St., 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
E-mails: ryagura@omm.com
bcook@omm.com

Attorneys for Defendant DirecTV



Patrick F. Bright