## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANVIK CORPORATION, Plaintiff, v. NIKON PRECISION, INC., et al., Civ. No. 05-7891 (AKH) LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD., et al., Civ. No. 07-0816 (AKH) SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., et al., . Civ. No. 07-0818 (AKH) CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS, et al., Civ. No. 07-0821 (AKH) : AU OPTRONICS CORP., et al., : Civ. No. 07-0822 (AKH) SHARP CORP., et al., Civ. No. 07-0825 (AKH) INNOLUX DISPLAY CORP., Civ. No. 07-0826 (AKH) HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORP., Civ. No. 07-0827 (AKH) AFPD PTE LTD., and Civ. No. 07-0828 (AKH) IPS ALPHA TECHNOLOGY, LTD, et al. Civ. No. 08-4036 (AKH) Defendants.

## **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff Anvik Corporation in each of the above-named cases hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the judgments and orders listed below, which are identical in all of the above-named cases:

- (1) the final Judgment entered in each of the above-named cases on April 6, 2012;
- (2) the Order Dismissing Plaintiff's Claims with Prejudice entered in each of the abovenamed cases except *Anvik Corp. v. IPS Alpha Technology, Ltd., et al.*, Civ. No. 08-4036 (AKH)

(the "IPS Alpha case") on April 5, 2012 and entered in the IPS Alpha case on April 6, 2012 (the "Dismissal Order");

- (3) the Court's oral rulings on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,924,257, 5,285,236, and 5,291,240 for Failure to Disclose Best Mode Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, which are recorded in the transcript of the hearing held on March 30, 2012 that is attached as Appendix A to the Dismissal Order;
- (4) the Summary Order granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,924,257, 5,285,236, and 5,291,240 for Failure to Disclose Best Mode Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 that is attached as Appendix B to the Dismissal Order;
- (5) the Summary Order Memorializing Court's Patent Claim Term Constructions After a Markman Hearing entered in each of the above-named cases on September 28, 2011; and
- (6) the Court's oral rulings on claims-construction issues recorded in the transcript of the hearing held on September 26, 2011, which was filed in the above-named case *Anvik Corp. v. Nikon Precision, Inc., et al.*, Civ. No. 05-7891 (AKH) (S.D.N.Y.) on October 4, 2011.

  Dated: April 6, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Chad Johnson

Chad Johnson

Joshua L. Raskin

Jai K. Chandrasekhar

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP

1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

Tel.: (212) 554-1400

Fax: (212) 554-1444

Counsel for Plaintiff Anvik Corporation