COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC ("Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Original Complaint against GSMC, Inc. ("Defendant") as follows:

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent No. 6,587,046 entitled "Monitoring Apparatus and Method" (the "'046 patent"; a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A) and United States Patent No. 7,277,010 entitled "Monitoring Apparatus and Method" (the "'010 patent"; a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B) (collectively, "the patents-in-suit"). Plaintiff is the owner of the '046 patent and the '010 patent. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

## **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware. Plaintiff maintains its principal place of business at 122 Bellevue Place, Yonkers, New York 10703. Plaintiff is the owner of the patents-in-suit and possesses the right to sue for infringement and recover past damages.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company organized under the laws of the state of Nevada, and maintains its principal place of business at 4270 Cameron St., Suite 6, Las Vegas, Nevada 89103.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because: Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts with the State of California and the Central District of California; Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of California and in the Central District of California; Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of California; Defendant regularly conducts business within

1

4 5

6

7 8

10

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

the State of California and within the Central District of California; and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of California and in the Central District of California.

- 6. More specifically, Defendant, directly and/or through authorized intermediaries, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises (including the provision of an interactive web page) its products and services in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California. Upon information and belief, Defendant has committed patent infringement in the State of California and in the Central District of California. Defendant solicits customers in the State of California and in the Central District of California. Defendant has many paying customers who are residents of the State of California and the Central District of California and who each use Defendant's products and services in the State of California and in the Central District of California.
- 7. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

#### **COUNT I – PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

- 8. The '010 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 2, 2007, after full and fair examination for systems and methods for premises video monitoring. Plaintiff is the owner of the '010 patent and possesses all substantive rights and rights of recovery under the '010 patent, including the right to sue for infringement and recover past damages.
- The '046 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and 9. Trademark Office on July 1, 2003, after full and fair examination for systems and methods for premises video monitoring. Plaintiff is the owner of the '046 patent and possesses all substantive rights and rights of recovery under the '046 patent, including the right to sue for infringement and recover past damages.
- 10. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit, by making, using, providing, offering to sell, and selling (directly or through

13. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '010 patent and the '046 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

## **JURY DEMAND**

14. Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the '010 patent have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant;
- B. An adjudication that one or more claims of the '046 patent have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant;

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

1	C. An adjudication that Defendant has contributed to the infringement of one or mo
2	claims of the '010 patent and/or the '046 patent, either literally and/or under t
3	doctrine of equivalents;
4	D. An award to Plaintiff of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for t
5	Defendant' acts of infringement together with pre-judgment and post-judgment
6	interest;
7	E. A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining t
8	Defendant from further acts of infringement with respect to the claims of the '0
9	patent and the '046 patent;
10	F. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff
11	reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §285; and
12	G. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.
13	Respectfully submitted,
14	WHITE FIELD, INC.
15	
16	Dated: November 18, 2011
17	Steven W. Ritcheson, Attorney for Plaintiff
18	JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC
19	STSTEMS, LLC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	