Maureen V. Abbey HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC 220 Saint Paul Street Westfield, New Jersey 07090 Telephone: (908) 379-8475 Facsimile: (908) 301-9008

Attorneys for Plaintiff

maureen@hgdlawfirm.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY NEWARK DIVISION

CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No
v.)
TOYS"R"US, Inc.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.))

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Cronos Technologies, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Cronos"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Complaint for patent infringement against Defendant Toys"R"Us, Inc.("Defendant") as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent No. 5,664,110 entitled "Remote Ordering System" (hereinafter, the "110 patent" or the "Patent-in-Suit"). A copy of the '110 patent, is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patent-in-Suit with respect to the Defendant. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PARTIES

- 2. Cronos is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 717 N. Union St. #65, Wilmington, Delaware 19805.
- 3. Plaintiff is the owner the Patent-in-Suit with respect to the Defendant, and possesses all right to enforce the Patent-in-Suit, including the right to sue the Defendant for infringement and recover past damages.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a place of business located at One Geoffrey Way, Wayne, New Jersey, 07470. Upon information and belief, Defendant does business through its website, www.toysrus.com, which is accused of infringing the Patent-in-Suit.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: Defendant has minimum contacts within the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey; Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey; Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of New Jersey; Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of New Jersey and within the District of New Jersey; and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from

Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey.

- 7. More specifically, Defendant, directly and/or through its intermediaries, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises (including the provision of an interactive web page) its products and services in the United States, the State of New Jersey, and the District of New Jersey. Upon information and belief, Defendant and/or its customers have committed patent infringement in the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey, and/or has induced others to commit patent infringement in the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey. Defendant solicits customers in the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey. Defendant has many paying customers who are residents of the State of New Jersey and the District of New Jersey and who use Defendant's products and services in the State of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey and in the District of New Jersey.
- 8. Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,664,110 BY TOYS"R"US, INC.

- 9. The '110 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on December 8, 1994 after full and fair examination. Plaintiff is the owner under the '110 patent with respect to Defendant, and possesses all right, title and interest in the Patent-in-Suit including the right to enforce the Patent-in-Suit, and the right to sue Defendant for infringement and recover past damages.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant owns, operates, advertises, and controls its website, www.toysrus.com, that infringes the '110 patent either literally or under the

doctrine of equivalents. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '110 patent by making, using, and providing a remote ordering terminal, associated with Defendant and its order processing system with attendant item codes, comprised of a user identifier means, a data entry device, a user-specific database, a storage memory, a communication means between the devices and terminal, and a command entry device, in this district and elsewhere in the United States through its website.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant has induced and continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '110 patent in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, instructed, enabled and otherwise caused its customers to use a remote ordering terminal, associated with Defendant and its order processing system with attendant item codes, comprised of a user identifier means, a data entry device, a user-specific database, a storage memory, a communication means between the devices and terminal, and a command entry device, said terminal having been provided by Defendant to its customers for the primary purpose of causing infringing acts by said customers. Defendant has had knowledge of the '110 patent as of the commencement of this action at least and, upon information and belief, continues to encourage, instruct, enable and otherwise cause its customers to use its products in a manner which infringes the '110 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant has specifically intended that its customers use the accused products in such a way that infringes the '110 patent by, at minimum, providing instructions to its customers on how to use the accused products in such a way that infringes the '110 patent and knew that its actions, including but not limited to providing such instructions, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its customers.

- 12. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 14. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '110 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the '110 patent have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the Defendant;
- B. An adjudication that one or more claims of the '110 patent have been infringed, by customers of the Defendant, said customers having been induced to infringe by the intentional actions of the Defendant;
- C. An award to Plaintiff of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the Defendant's acts of infringement together with prejudgment interest;
- D. A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining the Defendant from further acts of (1) infringement, (2) contributory infringement, and (3) actively inducing infringement with respect to the claims of the Patent-in-Suit;

- E. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with U.S.C. § 285; and,
 - F. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of August 2012.

Maureen V. Abbey

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

NJ Bar No. 20782005 220 Saint Paul Street

Westfield, New Jersey 07090 Telephone: (908) 379-8475

Facsimile: (908) 301-9008

E-mail: maureen@hgdlawfirm.com

Douglas L. Bridges

Pro Hac Vice Application to be Filed

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

169 Dauphin Street

Suite 100

Mobile, Alabama 36602

Telephone: (251) 298-8701 Facsimile: (205) 326-3332

E-mail: dbridges@hgdlawfirm.com

Jacqueline K. Burt, Esq.

Pro Hac Vice Application to be Filed

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

3350 Riverwood Parkway, Suite 1900

Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Telephone: (404) 996-0861 Facsimile: (205) 547-5502 Email: jburt@hgdlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cronos Technologies, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I will have the foregoing papers served on Defendant or Defendant's agent for service by personal service in accordance with the Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure upon issuance of the summons by the Court.

s/ Maureen	V Abbay	
s/ maureen	v. Abbev	