IN THEUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

MRC INNOVATIONS, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

HUNTER MFG., LLP, et al.

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 1:12-cv-00684

JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT WITH DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff MRC Innovations, Inc., for its Second Amended Complaint against Defendants Hunter MFG., LLP and CDI International, Inc., by and through its attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

I. Parties

- 1. MRC Innovations, Inc. (hereinafter "MRC") is an Ohio corporation, and has a principal place of business at 3965 Marble Ridge Lane Mason, Ohio 45040.
- 2. MRC is the owner, by written assignment, of all right, title and interest in and to U.S. Design Patent No. D634,488 (hereinafter "the '488 Patent"), entitled "Football Jersey for a Dog", which issued on March 15, 2011 to Mark Cohen based on an application filed on September 8, 2010. A true and accurate copy of the '488 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3637 SECOND AMENDED C

RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP

38210 Glenn Avenue Willoughby, OH 44094 Phone: 216.566.9700 Fax: 216.566.9711

16

17

11

12

13

21

32

33

27

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

- 3. MRC is the owner, by written assignment, of all right, title and interest in and to U.S. Design Patent No. D634,487 (hereinafter "the '487 Patent"), entitled "Baseball Jersey for a Dog", which issued on March 15, 2011 to Mark Cohen based on an application filed on September 8, 2010. A true and accurate copy of the '487 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Hunter MFG., LLP (hereinafter "Hunter") is a Delaware limited liability partnership, and has a principal place of business 201 West Loudon Avenue Lexington, Kentucky 40508.
- 5. Upon information and belief, CDI International, Inc. (hereinafter "CDI") is Pennsylvania corporation, and has a principal place of business at 525 South 4th St. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19147. Further, upon information and belief, CDI has a distribution facility at 851 Second Street, Perrysburg, Ohio 43551.

II. **Jurisdiction and Venue**

- This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States and, 6. more particularly, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (jurisdiction over patent actions).
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have conducted, and do conduct, business within the State of Ohio and the Northern District of Ohio. Defendants, either directly or through distributors and/or retailers or others, ship, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and advertise their products in the United States, the State of Ohio, and within this judicial district. On information and belief, Defendants have imported into the United States and have offered to sell and sell pet apparel, and particularly football jerseys and baseball jerseys for dogs, either directly or through third party retailers located within the State of Ohio and the Northern District of Ohio, that respectively infringe the '488 Patent and '487 Patent with the expectation that these pet football and baseball jerseys will be purchased by consumers in the Northern District of Ohio.

9. Venue is proper before this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) and (c) and §1400(b) in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district and the Defendants have a regular and established practice of business in this district and have committed acts of infringement in this district.

III. Factual Allegations and Background

- 10. MRC incorporates herein the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 9 above.
- 11. MRC has built a foundation of solution finding techniques and serves its customers through global resources. As part of its service, MRC provides innovative designs to retailers, distributors and/or dealers for various consumer products which allow its customers to meet the challenges in their respective marketplace. MRC has taken steps to protect its innovative designs and owns intellectual property rights. Examples of such intellectual property rights includes the '488 Patent and the '487 Patent.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Hunter is a retailer and/or distributor and/or dealer of licensed sports consumer products. One such product available from Hunter that is licensed by the National Football League is football jerseys for dogs. The pet football jerseys available from Hunter for sale by third party retailers are shown on a website owned by Hunter, namely www.huntermfg.com. True and accurate copies of pages from the website of Hunter are attached as Exhibit C. By way of example, Hunter supplies pet football jerseys to third party retailer Petco and Petco offers the same for sale on its website www.petco.com. True and accurate copies of pages from the website of Petco are attached as Exhibit D. The pet football jerseys offered by Hunter for sale by third party retailers are substantially the same as the patented design shown in the '488 Patent of MRC.
- 13. Another product available from Hunter that is licensed by Major League Baseball is baseball jerseys for dogs. The pet baseball jerseys available from Hunter for sale by third party retailers are also shown on the website owned by Hunter. True and accurate copies of pages from the website of Hunter are attached as Exhibit E. By way of example, Hunter also supplies pet baseball jerseys to Petco and Petco offers the same for sale on its website. True and accurate copies of pages from the website of Petco are attached as

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 3

- Exhibit F. The pet baseball jerseys offered by Hunter for sale by third party retailers are substantially the same as the patented design shown in the '487 Patent of MRC.
- 14. Hunter contracted with Fun In Games, Inc. (hereinafter "FiG"), an Ohio corporation and a sister company to MRC, to manufacture the pet football and baseball jerseys for Hunter to be offered for sale by third party retailers, such as, for example, Petco. Mark Cohen, an employee of MRC, and on behalf of FiG, created and designed a football jersey for a dog and filed for patent protection for the same on Sept. 8, 201. Mark Cohen also created and designed a baseball jersey for a dog and filed for patent protection for the same on Sept. 8, 2010. MRC granted FiG a non-exclusive license to have manufactured and to sell the pet football and baseball jerseys under the respective '488 Patent and the '487 Patent of MRC. Per purchase orders from Hunter, FiG had manufactured the pet football and baseball jerseys, and Hunter offered the same to third party retailers for sale.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Hunter had knowledge of both the '488 Patent and the '487 Patent.
- 16. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287(a), MRC marked labels provided on pet football jerseys manufactured after the issue date of the '488 Patent, and marked labels provided on pet baseball jerseys manufactured after the issue date of the '487 Patent.
- 17. One example of the pet football jerseys designed by MRC, manufactured for FiG on behalf of Hunter, sold by Hunter via a third party retailer, and covered by the '488 Patent is depicted in the photos attached as Exhibit G. Of particular importance is the Hunter label provided on a front of the pet jersey and the label which is marked pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287(a) and indicates a manufacture date of 20110515.
- 18. In April 2011, Hunter ended its relationship with FiG and advised FiG that it contracted with another distributor for the manufacture of pet jerseys. Upon information and belief, Hunter contracted with CDI to manufacture both the pet football jerseys and pet baseball jerseys and provided CDI with sample(s) of the pet jerseys manufactured for FiG. Upon information and belief, CDI has manufactured and continues to have manufactured the pet football and baseball jerseys for Hunter to be sold by third party retailers.
- 19. One example of the pet football jerseys manufactured for CDI on behalf of Hunter and sold by Hunter via a third party retailer is depicted in the photos attached as Exhibit H.

- Of particular importance is the Hunter label provided on a front of the pet jersey and the label which indicates a manufacture date of 20111105.
- 20. Upon information and belief, CDI continues to have manufactured and Hunter continues to sell via third party retailers pet jerseys embodying the respective designs of the '488 Patent and the '487 Patent in this judicial district and throughout the United States.

IV. Infringement of U.S. Design Patent No. D634,488

- 21. MRC incorporates herein the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. The '488 Patent is valid and enforceable.
- 23. Upon information and belief, without MRC's authorization, Hunter has offered to sell, promoted, distributed and/or sold either directly or through third party retailers pet football jerseys having designs that are substantially the same as the design covered by the '488 Patent.
- 24. Hunter has infringed, induced infringement of, and contributorily infringed the '488 Patent and is still doing so by its offer for sale and/or sale via third party retailers of pet football jerseys in the United States embodying the patented design of the '488 Patent, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Hunter's knowing and repeated infringements of the '488 Patent has been continuous and continues to be intentional, willful and deliberate.
- 26. Upon information and belief, without MRC's authorization, CDI has made and imports imported into the United States pet football jerseys having designs that are substantially the same as the design covered by the '488 Patent.
- 27. CDI infringed, induced infringement of, and contributorily infringed the '488 Patent and is still doing so by its design, development, manufacture, offer for sale and/or sale of pet football jerseys in the United States embodying the patented design of the '488 Patent, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 28. Upon information and belief, CDI's knowing and repeated infringements of the '488 Patent has been continuous and continues to be intentional, willful and deliberate.

14

22 23

24

25

21

26 27 28

29 30 31

33 34

32

35 36

39

40

37 38 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 6 CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:12-CV-00684

29. As a direct and proximate consequence of Hunter and CDI's infringement of the '488 Patent, MRC has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages in an amount not yet determined for which MRC is entitled to relief.

V. Infringement of U.S. Design Patent No. D634,487

- 30. MRC incorporates herein the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above.
- 31. The '487 Patent is valid and enforceable.
- 32. Upon information and belief, without MRC's authorization, Hunter has offered to sell, promoted, distributed and/or sold either directly or through third party retailers pet baseball jerseys having designs that are substantially the same as the design covered by the '487 Patent.
- 33. Hunter has infringed, induced infringement of, and contributorily infringed the '487 Patent and is still doing so by its offer for sale and/or sale via third party retailers of pet baseball jerseys in the United States embodying the patented design of the '487 Patent, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Hunter's knowing and repeated infringements of the '487 Patent has been continuous and continues to be intentional, willful and deliberate.
- 35. Upon information and belief, without MRC's authorization, CDI has made and imports imported into the United States pet baseball jerseys having designs that are substantially the same as the design covered by the '487 Patent.
- 36. CDI infringed, induced infringement of, and contributorily infringed the '487 Patent and is still doing so by its design, development, manufacture, offer for sale and/or sale of pet baseball jerseys in the United States embodying the patented design of the '487 Patent, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 37. Upon information and belief, CDI's knowing and repeated infringements of the '487 Patent has been continuous and continues to be intentional, willful and deliberate.
- 38. As a direct and proximate consequence of Hunter and CDI's infringement of the '487 Patent, MRC has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages in an amount not yet determined for which MRC is entitled to relief.

RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP

38210 Glenn Avenue Willoughby, OH 44094 Phone: 216.566.9700

Fax: 216.566.9711

9

13 14

12

151617

18 19

20

212223

242526

272829

3031

32

3334

3536

37 38

40

38 39

VI. Request for Relief

WHEREFORE, MRC requests entry of judgment in its favor against Hunter and CDI, jointly and severally, as follows:

- A. Enter judgment that Hunter and/or CDI have infringed the '488 Patent.
- B. Enter judgment that Hunter and/or CDI have induced infringement of the '488 Patent.
- C. Enter judgment that Hunter and/or CDI have contributed to infringement of the '488 Patent.
- D. For damages to compensate MRC for Hunter and/or CDI's infringement of the '488 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, which shall be trebled as a result of their willful patent infringement, or an award of Hunter's and/or CDI's profits from their respective infringements pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289, whichever is greater, together with prejudgment interest and costs.
- E. Enter judgment that Hunter and/or CDI have infringed the '487 Patent.
- F. Enter judgment that Hunter and/or CDI have induced infringement of the '487 Patent.
- G. Enter judgment that Hunter and/or CDI have contributed to infringement of the '487 Patent.
- H. For damages to compensate MRC for Hunter and/or CDI's infringement of the '487 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, which shall be trebled as a result of their willful patent infringement, or an award of Hunter's and/or CDI's profits from their respective infringements pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289, whichever is greater, together with prejudgment interest and costs.
- I. For an award of MRC's reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- J. Grant to MRC such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, proper, and equitable under the circumstances.

VII. Demand for Jury

MRC respectfully demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 10th day of September, 2012.	
2		
3		RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP
4		
5		s/Randolph E. Digges, III/
6		Randolph E. Digges, III (OH# 0059298)
7		Email: digges@rankinhill.com
8		Robert A. Sidoti (OH# 0069700) Email: sidoti@rankinhill.com.
9		Jonathan A. Withrow (OH# 0074752)
10		Email: withrow@rankinhill.com
11		38210 Glenn Avenue
12		Willoughby, OH 44094
13		Tel: (216) 566-9700 Fax: (216) 566-9711
14		1 (210) 000 3,11
15		Attorneys for MRC Innovations, Inc.
16		This ine is the same value in the same in
17		
18		
19		
20	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
21	On September 10, 2012, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Parties may	
22	access this filing through the Court's system.	
23		
24		
25		s/Randolph E. Digges, III/
26		Randolph E. Digges, III (OH# 0059298)
27		Attorney for MRC Innovations, Inc
28		•
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT – 8	RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LL

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:12-CV-00684

383940

38210 Glenn Avenue Willoughby, OH 44094 Phone: 216.566.9700 Fax: 216.566.9711