

- 1 -

D/B/A MAMIYALEAF and MAMIYA AMERICA CORPORATION (collectively "Defendant"), as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC ("DIGITECH" or "Plaintiff") is a California limited liability company with a place of business at 500 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700, Newport Beach, CA 92660.
- 2. On information and belief, MAMIYA DIGITAL IMAGING CO., LTD. and LEAF IMAGING LTD. D/B/A MAMIYALEAF are foreign companies with places of business at Tokyo, Japan and Tel Aviv, Israel, respectively, and MAMIYA AMERICA CORPORATION is a New York corporation with a place of business at Elmsford, NY. Hereinafter, MAMIYA DIGITAL IMAGING CO., LTD., LEAF IMAGING LTD. D/B/A MAMIYALEAF LEICA CAMERA AG and MAMIYA AMERICA CORPORATION are collectively referred to as "MAMIYA."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and/or general personal jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in California, including

related to the infringements alleged herein. Further, on information and belief, Defendant has, within this forum, engaged in at least the selling of the accused products listed herein. In addition, Defendant induces infringement of the patent-insuit by sellers and/or infringing users located in this forum. Further, on information and belief, Defendant has interactive websites which are used in and/or accessible in this forum. Further, on information and belief, Defendant regularly conducts and/or solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to persons and/or entities in California.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b). Without limitation, on information and belief, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district. On information and belief, the Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and/or general personal jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute, due at least to their substantial business in this district, including related to the infringements alleged herein. Further, on information and belief, Defendant is, within this forum, engaged in at least the selling of the accused products listed herein. In addition, Defendant induces infringement of the patent-in-suit by sellers and/or infringing users located in this forum. Further, on information and belief, Defendant has interactive websites which are used in and/or accessible in this forum. Further, on information and belief,

- 3 -

Defendant regularly conducts and/or solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to persons and/or entities in California.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,128,415

- 6. United States Patent No. 6,128,415 ("the '415 patent"), entitled "DEVICE PROFILES FOR USE IN A DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING SYSTEM," issued on October 3, 2000.
- 7. DIGITECH is the present assignee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '415 patent, including all rights to sue for past and present infringement. Accordingly, DIGITECH has standing to bring this lawsuit for infringement of the '415 patent.
- 8. The various claims of the '415 patent cover, *inter alia*, a device profile for describing properties of a device in a digital image reproduction system to capture, transform or render an image, said device profile comprising: first data for describing a device dependent transformation of color information content of the image to a device independent color space; and second data for describing a device dependent transformation of spatial information content of the image in said device independent color space.

- 9. On information and belief, MAMIYA has been and now is infringing the '415 patent by actions comprising making, using, importing, selling and/or offering to sell products comprising a device profile for describing properties of a device in a digital image reproduction system to capture, transform or render an image, said device profile comprising: first data for describing a device dependent transformation of color information content of the image to a device independent color space; and second data for describing a device dependent transformation of spatial information content of the image in said device independent color space.
- 10. Moreover, on information and belief, MAMIYA has been and now is indirectly infringing by way of intentionally inducing infringement of the '415 patent in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, including by aiding or abetting re-sellers, including but not limited to B&H, to sell and/or offer for sale infringing products and/or customers and/or users to use infringing products. Upon information and belief, such induced infringement has occurred at least since this Defendant became aware of the '415 patent, at least through becoming aware of this Complaint.
- 11. Upon present information and belief, MAMIYA's infringing products comprise at least the following accused products: Leaf Aptus-II 5 22 Digital Back, Leaf Aptus-II 7 33 Digital Back, Leaf Aptus-II 8 40 Digital Back, Leaf Aptus-II 10R 56 Digital Back, Leaf Aptus-II 12R 80 Digital

7

5

10 11

13 14

12

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

27

26

28

Back, Leaf Aptus-II 12 80 Digital Back, Leaf Credo 40MP Digital Back, Leaf Credo 60MP Digital Back and Leaf Credo 80MP Digital Back.

- 12. MAMIYA is thus liable for infringement of the '415 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- As a result of Defendant's infringing conduct, Defendant has damaged 13. DIGITECH. Defendant is liable to DIGITECH in an amount that adequately compensates DIGITECH for their infringement, which, by law, can be no less than a reasonable royalty.
- 14. DIGITECH will take discovery relative to Defendant's pre-suit knowledge of the '415 patent at the appropriate time. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the '415 patent since receiving notice of the patent, at a minimum by virtue of this lawsuit, would necessarily be willful and objectively reckless at least due to the fact that the Defendant's infringement is clear and there is no known good faith basis to assert invalidity.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, DIGITECH respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- 1. A judgment in favor of DIGITECH that Defendant has infringed, directly and/or indirectly, the '415 patent;
- 2. A judgment that the Defendant's infringement is and/or has been willful and objectively reckless;

- 3. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, and its officers, directors, employees, agents, affiliates and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the '415 patent;
- 4. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay DIGITECH its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '415 patent as provided under 28 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5. An award to DIGITECH for enhanced damages as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 6. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to DIGITECH its reasonable attorneys' fees;
- 7. Any and all other relief to which DIGITECH may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

1	Dated:	October 1, 2012	Respectfully submitted,
2			COLLINS, EDMONDS, POGORZELSKI, SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC
3			TOWER, TEEC
4 5			
6			John I Edmonds - LEAD COUNCEL
7			John J. Edmonds – LEAD COUNSEL State Bar No. 274200
8			Attorney for Plaintiff
9			DIGITECH IMAGE
10			TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box DIGITECH IMAGE TEC	if you are representing yourself I	CIVIL COV	DEFENDANTS MAMIYA DIGITAL IMA MAMIYALEAF; MAMIY		
yourself, provide same.) JOHN J. EDMONDS, CO	dress and Telephone Number. If y LLINS EDMONDS POGORZEL EAST FIRST STREET, SUITE 90 (951) 708-1237	SKI SCHLATHER	Attomeys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PART X in one box for plaintiff and o		s Only
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF	DEF I Incorporated or F of Business in th	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	d Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	enship Citizen of And	ther State \Box 2	☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business in A:	l Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 nother State
		Citizen or Sub	ject of a Foreign Country □ 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6
Proceeding State Co	ed from 3 Remanded from	Reopened		Distr Litig	
371				SEA	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.			MONEY DEMANDED IN C		
	e the U.S. Civil Statute under whi	ch you are filing and w	rite a brief statement of cause.	Do not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless diversity.)
Patent Infringement 35 U.S				and the second s	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an x m one box omy.)			(
OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	TORTS PERSONAL INJUE 310 Airplane 310 Airplane Productiability 320 Assault, Libel Slander 330 Fed. Employer Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 362 Personal Injur Med Malpract 365 Personal Injur Product Liability 368 Asbestos Pers Injury Product Liability IMMGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpu Alten Detaine 465 Other Immigra Actions	PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CTVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 American with Disabilities - Employment 446 American with Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act Relations Relations Reporting & Disclosure Act 730 Cabring & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609
	G A CV/12	- 01675 JVS (J	PRx)	41	El a
	SACVIZ	-010/20			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: AFTER C	Case Number: COMPLETING THE FRONT SI	DE OF FORM CV-7	1, COMPLETE THE INFORT	MATION REQUESTED	BELOW.

Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s): <u>SACV1</u>			d dismissed, remanded or closed? □ No 🗹 Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s): 8:12-cv-			t are related to the present case? No Yes			
(Check all boxes that apply) □ A	Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.					
IX. VENUE: (When completing the (a) List the County in this District;			necessary.) f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.			
County in this District:*	s agencies or emplo	yees is a named plaintiff. If t	this box is checked, go to item (b). California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
PLAINTIFF (ORANGE COUNT	Y)		Cantonia County Outside of this Bistiet, State, it outer than Cantonias, or Foreign Country			
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
	-		MAMIYA DIGITAL IMAGING CO., LTD. (JAPAN)			
			LEAF IMAGING LTD. D/B/A MAMIYALEAF (ISRAEL)			
			MAMIYA AMERICA CORPORATION (NEW YORK)			
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca	-		f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us			an Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):		Date 10-1-2012			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by law	e CV-71 (JS-44) Cir 7. This form, approve ourt for the purpose o	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
,		S-1-4	Comments of the class			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	HIA		ance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended spitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung (30 U.S.C. 923)	" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.			
863	DIWC	_	workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as led for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
All claims filed for widows or widowers in Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			s or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental	1 security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security			

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

Act, as amended.

U.S.C. (g))

865

RSI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?

- 1. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES v. ELECTRONIC FOR IMAGING, ET AL., (Case No. SACV 23-01324-JVS-RNB
- 2. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. B&H FOTO & ELECTRONICS CORP. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 3. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. BEST BUY CO., INC.; BEST BUY STORES, LP; BESTBUY.COM, LLC (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 4. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. BUY.COM INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 5. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CANON INC.; CANON U.S.A., INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 6. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CASIO COMPUTER CO., LTD.; CASIO AMERICA, INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 7. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CDW LLC (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 8. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. FUJIFILM CORPORATION; FUJIFILM HOLDINGS CORPORATION; FUJIFILM HOLDINGS AMERICA CORPORATION (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 9. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GENERAL IMAGING COMPANY (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 10. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. VICTOR HASSELBLAD AB; HASSELBLAD USA INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 11. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. LEICA CAMERA AG; LEICA CAMERA INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 12. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MAMIYA DIGITAL IMAGING CO., LTD.; LEAF IMAGING LTD. D/B/A MAMIYALEAF; MAMIYA AMERICA CORPORATION (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 13. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MICRO ELECTRONICS, INC. D/B/A MICRO CENTER (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 14. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NEWEGG, INC.; NEWEGG.COM, INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 15. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NIKON CORPORATION; NIKON INC.; NIKON AMERICAS, INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 16. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. OLYMPUS CORPORATION; OLYMPUS IMAGING AMERICA INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)

- 17. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 18. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 19. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. PENTAX RICOH IMAGING CO., LTD.; PENTAX RICOH IMAGING AMERICAS CORPORATION; RICOH COMPANY, LTD.; RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 20. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC. D/B/A VIVITAR (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 21. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SIGMA CORPORATION; SIGMA CORPORATION OF AMERICA (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 22. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SONY CORPORATION; SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA; SONY ELECTRONICS INC. (Civil Action No. TBD)
- 23. DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. TARGET CORPORATION (Civil Action No. TBD)

Name & Address: JOHN J. EDMONDS (STATE BAR NO. 274200) COLLINS, EDMONDS, POGORZELSKI, SCHLATER & TOWER, PLLC 1851 EAST FIRST STREET, SUITE 900 SANTA ANA, CA 92705 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NUMBER DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC **SACV12 - 01675 JVS (JPRx)** PLAINTIFF(S) MAMIYA DIGITAL IMAGING CO., LTD.; LEAF IMAGING LTD. D/B/A MAMIYALEAF and **SUMMONS** MAMIYA AMERICA CORPORATION DEFENDANT(S). TO: DEFENDANT(S): A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of complaint amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, John J. Edmonds , whose address is 1851 East First Street, Suite 900, Santa Ana, California 92705 . If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court OCT = 1 2012 Dated: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

(Seal of the Court)

CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge James V.	Selna and t	he assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Jean P. Rosenbluth.		

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV12- 1675 JVS (JPRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central
District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related
motions.

District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.
All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
======================================
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is led, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:
Western Division [] Southern Division [] Eastern Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 Riverside, CA 92501
ailure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.