

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

YELP INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:12-cv-794

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC states its Complaint against Defendant Yelp Inc. and alleges as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Yelp Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 706 Mission Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, California, 94103.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

4. This action is for patent infringement pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. Personal jurisdiction exists generally over Defendant because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the forum as a result of business conducted within the State of Texas and within the Eastern District of Texas. Personal jurisdiction also exists specifically over Defendant because it, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, imports, advertises, makes available and/or markets one or more products and/or services within the State of Texas, and more particularly, within the Eastern District of Texas, that infringe the patent-in-suit, as described more particularly below.

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), because Defendant has committed acts of infringement in the Eastern District of Texas and has transacted business in the Eastern District of Texas.

**COUNT ONE**  
**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,010,536**

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

8. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,010,536, entitled “System and Method for Creating and Manipulating Information Containers with Dynamic Registers,” duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on March 7, 2006 (the “’536 patent”). A true and correct copy of the ’536 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the ’536 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making,

using, offering to sell, and/or selling the patented invention within the United States. Specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '536 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling its Yelp online urban city guide and business review product and service, accessible at least through yelp.com and mobile applications.

10. As a result of Defendant's infringing activities with respect to the '536 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '536 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

11. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that Defendant's infringement of the '536 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and to attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

**COUNT TWO  
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,702,682**

12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

13. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,702,682, entitled "System and Method for Creating and Manipulating Information Containers with Dynamic Registers," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 20, 2010 (the "'682 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '682 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

14. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling the patented invention within the United States. Specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '682 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling its Yelp online urban city guide and business review product and service, accessible at least through yelp.com and mobile applications.

15. As a result of Defendant's infringing activities with respect to the '682 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '682 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

16. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that Defendant's infringement of the '682 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and to attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in its favor against Defendant as follows:

a) For a declaration that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '536 patent and '682 patent;

b) For an award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement of the '536 patent and '682 patent, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty,

together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest and costs, in an amount according to proof;

c) For an entry of a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, and its respective officers, agents, employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement of the '536 patent and '682 patent, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post-judgment infringement; and

e) For an award to Plaintiff of such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury.

Dated: October 17, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Charles Ainsworth

Charles Ainsworth  
State Bar No. 00783521  
Robert Christopher Bunt  
State Bar No. 00787165  
PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.  
100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114  
Tyler, TX 75702  
903/531-3535  
903/533-9687  
E-mail: charley@pbatyler.com  
E-mail: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

Adam J. Gutride, Esq.  
Seth A. Safier, Esq.  
Todd Kennedy, Esq.  
Anthony J. Patek, Esq.  
835 Douglass Street  
San Francisco, California 94114  
Telephone: (415) 789-6390  
Facsimile: (415) 449-6469

adam@gutridesafier.com  
seth@gutridesafier.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,  
LLC**